Diwygiedig Cynllun 2018-2033 Datblygu Lleol

VNNO



Adneuo Jonawr 202

Cyflwyniad

Mae'n ofynnol o dan Ddeddf Cynllunio a Phrynu Gorfodol 2004 bod cynghorau yn llunio Cynllun Datblygu Lleol. Un o nodau'r CDLI yw gwneud system y cynllun datblygu yn fwy perthnasol, yn fwy cynhwysol ac yn fwy deniadol i gymunedau lleol. Ar ôl cael ei fabwysiadu, bydd y CDLI Diwygiedig yn disodli'r CDLI mabwysiedig presennol ar gyfer Sir Gaerfyrddin. Bydd y CDLI Diwygiedig yn darparu'r strategaeth ddatblygu a'r fframwaith polisi ar gyfer Sir Gaerfyrddin wedi'i fabwysiadu hyd at 2033.

Paratowyd yr adroddiad ymgynghori hwn yn unol â gofynion Rheoliad 16A o Reoliadau Cynllunio Gwlad a Thref (Cynllun Datblygu Lleol) (Cymru) (Diwygio) 2015, a'r cyngor a roddir yn y fersiwn drafft o Lawlyfr Cynlluniau Datblygu Lleol 2019, ac mae'n nodi:

- Y camau a gymerwyd i hyrwyddo ac ymgysylltu drwy gydol y broses o baratoi'r CDLI a sut y mae hyn yn cydymffurfio â'r Cynllun Cynnwys Cymunedau yn y Cytundeb Cyflawni, gan gynnwys unrhyw wyro oddi wrtho.
- Y cyrff a hysbyswyd ac y cysylltwyd ac yr ymgynghorwyd â hwy yn y cam cyfranogi cyn-adneuo (Rheoliad 14) a'r cam ymgynghori cyn-adneuo (Rheoliad 15).
- Crynodeb o'r prif faterion a godwyd yn ystod y camau cyn-adneuo, gan gynnwys cyfanswm nifer y sylwadau a ddaeth i law a sut y mae'r rhain wedi dylanwadu ar y broses o baratoi'r CDLI Adneuo.
- Crynodeb o'r sylwadau a ddaeth i law ynghylch y Safleoedd Ymgeisio a'r Safleoedd Amgen.

Caiff yr adroddiad hwn ei ddiweddaru ar ôl cwblhau cam y CDLl Adneuo a bydd yn ffurfio'r Adroddiad Ymgynghori a gaiff ei gyflwyno yn unol â Rheoliad 22 (2) (c) o Reoliadau Cynllunio Gwlad a Thref (Cynllun Datblygu Lleol) (Cymru) 2005.

Camau Allweddol wrth Baratoi'r CDLI

Mae'r gwaith o baratoi'r CDLI yn cynnwys nifer o gamau allweddol. Dechreuodd gyda'r Cytundeb Cyflawni fel y cytunwyd arno gan Lywodraeth Cymru ar 28 Mehefin 2018 a bydd yn dod i derfyn wrth fabwysiadu'r Cynllun, sydd wedi'i raglennu ar gyfer Tachwedd/Rhagfyr 2021. Mae'r Cytundeb Cyflawni yn nodi amserlen yr Awdurdod ar gyfer paratoi'r Cynllun, ynghyd â'r Cynllun Cynnwys Cymunedau, sy'n nodi sut a phryd y gall/dylai unigolion a sefydliadau gymryd rhan yn y broses o lunio'r Cynllun.

Mae'r CDLI wedi datblygu ers iddo ddechrau yn 2018, ar ôl paratoi Adroddiad Adolygu'r CDLI mewn perthynas â'r CDLI mabwysiedig presennol, a phenderfyniad y Cyngor i baratoi'r CDLI Diwygiedig. Hyd yn hyn, mae'r Cynllun wedi mynd drwy Gamau Allweddol 1 – 3 fel y nodir isod. Mae'r adroddiad ymgynghori cychwynnol hwn yn cyd-fynd â Cham Allweddol 4 a pharatoi a chyhoeddi'r CDLI Diwygiedig Adneuo. Mae camau allweddol y broses baratoi fel a ganlyn:

- Cam Allweddol 1 Cytundeb Cyflawni (Rheoliad CDLI 5-10)
- Cam Allweddol 2 Cyn-adneuo Paratoi a Chyfranogi (Rheoliad CDLI 14)
- Cam Allweddol 3 Cyn-adneuo Ymgynghori â'r Cyhoedd (Rheoliad CDLI 15, 16)
- Cam Allweddol 4 Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Adneuo (Rheoliad CDLI 17 21)

• Cam Allweddol 5 - Cyflwyno'r cynllun i Lywodraeth Cymru i'w archwilio (Rheoliad CDLI 22)

- Cam Allweddol 6 Archwiliad Annibynnol (Rheoliad CDLI 23)
- Cam Allweddol 7 Derbyn a chyhoeddi Adroddiad yr Arolygydd (Rheoliad CDLI 24)
- Cam Allweddol 8 Mabwysiadu (Rheoliad CDLI 25)
- Cam Allweddol 9 Monitro ac Adolygu (Rheoliad CDLI 37)

Mae'r tabl canlynol yn nodi camau allweddol y cynllun a gymerwyd hyd yn hyn:

Cam Allweddol	Dyddiadau
Cytundeb Cyflawni	Cyhoeddwyd ar gyfer ymgynghori - 5 Chwefror-23 Mawrth 2018
	Cytunwyd gan Lywodraeth Cymru ar 28 Mehefin 2018
Galwad am Safleoedd Ymgeisio	5 Chwefror-29 Awst 2018
Cofrestr Safleoedd Ymgeisio	Cyhoeddwyd y gofrestr ar 12 Rhagfyr 2018
Galwad am Safleoedd Ymgeisio Tywod a Graean	12 Rhagfyr 2018-8 Chwefror 2019
Galwad am Safleoedd Sipsiwn a Theithwyr	28 Ionawr - 8 Ebrill 2019
Sylwadau ar y Safleoedd Ymgeisio a gyflwynwyd	12 Rhagfyr 2018-8 Chwefror 2019
Strategaeth Cyn-adneuo a Ffefrir (ac Adroddiad Cychwynnol yr Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol ac Adroddiad Sgrinio'r Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd)	12 Rhagfyr 2018-8 Chwefror 2019

Y Cytundeb Cyflawni

Gofyniad cyntaf proses baratoi'r CDLI yw paratoi Cytundeb Cyflawni. Datganiad cyhoeddus o ymrwymiad y Cyngor i baratoi'r CDLI Diwygiedig yw'r Cytundeb Cyflawni. Mae'n pennu'r amserlen ar gyfer paratoi'r Cynllun ac yn manylu, yn ei Gynllun Cynnwys Cymunedau, ar sut a phryd y bydd y Cyngor yn ymgynghori ac yn cysylltu â grwpiau, sefydliadau ac unigolion wrth iddo baratoi'r Cynllun. Mae hefyd yn gytundeb rhwng Cyngor Sir Caerfyrddin a Llywodraeth Cymru ar sut y bydd y Cynllun yn cael ei baratoi, gan gynnwys nodi:

- Yr amserlen ar gyfer paratoi'r Cynllun hyd at ei fabwysiadu;
- Y Cynllun Cynnwys Cymunedau, gan gynnwys dulliau adborth; ac
- Yr adnoddau y mae'r Cyngor yn eu rhoi i baratoi'r Cynllun.

Roedd y Cytundeb Cyflawni ar gael at ddibenion ymgynghori rhwng 5 Chwefror a 23 Mawrth 2018 a rhoddwyd cyhoeddusrwydd iddo yn unol â hynny. Dylid cyfeirio at Atodiad 1 o ran Dyddiadur y CDLI. Yn sgil rhoi ystyriaeth briodol i'r ymatebion a dderbyniwyd gan y Cyngor Sir ar 13 Mehefin 2018, cyflwynwyd y Cytundeb Cyflawni drafft i Lywodraeth Cymru i'w gymeradwyo. Cytunodd Llywodraeth Cymru ar y Cytundeb Cyflawni ar 28 Mehefin 2018.

Cydymffurfio â'r Cytundeb Cyflawni

Mae Atodiad 1 yn nodi'r amserlen ar gyfer Camau Allweddol Proses y CDLI ac yn adlewyrchu'r amserlen fel y'i nodir yn y Cytundeb Cyflawni. Mae'n dangos y camau sydd wedi'u cymryd hyd yn hyn (hyd at gyflwyno'r CDLI Diwygiedig Adneuo).

Cynllun Cynnwys Cymunedau

Mae'r Cynllun cynnwys Cymunedau hwn yn nodi pam mae'n bwysig cynnwys y gymuned. Mae'n nodi pwy y dylid ei gynnwys ac yn awgrymu sut i gymryd rhan ym mhroses y CDLI. Mae'n hanfodol er mwyn cyflawni cynllun sydd â pherchenogaeth leol ac mae'n cyfreithloni'r polisïau a fydd yn llywio'r gwaith o ddosbarthu defnyddiau tir a datblygiad yn Sir Gaerfyrddin yn y dyfodol.

Mae Sir Gaerfyrddin yn llawn cyferbyniadau gydag economi a thirwedd amaethyddol ardaloedd gwledig y Sir yn cyferbynnu â'r ardal drefol a diwydiannol yn y deddwyrain. Mae amrywiaeth y boblogaeth (gan gynnwys dosbarthiad, oedran, cyflogaeth a diwylliant) yn golygu ei bod yn dasg heriol cynnwys y gymuned ym mhroses y CDLI.

Nododd y Cynllun Cynnwys Cymunedau nifer o ffyrdd presennol y mae'r Cyngor yn cysylltu ac yn ymgynghori â'r cyhoedd, a phartïon eraill. Mae'r dull yn ceisio sicrhau cymaint o gynulleidfa â phosibl ar gyfer y CDLI a chynyddu'r cyfleoedd i gysylltu. Nodir y rhain yn adran 3.4 o'r Cytundeb Cyflawni a cheir rhagor o fanylion yn Nyddiadur y CDLI Diwygiedig (Atodiad 1).

Cynhaliwyd rhaglen ymgysylltu helaeth yn cynnwys rhanddeiliaid allweddol ac aelodau'r cyhoedd. Cynhaliwyd digwyddiadau gyda rhanddeiliaid allweddol a

fforymau. Roedd y sesiynau hyn yn helpu i nodi'r materion allweddol sy'n wynebu'r Sir, ystyried yr opsiynau strategol ar gyfer maint a lleoliad twf, a phennu'r amcanion ar gyfer dyfodol ardal y Cynllun.

Camau Cyn-adneuo'r Cynllun

Cyflwyniad

Mae'r adran hon yn amlinellu rhai o'r tasgau allweddol a gyflawnwyd fel rhan o elfen cyn-adneuo proses y CDLI. Mae'r cam hwn yn y broses o lunio'r Cynllun yn cyflawni swyddogaeth hollbwysig wrth lywio ffurf a chyfeiriad strategol y Cynllun yn y dyfodol.

Mae rhai o'r elfennau a'r tasgau allweddol a gyflawnwyd mewn perthynas â'r cam paratoi a chyfranogi Cyn-adneuo fel a ganlyn. Dylid cyfeirio at Atodiad X mewn perthynas â dyddiadur y CDLI i gael rhagor o fanylion am gwmpas a diben ac o ran y cyfranogwyr a'r dyddiadau cyhoeddi ac adrodd.

Nid yw'r rhestr ganlynol yn cynnwys popeth:

 Casglu tystiolaeth ac adolygu data a gwybodaeth sylfaenol (gan gynnwys Adroddiad Adolygu'r CDLI, Adroddiadau Monitro Blynyddol, Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol ac Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd)

- Adroddiad Cwmpasu yr Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd Drafft
- Fforwm Rhanddeiliaid Allweddol
- Ymgysylltu â Chynghorau Tref a Chymuned
- Cyhoeddi Papurau Pwnc

• Cyhoeddi at ddibenion ymgynghori y fersiwn Cyn-adneuo Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir ynghyd ag Adroddiad Cychwynnol yr Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol ac Adroddiad Sgrinio'r Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd

Cyhoeddi papurau pwnc a thystiolaeth bellach

• Sesiynau i Aelodau'r Cyngor Sir gan gynnwys Panel Ymgynghorol y CDLl, sesiynau galw heibio a phresenoldeb grwpiau gwleidyddol

Roedd y camau Cyn-adneuo allweddol hefyd yn cynnwys y Broses Safleoedd Ymgeisio a chyhoeddi'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir.

Fforwm Rhanddeiliaid Allweddol - Sesiwn 1

Cynhaliwyd sesiwn cyntaf y Fforwm Rhanddeiliaid Allweddol yn Neuadd San Pedr, Caerfyrddin, ar 23 Mai 2018. Hwyluswyd y digwyddiad gan gynrychiolwyr o Gymorth Cynllunio Cymru ochr yn ochr ag Adain Flaen-gynllunio yr Awdurdod. Dosbarthwyd pecynnau cynrychiolwyr i'r rhai oedd yn bresennol.

Mae manylion y sesiwn, cyflwyniadau ac adborth wedi'u cynnwys yn Adroddiad Adborth y Fforwm Rhanddeiliaid Allweddol fel y'i cyhoeddwyd ar dudalennau gwe y CDLI Diwygiedig. Gallwch weld hyn drwy'r ddolen ganlynol:

https://www.carmarthenshire.gov.wales/media/1216449/key-stakeholder-forummeeting-23-05-18-c.pdf

Fforwm Rhanddeiliaid Allweddol - Sesiwn 2

Cynhaliwyd ail gyfarfod y Fforwm Rhanddeiliaid Allweddol yn Neuadd San Pedr, Caerfyrddin, ar 13 Medi 2018. Hwyluswyd y digwyddiad gan gynrychiolwyr o Gymorth Cynllunio Cymru ochr yn ochr ag Adain Flaen-gynllunio yr Awdurdod. Dosbarthwyd pecynnau cynrychiolwyr i'r rhai oedd yn bresennol.

Mae manylion y sesiwn, cyflwyniadau ac adborth wedi'u cynnwys yn Adroddiad Adborth y Fforwm Rhanddeiliaid Allweddol fel y'i cyhoeddwyd ar dudalennau gwe y CDLI Diwygiedig. Gallwch weld hyn drwy'r ddolen ganlynol:

https://www.carmarthenshire.gov.wales/media/1216448/key-stakeholder-forummeeting-130918.pdf

Cam Safleoedd Ymgeisio

Rhwng 5 Chwefror a 29 Awst 2018, gofynnodd y Cyngor i dirfeddianwyr, rhanddeiliaid, datblygwyr ac aelodau o'r cyhoedd gyflwyno safleoedd ymgeisio ar gyfer yr holl gynigion datblygu defnydd tir yn y sir. Hysbysebwyd yr ymgynghoriad yn eang a chyflwynwyd dros 920 o safleoedd ymgeisio. Cyhoeddwyd y gofrestr safleoedd ymgeisio ar 12 Rhagfyr 2018. Roedd hwn hefyd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor lle roedd cyfle i gyflwyno sylwadau.

Wrth ystyried y safleoedd ymgeisio, cysylltwyd â chyfres o ymgyngoreion technegol ac ymgyngoreion eraill fel rhan o'r broses asesu, a hynny'n bennaf i nodi unrhyw gyfyngiadau a allai effeithio ar gyflawni safleoedd. Roedd canlyniad y broses hon yn sail i'r gwaith o ddewis safleoedd i'w cynnwys yn y CDLI Diwygiedig Adneuo.

Galwad am Safleoedd Ymgeisio Tywod a Graean

Cynhaliwyd y 'galwad am safleoedd tywod a graean' rhwng 12 Rhagfyr 2018 ac 8 Chwefror 2019, mewn ymateb i Nodyn Cyngor Technegol Mwynau 1: Agregau sy'n ei gwneud yn ofynnol cadw cronfeydd wrth gefn digonol ar gyfer cyfnod y Cynllun. Er bod cyflenwad digonol (ar y cyd â Cheredigion a Sir Benfro) ar hyn o bryd, mae'r Datganiad Technegol Rhanbarthol yn nodi diffyg posibl o ran darpariaeth adnoddau tywod a graean (dros gyfnod o 15 mlynedd). Wrth geisio mynd i'r afael â'r uchod, cyflwynwyd dau safle yn sgil y 'galwad am safleoedd tywod a graean'. Roedd y ddau yn canolbwyntio ar safleoedd â chaniatâd cynllunio presennol fel a ganlyn:

Fferm Llwynjack, Llanymddyfri – atebydd C.J. Lewis Fferm Cwmgwyn, Llanymddyfri – atebydd D.A. Lewis

Galwad am Safleoedd Sipsiwn a Theithwyr

Cynhaliwyd yr alwad am Safleoedd Sipsiwn a Theithwyr rhwng 28 Ionawr ac 8 Ebrill 2019. Cyflwynwyd cyfanswm o 9 safle i'w hystyried, yr oedd pob un ohonynt yn ardal Llanelli. Ystyriwyd canfyddiadau'r safleoedd hyn yn fanwl, a chawsant eu llywio yn unol â darpariaethau'r fethodoleg asesu safleoedd a gyhoeddwyd ar y cyd â'r ymarfer galwad am safleoedd.

Ymgynghoriad ar Safleoedd a Gyflwynwyd ar y 'Gofrestr Safleoedd Ymgeisio'

Ochr yn ochr â'r ymgynghoriad ar y Fersiwn Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir, cynhaliwyd ymgynghoriad pellach ar y safleoedd hynny a gyflwynwyd fel rhan o'r 'Galwad am Safleoedd Ymgeisio' a gynhaliwyd yn 2018. Rhoddodd hyn gyfle i bawb a wnelont â'r mater gyflwyno eu sylwadau ar y 926 o safleoedd a gyflwynwyd.

Fel rhan o'r broses hon, cyflwynwyd nifer sylweddol o sylwadau, sef cyfanswm o oddeutu 4,000. Mae'r rhain wedi cael eu defnyddio, lle bo'n briodol, i lywio'r gwaith o ystyried a dewis safleoedd i'w cynnwys yn y CDLI Adneuo. Nid oedd yr ymatebion a ddaeth i law fel rhan o'r ymgynghoriad ar y Gofrestr Safleoedd Ymgeisio yn destun y broses adrodd ddemocrataidd.

Y Strategaeth Cyn-adneuo a Ffefrir

Cyhoeddwyd Strategaeth a Ffefrir y CDLI Diwygiedig, ynghyd â'r dogfennau ategol cysylltiedig, ar gyfer ymgynghoriad ffurfiol rhwng 12 Rhagfyr 2018 ac 8 Chwefror 2019. Anfonwyd llythyrau a negeseuon e-bost at bob ymgynghorai a nodwyd yn y Cytundeb Cyflawni a'r rhai yng nghronfa ddata/rhestr bostio yr ymgynghoriad.

Roedd y dogfennau canlynol ar gael i'r cyhoedd eu gweld:

- Fersiwn Cyn-adneuo Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir
- Fersiwn Cyn-adneuo Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir Fersiwn hawdd ei ddarllen
- Adroddiad Sgrinio'r Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd
- Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol Adroddiad Cychwynnol: Y Crynodeb Annhechnegol
- Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol Adroddiad Cychwynnol
- Cofrestr Safleoedd Ymgeisio -Asesiad Cychwynnol

- Adroddiad Adolygu CDLI Sir Gaerfyrddin
- Asesiad o'r Effaith ar Gydraddoldeb y Strategaeth a Ffefrir
- Profion Cadernid: Hunanasesiad Strategaeth a Ffefrir

Roedd copïau o'r dogfennau uchod ar gael ar dudalennau gwe CDLI y Cyngor ac yn y lleoliadau adneuo canlynol:

- Y Ganolfan Gwasanaethau Cwsmeriaid, 3 Heol Spilman, Caerfyrddin
- Yr Hwb, Stryd y Cei, Rhydaman
- Yr Hwb, Stryd Stepney, Llanelli
- Swyddfa'r Gwasanaeth Cynllunio, Swyddfeydd y Cyngor, Llandeilo, a
- Pob llyfrgell gyhoeddus yn ystod oriau agor arferol

Roedd yr ymgynghoriad hwn hefyd yn cynnwys cyfle i gyflwyno sylwadau mewn perthynas â'r gofrestr safleoedd ymgeisio. Cafodd yr Adroddiad Adolygu o ran y CDLI mabwysiedig presennol ei gyhoeddi hefyd ar gyfer ymgynghoriad ffurfiol ar y cyd â'r fersiwn Cyn-adneuo Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir.

Cafodd copïau o'r ymatebion eu cyflwyno'n briodol i'r Cyngor Sir, ynghyd â diweddariadau i'r polisïau strategol yn deillio o'r Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol Cychwynnol (gan sicrhau bod modd gwneud newidiadau'n gyflym ac yn effeithiol) ar 15 Mai 2019. Mae copïau o'r ymatebion ynghyd â'r argymhellion a gymeradwywyd gan y Cyngor ar gael i'w gweld ar-lein.

Prif faterion a godwyd yn ystod y Strategaeth a Ffefrir:

Cyflwynwyd cyfanswm o 346 o sylwadau ar draws yr ystod o ddogfennau ymgynghori. Cyflwynwyd y rhain gan amrywiaeth o gyrff, sefydliadau ac unigolion ac fe'u rhennir fel a ganlyn:

- Fersiwn Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir –269 o sylwadau
- Adroddiad Cwmpasu yr Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol - 2 o sylwadau ac Adroddiad Cychwynnol – 11 o sylwadau
- Adroddiad Sgrinio'r Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd 62 o sylwadau
- Adroddiad Adolygu Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Sir Gaerfyrddin 0 sylw
- Galwad am Safleoedd Tywod a Graean 2 sylw

Nododd yr ymatebion perthnasol a ddaeth i law yn ystod yr Ymgynghoriad Cynadneuo (y Strategaeth a Ffefrir) nifer o faterion. Ystyriodd y Cyngor y materion hyn yn fanwl a cheisiodd ymdrin â hwy neu roi eglurhad yn ôl yr angen. Ni dderbyniwyd unrhyw sylwadau a fyddai, ym marn y Cyngor, yn tanseilio'n sylweddol y dull strategol a nodir yn y Strategaeth a Ffefrir. Fodd bynnag, roedd nifer o sylwadau a oedd yn gofyn am newidiadau penodol mewn perthynas â'r CDLI Diwygiedig wrth iddo symud ymlaen i gamau yn y dyfodol. Roedd sylwadau eraill yn gofyn am ragor o dystiolaeth ac yna ei chynnwys fel rhan o gynnwys, polisïau a chynigion y CDLI Adneuo.

Y Strategaeth a Ffefrir - Themâu Allweddol y Sylwadau

Fel y nodwyd uchod, cyflwynwyd 269 o sylwadau mewn perthynas â'r Fersiwn Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir. Cyflwynwyd y rhain gan amrywiaeth eang o ymatebwyr gan gynnig cyfraniad adeiladol ar gyfer y CDLI Diwygiedig wrth iddo symud ymlaen drwy ei broses baratoi.

Mae llawer o'r sylwadau a gyflwynwyd yn adlewyrchu'r ffaith bod y gwaith o baratoi'r cynllun datblygu yn ailadroddol o ran ei natur, a bod ei gynnwys a'r sylfaen dystiolaeth yn datblygu mewn ymateb i newidiadau o ran dull a chanllawiau. Yn hyn o beth, wrth i'r gwaith o baratoi'r CDLI Diwygiedig symud yn ei flaen tuag at y Cynllun Adneuo, bydd rhagor o fanylion yn ymddangos ac yn cael eu hymgorffori. Cyfeiriwyd yn nodedig at y ffaith nad oedd y dystiolaeth ganlynol ar gael adeg llunio'r Fersiwn Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir. Yn hyn o beth, cyfeiriodd Llywodraeth Cymru at yr angen i gryfhau'r canlynol:

- Asesiad Ynni Adnewyddadwy.
- Asesiad o'r Farchnad Dai Leol.
- Asesiad wedi'i ddiweddaru o Anghenion Llety Sipsiwn a Theithwyr.
- Yr Effaith ar yr laith Gymraeg.
- Tystiolaeth o Ddichonoldeb ynghylch Tai.
- Tystiolaeth o Weithgarwch Economaidd a Thwf Cyflogaeth.

Hefyd daeth pwysigrwydd cydweithio ar draws sefydliadau i'r amlwg fel thema gyson yn y sylwadau, gan gynnwys yr angen i weithio gyda thirfeddianwyr a datblygwyr i ddwyn safleoedd yn eu blaenau a darparu'r dystiolaeth angenrheidiol i gefnogi eu cynnwys yn y Cynllun.

Mae'r themâu allweddol a ddaeth i'r amlwg yn ymwneud ag elfennau o dystiolaeth mewn perthynas â dosbarthiad twf – gan gynnwys graddfa, math a lleoliad datblygiadau a sut maent yn gysylltiedig â'r dystiolaeth oedd ar gael bryd hynny o ran yr Asesiad o'r Farchnad Dai a'r Arolygon Anghenion Gwledig. Yn hyn o beth, cafwyd sylwadau yn cefnogi lefel y twf, tra bod eraill yn ei herio gyda'r bwriad o gynyddu neu leihau'r gofyniad.

O ran sylwadau'n ymwneud â gwrthwynebiadau i'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir, mae'r rhain yn canolbwyntio ar y themâu canlynol yn bennaf:

• Lefel y twf a nodwyd yn y Cynllun a'r modd y caiff ei ddosbarthu i leoliadau ac aneddiadau cynaliadwy.

- Effaith ar yr laith Gymraeg Canlyniad lefel/dosbarthiad y twf tai a gynigir o ran yr laith Gymraeg.
- Dylai'r Cynllun unioni methiant y CDLI presennol i gyflawni yn unol â'r disgwyl.
- Diffyg eglurder ynghylch y 6% o hyblygrwydd a nodwyd yn y Strategaeth a Ffefrir.
- Y berthynas leoliadol rhwng tai a swyddi Mae angen egluro'n well y cysylltiad rhwng gofyniad tai'r cynllun a'i darged ar gyfer swyddi.
- Cyfeirio at Safleoedd Strategol nad ydynt yn cael eu cyflwyno.
- Cyfeiriwyd at y diffyg ffocws strategol o ran cyfraniad ynni adnewyddadwy i'r Cynllun. Yn wir, cafodd y mater ei godi'n benodol gan Lywodraeth Cymru yn ei hymateb.
- Posibilrwydd o fethu â nodi lefel yr angen am Safleoedd Sipsiwn a Theithwyr a dyrannu safle neu safleoedd yn y Cynllun Adneuo i ddiwallu unrhyw angen a nodwyd.

Mae rhai gwrthwynebwyr wedi defnyddio'r ymgynghoriad fel cyfle i wneud sylwadau mewn perthynas â safleoedd penodol neu i hyrwyddo cyfleoedd ar gyfer twf mewn aneddiadau penodol. Nodir nad oedd y mwyafrif helaeth o'r sylwadau yn codi materion a arweiniodd at newid y Strategaeth a Ffefrir. Lle y derbyniwyd pwyntiau, roedd y rhain yn bennaf yn ymwneud â newid geiriad polisïau yn hytrach na newidiadau mwy sylfaenol i'r Cynllun. Gweler Atodiad 3a am y sylwadau a ddaeth i law a sylwadau ac argymhellion y swyddogion.

The full list of appendices to this report include the following:

Atodiad 1 – Dyddiadur y CDLI Diwygiedig

- Atodiad 2 Cytundeb Cyflawni'r CDLI Diwygiedig:
 - 2a Amserlen y CDLI Diwygiedig
 - 2b Ymatebion i Gytundeb Cyflawni'r CDLI Diwygiedig (Adroddiad i'r Cyngor Sir, 13 Mehefin 2018)
 - 2c Cofnodion y Cyngor Sir (13 Mehefin 2018)
- Atodiad 3 Strategaeth a Ffefrir y CDLI Diwygiedig: 3a – Ymatebion i Strategaeth a Ffefrir y CDLI Diwygiedig (Adroddiad i'r Cyngor Sir, 15 Mai 2019)
 - 3b Cofnodion y Cyngor Sir (15 Mai 2019)
- Atodiad 4 Ymatebion i Adroddiad Sgrinio'r Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd

Atodiad 5 – Ymatebion i'r Adroddiad Cwmpasu ac Adroddiad Cychwynnol Yr Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/ Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol

Atodiad 1

Dyddiadur y CDLI Diwygiedig

Dyddiadur Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin

2017

20 Medi	Ystyried Adroddiad Monitro Blynyddol y CDLI ac awdurdodiad i ddechrau paratoi Adroddiad Adolygu'r CDLI gan y Cyngor Sir. <u>http://democratiaeth.sirgar.llyw.cymru/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=155&MId=934&Ver</u> <u>=4</u>
19 Hydref	Trafodwyd Adroddiad Adolygu'r CDLl yn y Pwyllgor Cynllunio. Rhoddodd swyddogion drosolwg o'r broses a oedd i ddod a derbyniwyd cwestiynau.
31 Hydref	Anfonwyd gwahoddiad (drwy e-bost) at Aelodau Panel Ymgynghorol y CDLI i'r Panel Ymgynghorol cyntaf i'w gynnal ddydd Iau 9 Tachwedd 2017 am 2pm yn Ystafell Bwyllgor 1, 3 Heol Spilman, Caerfyrddin. Anfonwyd yr agenda a'r papurau ategol gyda'r e-bost.
7 Tachwedd	Anfonwyd adroddiad ar Adroddiad Adolygu'r CDLl, y Cytundeb Cyflawni a'r Fethodoleg Asesu Safleoedd at y Gwasanaethau Democrataidd i'w roi ar gylch adrodd y Cyngor.
8 Tachwedd	Cyfarfod â chynrychiolwyr o Is-adran Datblygu Economaidd Llywodraeth Cymru i drafod safleoedd cyflogaeth yn Sir Gaerfyrddin a sut y byddant yn cael eu dwyn ymlaen yn y CDLl Diwygiedig.
9 Tachwedd	Cyfarfod cyntaf Panel Ymgynghorol Adolygu'r CDLI (a oedd yn cynnwys Aelodau Lleol cynrychiolaidd y Cyngor) am 2pm yn Siambr y Cyngor, 3 Heol Spilman, Caerfyrddin. Roedd y cyfarfod yn gyfle i Swyddogion Cynllunio adrodd ar broses baratoi'r CDLI Diwygiedig, ac i'r Panel roi adborth ac argymhellion.
17 Tachwedd	Ail gyfarfod Panel Ymgynghorol Adolygu'r CDLl yn Siambr y Cyngor, 3 Heol Spilman, Caerfyrddin.
18 Rhagfyr	Ystyriwyd Adroddiad Adolygu'r CDLI gan y Bwrdd Gweithredol. PENDERFYNWYD YN UNFRYDOL ARGYMELL I'R CYNGOR: awdurdodi cychwyn gwaith ar baratoi adolygiad llawn o Gynllun Datblygu Lleol Sir Gaerfyrddin. <u>http://democratiaeth.sirgar.llyw.cymru/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=131&MId=1116&Ver=4</u>

2018	
10 Ionawr	Ystyriwyd Adroddiad Adolygu'r CDLI, y Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft a'r Fethodoleg Asesu Safleoedd Ddrafft gan y Cyngor Sir.
	http://democratiaeth.sirgar.llyw.cymru/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=155&Mld=1133&Ve r=4
	PENDERFYNWYD YN UNFRYDOL fabwysiadu'r argymhellion canlynol gan y Bwrdd
	Gweithredol:
	"Awdurdodi cychwyn gwaith ar baratoi adolygiad llawn o Gynllun Datblygu Lleol Sir Gaerfyrddin;
	Cyhoeddi Adroddiad Adolygu Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Sir Gaerfyrddin;
	Rhoi awdurdod dirprwyedig i swyddogion wneud addasiadau teipograffyddol neu ffeithiol yn ôl yr angen, i wella eglurder a chywirdeb yr adroddiad."
	"cymeradwyo Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Caerfyrddin ar
	gyfer ymgynghoriad ffurfiol o 6 wythnos;
	Cymeradwyo'r gwaith o ddechrau camau cychwynnol proses baratoi'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol cyn
	cytuno ar y Cytundeb Cyflawni terfynol;
	Cymeradwyo cynnwys y Fethodoleg Asesu Safleoedd Ddrafft;
	Rhoi awdurdod dirprwyedig i swyddogion barhau â thrafodaethau paratoi a gwneud addasiadau teipograffyddol neu ffeithiol, yn ôl yr angen, i wella eglurder a chywirdeb y Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft a mireinio defnyddioldeb y Fethodoleg Asesu Safleoedd Ddrafft".
19 Ionawr	Trydydd cyfarfod Panel Ymgynghorol Adolygu'r CDLl yn Siambr y Cyngor, 3 Heol Spilman.
2 Chwefror	Cyflwyniad ynghylch y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i Grŵp Plaid Cymru yn Neuadd Gymunedol Pontyberem.
5 Chwefror	Dechrau 'Gwahoddiad i Gyflwyno Safleoedd Ymgeisio'. Rhoddir cyfle i bawb sydd â diddordeb gyflwyno safleoedd yr hoffent iddynt gael eu cynnwys yn y CDLl Diwygiedig.
5 Chwefror	Dechrau'r ymgynghoriad ynghylch Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft y CDLl Diwygiedig.

21 Chwefror	Cyflwyniad ynghylch y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'r Grŵp Llafur yn Neuadd y Sir, Caerfyrddin.
7 Mawrth	Cyflwyniad ynghylch y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'r Grŵp Llafur yn Heol Spilman, Caerfyrddin.
8 Mawrth	Cyflwyniad ynghylch y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'r Bwrdd Gwasanaethau Cyhoeddus.
12 Mawrth	Rhannwyd fideo <i>Biteable</i> ar yr ymgynghoriad ynghylch y Cytundeb Cyflawni ar y cyfryngau cymdeithasol.
16 Mawrth	Digwyddiad i asiantiaid cynllunio yn Llyfrgell Caerfyrddin. Rhoddwyd cyflwyniad ynghylch y broses o baratoi'r CDLl Diwygiedig i asiantiaid cynllunio, ac wedyn cafwyd gweithdy a chyfle i ofyn cwestiynau
19 Mawrth	Cyflwyniad ynghylch y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'r Grŵp Annibynnol yn Neuadd y Sir, Caerfyrddin.
20 Mawrth	Rhannwyd fideo <i>Biteable</i> ar yr ymgynghoriad ynghylch safleoedd ymgeisio ar y cyfryngau cymdeithasol.
23 Mawrth	Daeth yr ymgynghoriad ynghylch Cytundeb Cyflawni y CDLl Diwygiedig i ben.
8 Mai	Cyfarfod Panel Ymgynghorol y CDLl rhif 4, Siambr y Cyngor, Neuadd y Sir, Caerfyrddin.
23 Mai	Cyfarfod cyntaf Fforwm Rhanddeiliad Allweddol y CDLI - Neuadd San Pedr, Caerfyrddin. Y Pwrpas: er mwyn i ystod eang o gyrff a grwpiau sydd â diddordeb yn nyfodol Sir Gaerfyrddin gael cyfle i helpu i lunio'r CDLI.
13 Mehefin	Ystyriodd y Cyngor Sir Gytundeb Cyflawni Drafft a Chanllawiau Cynllunio Atodol Drafft - Ynni Gwynt ac Ynni'r Haul - Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin 2018- 2033.

Rhoddwyd gwybod i'r Cyngor bod y Bwrdd Gweithredol, yn ei gyfarfod ar 30 Ebrill, 2018 (gweler Cofnod 9) wedi ystyried adroddiad ynghylch bod y Cyngor yn ymgynghori ar Ganllawiau Cynllunio Atodol Drafft - Ynni Gwynt ac Ynni'r Haul - Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Cyngor Sir Caerfyrddin, a baratowyd i ategu ac ymhelaethu ar bolisïau a darpariaethau Cynllun Datblygu Lleol mabwysiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin cyn iddo gael ei fabwysiadu'n ffurfiol, gan adlewyrchu'r ymrwymiad a nodir yn y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol.

PENDERFYNWYD YN UNFRYDOL dderbyn yr argymhellion canlynol gan y Bwrdd Gweithredol:

" cymeradwyo bod y fersiwn ddrafft o'r Canllawiau Cynllunio Atodol a nodir yn yr adroddiad yn destun ymgynghori cyhoeddus ffurfiol am chwe wythnos;

Cymeradwyo cyhoeddi'r Canllawiau o ran Effaith Gronnol Tyrbinau Gwynt ar Amwynder Gweledol a Thirwedd a'r Astudiaethau Sensitifrwydd a Chynhwysedd Tirwedd fel dogfennau ategol i'r Canllawiau Cynllunio Atodol a'r CDLl Diwygiedig sydd ar ddod;

awdurdodi'r Pennaeth Cynllunio i gywiro gwallau argraffu, gwallau cartograffig neu wallau gramadegol a gwneud diwygiadau er mwyn gwella'r cywirdeb a gwneud yr ystyr yn gliriach."

13 Mehefin

Ystyriwyd Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin 2018-2033 gan y Cyngor Sir.

http://democratiaeth.sirgar.llyw.cymru/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=155&Mld=1237&Ve r=4

Rhoddwyd gwybod i'r Cyngor bod y Bwrdd Gweithredol, yn ei gyfarfod ar 4 Mehefin, 2018 (gweler Cofnod 20) wedi ystyried adroddiad ar y Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft a luniwyd mewn ymateb i benderfyniad y Cyngor ar 10 Ionawr, 2018 i ddechrau'n ffurfiol ar y gwaith o baratoi Cynllun Datblygu Lleol diwygiedig (newydd) yn dilyn cyfnod o ymgynghori cyhoeddus a ddaeth i ben ar 23 Mawrth, 2018. Nodwyd, os bydd y Cyngor yn cadarnhau'r Cytundeb Drafft, y byddai angen ei gyflwyno wedyn i Lywodraeth Cymru er mwyn ei gymeradwyo. Yn amodol ar y gymeradwyaeth honno, byddai gan y Cyngor gyfnod o 3.5 mlynedd i weithredu'r Cynllun erbyn y terfyn amser o 2021.

PENDERFYNWYD YN UNFRYDOL fabwysiadu'r argymhellion canlynol gan y Bwrdd Gweithredol:

Bod y sylwadau a ddaeth i law a'r argymhellion mewn perthynas â'r Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft yn cael eu cadarnhau;

Bod y newidiadau i'r amserlen yn cael eu cymeradwyo;

Cymeradwyo cyflwyno'r Cytundeb Cyflawni (yn cynnwys argymhellion yr adroddiad) i Lywodraeth Cymru gael cytuno arno;

Nodi bod y cyfnod ymgynghori ar gyfer cyflwyno safleoedd ymgeisio wedi cael ei ymestyn i 29 Awst 2018".

22 Mehefin	Arolwg ar-lein ar faterion, gweledigaeth ac amcanion, sef "Dechrau'r Sgwrs", yn ymddangos ar dudalen ymgyngoriadau'r Cyngor. Bydd hwn ar-lein tan 10 Awst 2018.
25 Mehefin	Cyflwyniad i'r Grŵp Annibynnol – diben y digwyddiad oedd creu materion.
28 Mehefin	Anfonwyd Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft y CDLI Diwygiedig i Lywodraeth Cymru ei gymeradwyo. Cytunodd Llywodraeth Cymru ar y Cytundeb Cyflawni drwy anfon llythyr ffurfiol drwy e-bost ar yr un diwrnod. <u>https://www.sirgar.llyw.cymru/media/1215061/carmarthenshire-da-agreement-letter-</u> <u>replacement-ldp-web-sent-280618-welsh.pdf</u>
5 Gorffennaf	Fforwm Datblygwyr y CDLI yn Neuadd San Pedr, Caerfyrddin. Rhoddwyd cyflwyniad ar y broses o baratoi'r CDLI Diwygiedig i ddatblygwyr, ac wedyn cafwyd gweithdy a chyfle i ofyn cwestiynau.
6 Gorffennaf	Cyhoeddwyd Cytundeb Cyflawni'r CDLI ar wefan Cyngor Sir Caerfyrddin. https://www.sirgar.llyw.cymru/media/1215071/delivery-agreement-agreed-by-welsh- government-cymraeg.pdf
9 Gorffennaf	Cyflwyniad i Grŵp Plaid Cymru – diben y digwyddiad oedd creu materion.
17 Gorffennaf	Cyfarfod Panel Ymgynghorol y CDLl rhif 5.
30 Gorffennaf	Y Bwrdd Gweithredol - Cyflwynwyd cofnodion y Panel Ymgynghorol ar 9 Tachwedd 2017, 17 Tachwedd 2017 a 19 Ionawr 2018 ynghyd â'r Cylch Gwaith, i'r Bwrdd Gweithredol. <u>http://democratiaeth.sirgar.llyw.cymru/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=131&MId=1238&Ve</u> <u>r=4</u> Bu'r Bwrdd Gweithredol yn ystyried Cylch Gwaith Panel Ymgynghorol Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin (CDLI) 2018-2033 ynghyd â chofnodion cyfarfodydd y Panel ar 9 a 17 Tachwedd 2017 ac ar 19 Ionawr 2018: PENDERFYNWYD YN UNFRYDOL dderbyn Cylch Gwaith Panel Ymgynghorol Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin 2018-2033 ynghyd â chofnodion ei gyfarfodydd.
30 Gorffennaf	Seminar i Gynghorau Tref a Chymuned ynghylch y CDLI yn Neuadd Ddinesig Llandeilo.

29 Awst	Dyddiad cau ar gyfer 'Gwahoddiad i Gyflwyno Safleoedd Ymgeisio'.
13 Medi	Fforwm Rhanddeiliaid Allweddol 2 yn Neuadd San Pedr, Caerfyrddin.
20 Medi	Ail gyfarfod y Fforwm Datblygwyr, Neuadd San Pedr, Caerfyrddin.
21 Medi	Y Pwyllgor Craffu - Cymunedau.
1 Hydref	Cyfarfod Panel Ymgynghorol y CDLI rhif 6.
26 Hydref	Cyfarfod Panel Ymgynghorol y CDLI rhif 7.
12 Rhagfyr	Mae ymgynghoriad yn dechrau ar Strategaeth a Ffefrir y CDLI Diwygiedig, yr Asesiad Cynaliadwyedd, yr Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd, yr Adroddiad Adolygu, y Gofrestr o Safleoedd Ymgeisio a'r Gwahoddiad ar gyfer Safleoedd Tywod a Graean.
2019	
9 Ionawr	Gwahoddwyd Cynghorwyr Sir i sesiynau galw heibio i Aelodau Lleol i drafod y CDLl diwygiedig arfaethedig mewn perthynas â'u wardiau. Trefnwyd cyfarfodydd unigol hefyd ar gyfer yr Aelodau hynny nad oeddent yn gallu bod yn bresennol ar 9 Ionawr.
23 Ebrill	Cyfarfod â chynrychiolwyr o Lywodraeth Cymru yng Nghaerfyrddin i drafod sylwadau Llywodraeth Cymru o ran Strategaeth a Ffefrir y CDLI Diwygiedig a materion perthnasol eraill.
24 Ebrill	Cyfarfod Panel Ymgynghorol y CDLl rhif 8.

15 Mai	Ystyriodd y Cyngor Sir argymhellion y Bwrdd Gweithredol o ran y Fersiwn Ddrafft o Strategaeth Cyn-Adneuo a Ffefrir Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin 2018-2033 (Gweler atodiad 3b). <u>http://democratiaeth.sirgar.llyw.cymru/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=155&MId=2922&Ve</u> <u>r=4</u>
4 Gorffennaf	Rhoddwyd cyflwyniad ynghylch y CDLl Diwygiedig i'r Grŵp Annibynnol.
11 Medi	Rhoddwyd cyflwyniad ynghylch y CDLl Diwygiedig i'r Grŵp Llafur.
17 Medi	Rhoddwyd cyflwyniad ynghylch y CDLl Diwygiedig i'r Grŵp Annibynnol.
24 Medi	Cyfarfod Panel Ymgynghorol y CDLl rhif 9.
10 a 18 Hydref	Gwahoddwyd Cynghorwyr Sir i sesiynau galw heibio i Aelodau Lleol i weld mapiau o aneddiadau'r CDLl diwygiedig arfaethedig ar gyfer eu wardiau. Trefnwyd cyfarfodydd unigol hefyd ar gyfer yr Aelodau hynny nad oeddent yn gallu bod yn bresennol ar 10 neu 18.
13 Tachwedd	Ystyriodd y Cyngor Sir argymhellion y Bwrdd Gweithredol o ran y fersiwn Adneuol Drafft o Gynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin 2018-2033. <u>http://democratiaeth.sirgar.llyw.cymru/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=155&MId=2010&Ve</u> <u>r=4</u>
	PENDERFYNWYD YN UNFRYDOL dderbyn yr argymhellion canlynol gan y Bwrdd Gweithredol:-
	"ystyried a chymeradwyo cynnwys y Fersiwn Adneuol Drafft o Gynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig 2018-2033 (a dogfennau atodol) at ddibenion ymgynghoriad cyhoeddus ffurfiol;
	Rhoi awdurdod dirprwyedig i swyddogion wneud addasiadau teipograffyddol, cartograffig a/neu ffeithiol ansylweddol yn ôl yr angen, i wella eglurder a chywirdeb y Fersiwn Drafft o'r Strategaeth a Ffefrir;
	Cymeradwyo'r Fersiwn Drafft o'r Canllawiau Cynllunio Atodol ynghylch Moryd Byrri ac Ardal Cadwraeth Arbennig Caeau Mynydd Mawr at ddibenion ymgynghori yr un pryd â'r CDLl Adneuo."

Atodiad 2

Cytundeb Cyflawni'r CDLl Diwygiedig:

2a – Amserlen y CDLl Diwygiedig

2b – Ymatebion i Gytundeb Cyflawni'r CDLl Diwygiedig (Adroddiad i'r Cyngor Sir, 13 Mehefin 2018)

2c – Cofnodion y Cyngor Sir (13 Mehefin 2018)

Atodiad 2a

Amserlen y CDLl Diwygiedig

Atodiad 1: Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin - Amserlen

	2018									2019										2020									2021							
	Ch	ME	М	MG) A	м	н т	Rh		h N	ΛE				MH	I T	Rh	I Ch	м	EN	им	G	AN	ИН	ΙT	Rh	I CI	hM	EN	ΛM	G/	۱M	ΗТ	R		
Cyfnod Allweddol 1 - Cytundeb Cyflawni (CC) (Rhe 5-10)	نعذ																													نعذ	<u>si</u>	نصغ	ي في	Ċ.		
Paratoi ac adrodd y CC drafft i'r Cyngor	Π																															T		Τ		
Cyhoeddi CC drafft ar gyfer Ymgynghori	Ħ		\square		+					╈			╈	+					\square					+	\mathbf{H}			\square	+					+		
Ystyried ac adrodd yr ymatebion a dderbynwyd	П					H			H			Ħ	╈	+					H						Ħ			\square		+				┢		
Cyflwyno'r CC i Lywodraeth Cymru (LIC) ar gyfer cymeradwyo	Ħ					\square			H	╈		H	╈						\square									\square		+				┢		
Cyhoeddi'r CC cymeradwy ar ôl cael caniatâd gan LIC	\square									╈		H	╈						H									\square						T		
Cyfnod Allweddol 2 - Cyn-adneuo - Paratoi a Chyfranogi (Rhe 14)	نط																													نعز	يرزع	نصغ	ونع	is 1		
Adolygu a datblygu'r sylfaen dystiolaeth a gwybodaeth																														T		T		Т		
Adolygu a datblygu opsiynnau strategol, gweledigaeth ac amcanion									П											+								\square	+	+		+				
Cyfnod A Yr Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd SA (SEA) : Paratoi yr Adroddiad Cwmpasu					+					╈			╈						H	+								\square	+	+		+		+		
Ymgynghori ar Adroddiad Cwmpasu SA (SEA)	Π									╈			╈						H	+								\square	+	+		+		┢		
Asesu, ystyried ac adrodd yr ymatebion a dderbynwyd ar Adroddiad Cwmpasu SA (SEA)	Ħ		Π						Ħ	T										1	1			T					╈	Ħ		\square		T		
Gwahoddiad ar gyfer Cyflwyno Safle Ymgeisio	╆						+		┢┼┤	╈	+	\vdash	╈	+		+	+	+	$\left \right $	╈	+			╈	+	-		╉	+	+	+	╉╋		┢		
Cyhoeddi'r Gofrestr Safle Ymgeisio	╉								⊢	+	+	\vdash	+	+		+	+	+	\vdash	+	+			+	+	-		+	+	++	<u> </u>	++		╈		
Cyfnod Allweddol 3 - Cyn-adneuo - Ymgynghori (Rhe 15, 16, 16A)	┢━╈																													┢━┥	<u> </u>	<u>de de la c</u>		┢┓		
Paratoi ac adrodd adroddiad pontio / cychwynnol yr SA (SEA)																														-		-		-		
	╊╋	+	+		+		-		\vdash	+		\vdash	+	╉			+	+	$\left \right $	+	+			+	╉╋	-		+	+	╉╋	+	╉╋		╋		
Paratoi ac adrodd adroddiad sgrinio yr Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd (HRA)																																				
Paratoi ac adrodd Y Strategaeth a Ffefrir	П																															Т		Τ		
Cyhoeddi ac ymgynghori ar y Strategaeth a Ffefrir, (gan gynnwys Safleoedd strategol, lle'r briodol)	ľ																													Π		Π		Γ		
Cyhoeddi ac ymgynghori ar adroddiad pontio / cychwynnol yr SA (SEA) ac adroddiad	\square		Π						П			H	╈						H									\square						\top		
sgrinio yr HRA	Ш																																			
Asesu, ystyried ac adrodd yr ymatebion a dderbynwyd ar y Strategaeth a Ffefrir,																																				
adroddiad pontio / cychwynnol yr SA (SEA) ac adroddiad sgrinio yr HRA	╄┤	_	++		+	\vdash	+		⊢				+	+			+	_	\vdash	+	_			+	++	_		+	+	+	<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>	╄		
Cyhoeddi'r adroddiad ymgynghori pontio / cychwynnol																															▃┶		┷┷╸	┶		
Cyfnod Allweddol 4 - ymgynghori - CDLl Adnau (Rhe 17-21)																														44		444		-		
Paratoi'r CDLl Adnau yn ogystal â adroddiadau SA (SEA) a HRA	╊╋	_	+	_	+	\vdash	_	-	┍									_	\vdash	+	_			+	++	_		+	+	┿┥	<u> </u>	┽┥	<u> </u>	┢		
Adrodd y CDLI Adnau, SA (SEA) a HRA drafft	╊╋	_	++	+	┢	\vdash	+	-	┢┼┥	+	+	\vdash	+	+					\vdash	+	+	\square		+	+	-		+	+	+	<u> </u>	++	_ - -	╋		
Cyhoeddi y CDLI Adnau, SA (SEA) a HRA drafft ar gyfer ymgynghoriad Asesu ac ystyried yr ymatebion a dderbynwyd ar y CDLI Adnau, yr Adroddiad SA (SEA) a'r	╊╋	+	+	+	┢	\vdash	+	-	┢┼┥	+	+	\vdash	+	+		+	_	_						+	+	-	_	+	+	╉╼┨	<u> </u>	╶┼╌┤	_ - }-	┢		
Adroddiad HRA drafft																																				
Adrodd yr ymatebion a dderbynwyd ar y CDLl Adnau, yr Adroddiad SA (SEA) a'r	+	+	+	+	╈	\vdash	+		┢┼	╈		\vdash	╈	+		+								+	+			╉	+	++		+		+		
Adroddiad HRA drafft																																				
Cyfnod Allweddol 5	نط																													نصغ	<u>nia</u>	نصغ	<u>nia</u>	ė,		
Cyflwyno'r CDLl i LlC (Rhe 22)																																-		-		
Cyfnod Allweddol 6	┢╍┙																													فسنه	<u>ai</u>	┢╍╈		┢┓		
Archwiliad gan gynnwys y Cyfarfod Cyn-Gwrandawiad (Rhe 23)				1						T																								F		
																														┢		┢				
Cyfnod Allweddol 7										Ţ									,					-	, ,					F				F		
Cyhoeddi Adroddiad yr Arolygydd (Rhe 24)																														╧╧┙	┛					
Cyfnod Allweddol 8				ļ		, ,	Ţ			Ţ									,						ļ											
Mabwysiadu (Rhe 25, 25A)																																				
Cyfnod Allweddol 9																																				
Monitro ac Adolygu(Rhe 37)									\Box										\Box																	
		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_			_	_		_	_														_	_		



Atodiad 2b

Ymatebion i Gytundeb Cyflawni'r CDLl Diwygiedig (Adroddiad i'r Cyngor Sir, 13 Mehefin 2018)

Appendix 1

Draft Delivery Agreement – Consultation Responses

The following responses we're received during the consultation period held between the 5th February and 23rd March 2018 in respect of the Draft Delivery Agreement for the Revised Carmarthenshire Local Development Plan 2018 – 2033. Where appropriate each representation is accompanied by the comments received (summarised where appropriate) along with officer comments and recommendations.

Representation No: DA/001

Name: W Thomas

Organisation (where applicable): N/A

Question 1a – Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **YES**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: **YES**

Question 2a – Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **YES**

Comment: Mae'r amserlen yn dynn o gofio bod angen trafod gyda nifer sylweddol o randdeiliaid.

The timetable is tight given that there is a need to discuss with a significant number of stakeholders.

Question 3a – Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **YES**

Question 3b – Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **YES**

Question 3c – Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **YES**

Question 3d – Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **YES**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions: **None**

Officer Response

Wedi nodi. Er ei bod wedi nodi bod proses paratoi'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) a'i amserlen ar gyfer ei pharatoi yn cynnwys nifer o gyfnodau allweddol gan gynnwys y rheiny pan fydd ymgysylltiad yn arbennig o bwysig. Mae'r amserlen gyfan ar gyfer paratoi'r CDLI Diwygiedig wedi ei seilio ar gyngor wrth Lywodraeth Cymru a'r cyfnodau sydd wedi eu gosod yn y rheoliadau statudol. Dylid nodi bod yr amserlen yn cynnwys elfennau sydd wedi ei gosod yn gadarn, yn enwedig o gwmpas yr Archwiliad Cyhoeddus pan mae ychydig o ddisgresiwn gyda'r awdurdod i wneud addasiadau pellach. Noted. Whilst it is noted that the LDP's preparatory process and its timetable for preparation includes a number of key stages including those where engagement is of particular importance. The overall timescale for its preparation of the Revised LDP is based upon Welsh Government advice and the stages set out within the statutory regulations. It should also be noted that the timetable includes fixed timetabling elements, notably around the Examination in Public where there is limited discretion for the authority to make further adjustments.

Recommendation

Dim newid i'r Cytundeb Cyflenwi. Modd bynnag, dylid cyfeirio at y 'newidiadau arfaethedig y swyddogion' sydd wedi eu gosod yn yr adroddiad yma. Gweler Atodiad 2.

No change to the Delivery Agreement. Reference should however be had to the 'Officer Proposed Changes' as set out within this report. See Appendix 2.

Name: F Jones

Organisation (where applicable): West Wales Rivers Trust

Question 1a – Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **YES**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: **YES**

Question 2a – Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **YES**

Question 3a – Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **NO**

Comment: The West Wales Rivers Trust is not listed within the list of consultation bodies.

Question 3b – Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **YES**

Question 3c - Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **NO**

Comment: Environmental Non-Government Organisations should be represented on the stakeholder group - Wales Environment Link can nominate a person.

Question 3d – Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **NO**

Comment: The West Wales Rivers Trust should be included in the list of consultation bodies.

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions: **None**

Officer Response

Noted. The preparatory process associated with the Revised LDP and the Delivery Agreement is recognised with the value of the Environment and central in the preparation of the Revised LDP and its policies and proposals.

Whilst it is agreed to add The West Wales Rivers Trust to the list of consultation bodies. It is not considered necessary to further add to the membership of the Key Stakeholder Forum as sufficient representation is currently included to facilitate a discussion across a range of groups.

Recommendation Amend the Delivery Agreement by adding The West Wales Rivers Trust to the list of consultation bodies.

Name: C Peters-Bond

Organisation (where applicable): N/A

Question 1a - Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **No**

Comment: If the document is aimed at members of the public, then the plan is dense, full of jargon and relatively impenetrable.

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: **YES**

Comment: If you can get through the language used to describe it.

Question 2a – Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **YES**

Question 3a – Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **YES**

Question 3b – Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **YES**

Question 3c – Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **YES**

Question 3d – Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **YES**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions:

The respondent states that the lack of a coordinated development plan with adjoining Councils is a mistake. While a long list of potential consultees have been listed, it's not clear how their views will effectively influence the plan which appears quite inward looking.

Officer Response

Noted. Whilst it is recognised that some aspects around the content of the Delivery Agreement may not be entirely Plain English its content in places reflects the often technical nature of its content. The Council will however be preparing an 'easy read' publication to support the use of the Revised LDP.

The value attached to working with neighbouring Council's is reflected in the Welsh Governments Tests of Soundness against which the appropriateness of the Revised LDP will be measured and assessed. In this respect the neighbouring authorities within the region have a long standing and close relationship with collaboration and information sharing an important part. This remains and each neighbouring authority are a specific consultee in plan making and have representatives on the Key Stakeholder Forum. Reference is made to section 1.8 of the Draft Delivery Agreement.

Recommendation

No change to the Delivery Agreement.

Name: J Rollinson

Organisation (where applicable): J4mRoll Solutions

Question 1a – Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **YES**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: **YES**

Question 2a – Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **YES**

Question 3a – Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **YES**

Question 3b – Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **YES**

Question 3c – Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **YES**

Question 3d – Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **YES**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions: **None**

Officer Response Noted

Recommendation **No change to the Delivery Agreement.**

Name: M. Lindsley

Organisation (where applicable): The Coal Authority

Question 1a - Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **N/A**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: N/A

Question 2a - Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **N/A**

Question 3a - Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **N/A**

Question 3b - Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **N**/**A**

Question 3c - Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **N/A**

Question 3d - Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **N/A**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions:

Note comments submitted in light of the Review Report. Having had an opportunity to review the Review Report and note that no fundamental changes are proposed to the mineral or unstable land policies, although these policies will respond to any contextual, evidential or factual changes arising. On this basis we have no specific comments to make at this time.

Officer Response

It is noted that the comments received predominately relate to the content of the Review Report. The respondent remains a consultee in the preparation of the Revised LDP.

Recommendation **No change to the Delivery Agreement.**

Name: G Ayres

Organisation (where applicable): Carmarthenshire County Council

Question 1a - Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **N/A**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: N/A

Question 2a - Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **N/A**

Question 3a - Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **N/A**

Question 3b - Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **N**/**A**

Question 3c - Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: N/A

Question 3d - Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **N/A**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions:

Page 4, paragraph 1.4.1 - There is reference here to 'the Council's Well-being Plan'. The respondent wishes to confirm that the Well-being Plan is the responsibility of the PSB and not the Council. Could this be amended?

Page 4, Soundness tests questions – Whilst appreciating that these questions are probably set at a national level the respondent points out that the questions relating to Single Integrated Plan (SIP) will not be relevant after May 2018 as all Counties will have replaced their SIPs with well-being plans from May 2018 onwards.

Page 13, paragraph 3.3.3 - Key Stakeholder Forum - There is reference to 'existing Community Strategy Partnership'. This require clarification.

Page 16, paragraph 3.4.3 - Seldom Heard Groups – Highlights the opportunity to access some such groups through other Council resources.

Page 17, paragraph 3.4.6 - Town and Community Councils – Reference is made to the existing network (forum) and the current 7 town and community councils subject to the Act. Highlights that this is a forum with the Clerks and Development Officers from those councils and not directly with the Community Councillors. Suggests that there is an opportunity to utilise such a forum. Makes reference to the requirement for these Councils from 2019 onwards to prepare an annual report to the PSB on how they're working to achieve the objectives of the well-being plan.

Page 46, Appendix 7 – Key Stakeholder Forum - Amend 'Carmarthenshire Local Health Board' to 'Hywel Dda University Health Board'.

Notes Dyfed Powys Police are named twice. The Police and Crime Commissioner is now responsible for all Police estates.

Officer Response

Noted. The respondent's points in relation to the tests of soundness are noted however, these reflect that material issued by the Welsh Government. The Revised LDP will however have full regard to the Well-being Plan once it supersedes the SIPs.

Welcomes the respondent's comments in respect of assisting in accessing a number of groups and forums is welcomed. Reference is made to paragraph 3.4.9 in respect of engaging with Town and Community Councils, including the forum identified.

The inclusion of Dyfed Powys Police and the Police and Crime Commissioner is intended to reflect the diversity of responsibilities and the range of contribution they can make to the Revised LDP's preparations

Recommendation

Amend paragraph 3.3.3 to ensure it is up-to-date and reflective of current provisions.

Amend paragraph 1.4.1 to clarify that the Well-being Plan is the responsibility of the Public Service Board.

Amend Appendix 7 to change 'Carmarthenshire Local Health Board' to 'Hywel Dda University Health Board'

Name: S Luke

Organisation (where applicable): Natural Resources Wales

Question 1a - Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **N/A**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: N/A

Question 2a - Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **N/A**

Question 3a - Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **N/A**

Question 3b - Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **N**/**A**

Question 3c - Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **N/A**

Question 3d - Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **N/A**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions: The respondent agrees with the proposed 'proposed schedule of works' as set out within the draft DA.

The respondent has no further comments.

Officer Response Noted and welcomed.

Recommendation **No change to the Delivery Agreement.**

Name: S Morris

Organisation (where applicable): Pembrokeshire County Council

Question 1a - Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **N/A**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: N/A

Question 2a - Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **N/A**

Question 3a - Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **N/A**

Question 3b - Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **N**/**A**

Question 3c - Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **N/A**

Question 3d - Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **N/A**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions:

The respondent notes that the proposals are for a replacement Plan which will run to 2033, which corresponds with that for the proposed Pembrokeshire replacement LDP. Comments that this is helpful, particularly in the context of the letter from Lesley Griffiths AM, which proposed a Joint LDP for Carmarthenshire, Pembrokeshire and Ceredigion. Although the three authorities are currently moving ahead with proposals for single-authority LDP reviews, it is wise for each to align its review procedures and co-ordinate evidence preparation wherever possible.

Paragraphs 1.8.2 and 1.8.3 set out Carmarthenshire's position on Joint Plans and on the desirability of collaborative / collective work with neighbour Local Planning Authorities, wherever opportunities allow. The respondent supports Carmarthenshire's views in this respect.

The respondent supports their inclusion as a member of the Key Stakeholder Forum and its listing as a Specific Consultation body for the LDP. The respondent highlights that it will be pleased to contribute throughout the process of preparing the LDP.

In referencing Table 2 the respondent notes that the timescale is set out as being September 2018 – June 2020. Should this read September 2019 – 2020?

Officer Response

Support Welcomed.

The comments in relation to collaboration and co-ordination of evidence is welcomed.

Recommendation

Amend table 2 of the Delivery Agreement as appropriate (reference should also be had to the proposed amendments set out within Appendix 2 of this report).

Name: E W Evans

Organisation (where applicable): Llangennech Community Council

Question 1a - Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **N/A**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: N/A

Question 2a - Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **N/A**

Question 3a - Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: **N/A**

Question 3b - Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **N**/**A**

Question 3c - Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **N/A**

Question 3d - Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **N/A**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions:

The respondent makes a general comment in respect of the Revised LDP highlighting that they have resolved to oppose any further development in Llangennech. Further comments/observations will be made during stages of the preparation of the Revised LDP.

Officer Response Noted

Recommendation **No change to the Delivery Agreement.**

Name: Not identified

Organisation (where applicable): Not identified

Question 1a – Do you consider the content and purpose of the DA to be Clear and Understandable?: **YES**

Question 1b – Does the draft DA make clear the appropriate steps/stages in respect of LDP preparation?: N/A

Question 2a - Do you consider the proposed Timetable for the preparation of the LDP to be realistic and deliverable?: **N/A**

Question 3a - Does the CIS make it clear how and when you or your organisation can get involved?: N/A

Question 3b - Do you consider that the methods of involvement identified within the CIS are appropriate?: **N**/**A**

Question 3c - Do you consider that the appropriate key stakeholders have been identified to achieve a representative Plan?: **N/A**

Question 3d - Do you consider that the appropriate Consultation Bodies and multi-agency partnerships have been identified?: **N/A**

Question 4 - Additional Comments/suggestions: **None**

Officer Response Noted

Recommendation **No change to the Delivery Agreement.**

Atodiad 2c

Cofnodion y Cyngor Sir (13 Mehefin 2018)

Cofnodion y Cyngor Sir

Dydd Mercher, 13 eg Mehefin, 2018

8.8 Fersiwn Diwygiedig o Gynllun Datblygu Lleol Sir Gaefyrddin 2018 – 2033 Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft

Rhoddwyd gwybod i'r Cyngor bod y Bwrdd Gweithredol, yn ei gyfarfod ar 4 Mehefin, 2018 (gweler Cofnod 20) wedi ystyried adroddiad ar y Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft a luniwyd mewn ymateb i benderfyniad y Cyngor ar 4 Ionawr, 2018 i ddechrau'n ffurfiol ar y gwaith o baratoi Cynllun Datblygu Lleol diwygiedig (newydd) yn dilyn cyfnod o ymgynghori cyhoeddus a ddaeth i ben ar 23 Mawrth, 2018. Nodwyd, os bydd y Cyngor yn cadarnhau'r Cytundeb Drafft, y byddai angen ei gyflwyno wedyn i Lywodraeth Cymru er mwyn ei gymeradwyo. Yn amodol ar y gymeradwyaeth honno, byddai gan y Cyngor gyfnod o 3.5 mlynedd i weithredu'r Cynllun erbyn y terfyn amser o 2021.

PENDERFYNWYD YN UNFRYDOL fabwysiadu argymhellion canlynol y Bwrdd Gweithredol:

Bod y sylwadau a ddaeth i law a'r argymhellion mewn perthynas â'r Cytundeb Cyflawni Drafft yn cael eu cadarnhau;

Bod y newidiadau i'r amserlen yn cael eu cymeradwyo;

Cymeradwyo cyflwyno'r Cytundeb Cyflawni (yn cynnwys argymhellion yr adroddiad) i

Lywodraeth Cymru gael cytuno arno;

Nodi bod y cyfnod ymgynghori ar gyfer cyflwyno safleoedd ymgeisio wedi cael ei ymestyn i 29 Awst 2018".

Atodiad 3

Strategaeth a Ffefrir y CDLI Diwygiedig:

3a – Ymatebion i Strategaeth a Ffefrir y CDLI Diwygiedig (Adroddiad i'r Cyngor Sir, 15 Mai 2019)

3b – Cofnodion y Cyngor Sir (15 Mai 2019)

Atodiad 3a

Ymatebion i Strategaeth a Ffefrir y CDLl Diwygiedig (Adroddiad i'r Cyngor Sir, 15 Mai 2019)

Preferred Strategy Summary of Representations & Response / Recommendations

Section 1. Introduction

Section 1. Introduction

Secti	on 1. Introduction	
Section	on 1. Introduction	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1994	National Grid Company plc. (Lucy Bartley) [2586]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We have reviewed the above consultation document and make in response to this consultation.	can confirm that National Grid has no comments to
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comment noted.	
===		
Parag	graph 1.1	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
675	Lynda James [3039]	Comment
	Summary:	
	The Letitia Cornwallis Trust, established 1790's located o inclusion as a candidate site for using the 3.5 acres to cre the Georgian Alms House, renovation of the Georgian Sc of the land at the rear of the property to build (yet to be re whilst maintaining the large recreational area and the exist	ate a community hub. This would include restoration on hool and associated buildings and consider using som fined in detail) units, homes, affordable, self builds etc.
Respor	nse / Recommendation	
1	Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of	the candidate site process.
===		
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
810	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Support
	Summary:	
	NRW welcome the opportunity to comment on the Draft F	re-Deposit Preferred Strategy.
Respor	nse / Recommendation	
-	Comments noted / support welcomed. The Council lo with the respondent as the Plan making process proc provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed	eeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input

Section 3. What is in the Preferred Strategy?

Paragraph 3.1

Representation(s)

677 Lynda James [3039]

Summary:

The respondent requests the site be considered for inclusion. Reference should be made to representation 675

Response / Recommendation

Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process

Nature Support

Section 4. Influences on the Plan

Section 4. Influences on the Plan

Representation(s)

1779 Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778]

Summary:

We believe the Regional Technical Statement and the Welsh Marine Plan should be added to the list of documents referred to

Change To Plan Sought:

Amend the text to include the Welsh Marine Plan & the Regional Technical Statement.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of 'Section 4. Influences on the Plan' are duly noted. Any amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

Nature

Object

Paragraph 4.5

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1759	City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]	Comment
	Summary:	
	The Swansea LDP is at an advanced stage and adoption February 2019. The cross boundary implications of the for account in the deposit plan and reflected appropriately in * Strategic Site Allocation SD A - South OF Glanffrwd Ro requirements (Policy SDH, Appendix 5, Appendix 3, Infra * Strategic Site Allocation SD H and associated developr Gowerton Station	Ilowing policies will therefore need to be taken into the SA and HRA process. ad, Pontarddulais and associated developer structure Development Plan)

* Non-Strategic Site allocations under Policy H1 at Gorseinon and Loughor - impact on water quality and highways network. (see H1.30/H1.19/H1.25/H1.32)

* RP 4 - Water Quality

* EU1 - Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Developments - See Solar Search Areas on Proposals Map - located to east of Pontarddulais. See also Swansea's Renewable Energy Assessment May 2018 (ED072)

- * ER 5: Special Landscape Areas -(See Proposals Map)
- * ER 7 Undeveloped Coast protection of the seascape from Swansea's undeveloped coast
- * HC 3: Welsh Language Sensitive Area (See Proposals Maps)
- * RP12: Sand and Gravel Resources

* RC5 District Centres: See Pontarddulais, Gorseinon, Loughor and Gowerton District Shopping Centres

Response / Recommendation

Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard.

Representation(s)

1749 City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]

Summary:

The commitment to work with neighbouring LPAs (at section 4.5) is welcomed. We are keen to work collaboratively over the plan preparation process to ensure that all relevant local trans-boundary issues and incombination effects are addressed and that a sound basis is laid for the future preparation of any Strategic Development Plan for our region. The key topic areas where cross-boundary/regional working is required are considered to be:

- a) Transport, air quality
- b) Spatial distribution of growth/candidate site selection.
- c) Water Quality/Burry Inlet and Loughor Estuary CBEEMs
- d) Local transboundary effects in SA Scoping Report
- e) Swansea LDP Policies, Designations, Allocations with Cross Boundary implications.

The comments and suggestions we have provided are surrounding these themes and relate to the relevant sections of the Preferred Strategy, SA Report, HRA Report and relevant Topic Papers

Response / Recommendation

Support welcomed. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent along as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP.

Paragraph 4.6

Representation(s)

678 Lynda James [3039]

Summary:

The "vision" of Letitia Cornwallis Trust includes maintenance of the recreational area and perhaps use of some of the assets to provide gym or changing room facilities. There have also been discussions with Calon Cymru which include the possibility of a cycle link between Llanwrda and Llansadwrn.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process.

Nature

Support

Support

Nature

Page 5 of 99

Section 5. Carmarthenshire - Strategic Context

Paragraph 5.2

Representation(s)

701 Mr Gerwyn Thomas [3248]

Summary:

it is vital that the distinctive character of our local communities are maintained and are not assimilated into neighboring communities, otherwise there is a very real danger of community identity being irreversibly lost with social cohesion and "community" itself being destroyed.

It's the feeling of belonging to and being part of a particular community that is the key to a healthy community and to risk destroying that by merging communities is unnecessary and irresponsible.

Reference is made to candidate site submission in the Llanelli / Bryn area

Response / Recommendation

Noted. The Revised LDP will be prepared in accordance with the provisions of PPW Ed. 10 and the councils Site Selection methodology. The reference to the candidate sites by the respondent is not a matter for consideration within the Draft preferred Strategy. Rather this will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit Plan.

Paragraph 5.3

Representation(s)

534 Mr Owen Williams [3158]

Summary:

Growth estimates for the County are based upon the City-Region Deal without consideration of any critical sources. Any plan, no matter what, must be based on the EVIDENCE whether it is critical or not. Consideration must be given to research suggesting city deals may not result in the gains promoted by the deal-makers
 how will city deal success be measured/evaluated? Should be some measuring mechanism to understand quickly whether the LDP is based on flawed assumptions

Change To Plan Sought:

- Conduct critical assessment of the effects of the City-Region Deal and adjust the LDP accordingly

- Revise down economic and population growth estimates to ensure a deliverable and achievable plan

- Create mechanisms and measures to understand and track progress on criteria concerning the success/failure

of the City-Region Deal in Carmarthenshire

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. It is accepted that the Preferred Strategy incorporates and recognises the benefits and contributions that may accrue from the City Deal. However, it is not the sole basis for the strategic approach or growth requirements set out within the Plan. Indeed in terms of growth the potential from the City Deal whilst an informant is not the only driver for growth within Carmarthenshire. For example in terms of job creation the Councils own Transformation Strategy. This is also reflected in the selection of the strategic option which represents a hybrid approach understanding the varied nature of the County.

The respondent concerns in relation to the City Deal failing to deliver the growth anticipated is noted. In this respect the Deposit LDP will contain a monitoring framework. This will include a series of measures and triggers to assess the success or otherwise of the Plan in delivering its policies. We will continue to have constructive dialogue with partners including those within the City as appropriate to ensure the Plan remains up to date.

In addition as the Plan progresses through its preparatory stages it will respond to changes in circumstances as appropriate.

Nature

Comment

Nature Object

Paragraph 5.6

Representation(s)

Lynda James [3039] 679

Summary:

Letitia Cornwallis Trust is located close to the A40. It has experienced considerable loss of local resources, firstly the post office, then the school and now the remaining local public house has proven unsustainable in current times. Trustees aim to make use of its assets to provide some part time restaurant/bistro services (as Cym Dda) as well as a replacement service for meals on wheels. If proven viable (a feasibility study is in hand) then facilities could be provided for young people/children/toddlers to replace the loss of togetherness/community relating to the closure of the local school.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. This relates to a detailed matter which is beyond the remit of the Preferred Strategy. _____________________________________

Paragraph 5.7

Representation(s)

Darren Hall [526] 1365

Summary:

I hope that the existing allocations with regard to SLA designation are maintained and that these sites are offered the same protection and consideration as other designated sites.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted. Strategic Policy 13 provides the overarching framework for the natural environment, whilst SP 11 provides for consideration of high quality design. The consideration of whether any Special Landscape Areas will be identified in the Revised LDP, along with any resultant evidential facets, will be a matter for the deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

682 Lynda James [3039]

Summary:

Cornwallis House is a Grade 2 listed building.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process.

Paragraph 5.12

Representation(s)

Lynda James [3039] 685

Summary:

Letitia Cornwallis "vision" if agreed and implemented could help create some jobs thereby keeping or attracting younger people to help address the current imbalance between the older and younger peoples.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process.

Comment

Nature

Comment

___________________________________ Nature

Comment

Nature

Support

Paragraph 5.13

Representation(s)

1379 Darren Hall [526]

Summary:

The projection for LDP 2018-2033 requirements needs to be based on up-to-date predictions. Based on previous timelines updated projections/publications are were/are due in 2017/2018. Clearly outdated information and an 'adventurous' attitude towards development and growth within the county, need to be tempered and controlled and based on a cautionary outlook. These targets, whilst set at a pre-deposit stage are the fundamental drivers and ultimately clauses that enable uncontrolled, undesired and more importantly unrequired development, in areas that cannot support the

infrastructure requirements and will simply not recover from the impacts of such developments.

Change To Plan Sought:

please see representation and summary.

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree. The Council is proposing a "Balanced Community and Sustainable Growth Strategy" which is underpinned by a robust evidence base and has been subject to consensus building - notably through the Key Stakeholder Forum. In terms of the growth figures, the strategy seeks to provide balanced growth centred on the delivery of our communities' needs and the delivery of the region and the Council's strategic and regeneration objectives.

Representation(s)

Paragraph 5.15

687 Lynda James [3039]

Summary:

Cornwallis House is located next to the old part of the A40 at Llanwrda and has plenty of space for parking both on the "estate" and on the old A40.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process.

Representation(s)

688	Lynda James	[3039]
000	,	

Summary:

Buses run every hour from the bus stop next to Cornwallis land thus connecting Llanwrda with Llandovery , Llandeilo and Carmarthen.

Response / Recommendation

Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process.

Nature

Support

Nature

Nature

Object

Comment

Parac	graph 6.6	
_	entation(s)	Nature
811	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
	<i>Summary:</i> The list of summary issues in Section 6.6 appear reasor	nable and we have no further suggestions
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comments noted / support welcomed.	
	entation(s)	
689	Lynda James [3039]	Comment
	Summary:	
	The Letitia Cornwallis "vision" fits with and thereby enab	ples resolution of some of the issues identified i.e building and maintaining some employment and the provision of a
Respon	ise / Recommendation	
	Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of	of the candidate site process.
===		
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1059	Cai Parry [822]	Comment
	Summary:	
	The respondent supports Issues 18 & 19 in paragraph 6 homes being built in some areas, and the lack of a five	
	However, the respondent states that Issue 1 in paragraph projects in Llanelli and Carmarthen associated with the	
Respon	ise / Recommendation	
	Comments noted. Support welcomed for issues 18 a In regard to the respondents comment on Issue 1 of as housing growth, will be further analysed during p made between associated sections where appropria	Paragraph 6.6, links with other areas of the Plan suc preparation of the Deposit LDP and linkages will be ate.
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1665	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830]	Support
	Summary:	
	We note and welcome the inclusion of issue 23 regardir availability of our infrastructure capacity is a key element	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
9	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart V	Valters) [2345] Support
	Summary:	
	the mention of city deal, town centres, rural economy, urban and rural deprivation, pove	he strategic objectives. From an Economic Development perspective area growth (including employment opportunities), a buoyant visitor rrty, infrastructure capacity, lack of employment opportunities, s, a sense of place and disused buildings in the issues section is
Respor	use / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
===		
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1680	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke)	[3253] Support
	Summary:	
	support the inclusion of the following issues * Risks from flooding and the challenges pre * Biodiversity designations ranging from int * An ecological footprint that is currently exc * Rich landscape and townscape qualities.	esented by climate change. ernational to local level. ceeding sustainable levels. es and fresh air are contributors to happiness in rural areas. osed and existing developments.
Respor	use / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed	
	entation(s)	Nature
1776	Mr John Morris [3777]	Support
	Agent: LRM Planning (Mr. Michael Rees) [3002	2]
	Summary:	
	We are supportive of the vision for Carmart add "where the needs of residents are met"	henshire, however we are strongly of the view that it should specifica to the vision.
	particular helping to create a more equal was cohesive communities, as well as in meeting	will be key to achieving the wider Wales Well Being Goals in ales, a more prosperous Wales, a more resilient Wales and a Wales g the requirements of the new PPW10 and the provision of the right eting needs in an appropriate and sustainable manner can contribute

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The Revised LDP is being prepared in full accordance with the provisions of the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act and PPW. The respondent's comments in relation to need is noted and the Plan will be consistent with national planning policy and the principles of sustainability in this regard, as well as recognising the characteristics of the County and its communities.

Representation(s)

611 Ifan Beynon-Thomas [3198]

Summary:

I support the fact that one of the 33 summary issues references 'a buoyant visitor economy with potential to grow'. It is vitally important that the LDP policies recognise the important contribution that tourism makes to the economy of the County and that indeed there is scope for additional facilities.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Nature Support

Section 7. A Vision for 'One Carmarthenshire'

Section 7. A Vision for 'One Carmarthenshire'

Section	on 7. A Vision for 'One Carmarthenshire'	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2099	Union Tavern Estate [3913]	Comment
	Agent: Barton Willmore (Joe Ayoubkhani) [646]	
	Summary:	
	We support the LDP's vision which seeks to ensure that the LDP aspirational. It is imperative that the policies of the LDP enable providing sufficient housing growth to underpin the confident	e this vision to be met - for example, through
Respon	se / Recommendation	
	Support Welcomed	
===		
Parag	raph 7.3	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
690	Lynda James [3039]	Support
	Summary:	
	Without sustainable rural communities the country side would Letitia Cornwallis Trust aims to revitalize what was a once vib resource losses still presents a well cared for and loved community have led to many local people volunteering to help in achieving	rant small community which despite its recent nunity. The recent revival of the Trust's activities
Respon	se / Recommendation	
_	Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the	candidate site process.
===	=======================================	=======================================
One C	Carmarthenshire	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
265	RWE Innogy UK Ltd (Miss Eleri Davies) [471]	Object
	Summary:	
	Although 'Renewable Energy' is included in the graphic assoc section of the Preferred Strategy (Easy Read Version), the 'V anything vaguely relevant to the renewable energy sector.	
	Construction of the Brechfa Forest West Wind Farm in Carma £105 million capex spent in Wales, with a further £459,200 per lifetime of the wind farm (up to 25 years). This demonstrates from onshore wind farms.	er annum paid into a community benefit fund for the
	Change To Plan Sought:	
	'Our Vision- One Carmarthenshire' should include specific ref low carbon future, which shall include (amongst other measured of the state of the st	
Respon	ese / Recommendation	
	Disagree, it is implicit that renewable energy will be integ there is no need for a specific reference as this is the vision	
===		

One Carmarthenshire

Repres	entation(s)	Nature	
612	lfan Beynon-Thomas [3198]	Object	

Summary:

I consider that the 'One Carmarthenshire' approach should recognise that Carmarthenshire should be a place to visit and enjoy as well as 'start, live and age well'. This would ensure that boosting tourism is considered as one of the key objectives of the Plan. This would accord with the fact that Strategic Objective 13 seeks 'to make provision for sustainable & amp; high quality all year round tourism related initiatives.'

Change To Plan Sought:

The 'One Carmarthenshire' approach should recognise that Carmarthenshire should be a place to visit and enjoy as well as 'start, live and age well'.

Response / Recommendation

Agreed in part. Add the following words at the end of paragraph 1 of the Vision so it reads "...valued and respected for residents and visitors alike"

Representation(s)

1061 Cai Parry [822]

Nature

Support

Summary:

Support the Vision for 'One Carmarthenshire' including references to economic objectives and the City Deal.

Response / Recommendation

Support Welcomed.

	on 8. Strategic Objectives	
Parag	jraph 8.2	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1681	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Support
	Summary:	
	We welcome the recognition that the Well Being of Futur Adopted LDP's Strategic Objectives and the utilisation of objectives to group the Revised LDP's Strategic Objective	the Carmarthenshire Well Being Plan wellbeing
	We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objectiv	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed	
===		
Parao	Jraph 8.3	
-		Matan
_	entation(s)	Nature
812	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We welcome the recognition that the Well Being of Futur Adopted LDP's Strategic Objectives and the utilisation of objectives to group the Revised LDP's Strategic Objective	the Carmarthenshire Well Being Plan wellbeing
	We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objectiv	es as noted in Section 8.6.
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comments noted / support welcomed	
Parag	graph 8.6 entation(s)	======================================
Parag	graph 8.6	
Parag Repres	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Nature
Parag Repres	graph 8.6 entation(s)	<i>Nature</i> Support
Parag <i>Repres</i> 1682	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objectiv	<i>Nature</i> Support
Parag <i>Repres</i> 1682	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objectiv use / Recommendation	<i>Nature</i> Support
Parag Repres 1682 Respon	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objectiv	<i>Nature</i> Support es as noted in Section 8.6.
Parag Repres 1682 Respon	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objective nse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	Nature Support es as noted in Section 8.6.
Parag Repres 1682 Respon = = =	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objective ase / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s)	Nature Support es as noted in Section 8.6. Mature
Parag Repres 1682 Respon	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objective use / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Nature Support es as noted in Section 8.6.
Parag Repres 1682 Respon = = = Repres	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objective nse / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary:	Nature Support es as noted in Section 8.6. Mature Nature Support
Parag Repres 1682 Respon = = = Repres 813	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objective nse / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objective	Nature Support es as noted in Section 8.6. Mature Nature Support
Parag Repres 1682 Respon = = = Repres 813	graph 8.6 entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We are satisfied with the Revised LDP Strategic Objective nse / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary:	Nature Support es as noted in Section 8.6. Mature Nature Support

	entation(s)	Nature
692	Lynda James [3039]	Support
	Summary:	
	Also the "vision" of the Letitia Cornwallis Trust.	
Respon	ise / Recommendation	
	Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of	-
Parag	graph 8.6 - SO6	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2040	Persimmon Homes West Wales (Mrs Kate Harrison) [3410]	Comment
	Summary:	
	The respondent notes that this objective makes reference This approach falls in line with Planning Policy Wales Ed 10,480 new homes in the county, the respondent states t the edge of sustainable settlements.	ition 10, however in order to achieve the construction of
Rosnon	rse / Recommendation	
******/////////////////////////////////		
nespon	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be	e conducted in a manner consistent with PPW Ed.10
	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be and the site search sequence. In this regard reference ===================================	ce is made to PPW paragraph 3.41. = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
= = = Repres	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be	
	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be and the site search sequence. In this regard reference ===================================	ce is made to PPW paragraph 3.41. ===================================
= = = Repres	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be and the site search sequence. In this regard reference ===================================	ce is made to PPW paragraph 3.41. ===================================
= = = <i>Repres</i> 1666	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be and the site search sequence. In this regard reference sentation(s) Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: The availability or capacity of infrastructure is a key aspe	ce is made to PPW paragraph 3.41. ===================================
= = = Repress 1666 Respon	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be and the site search sequence. In this regard reference mentation(s) Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: The availability or capacity of infrastructure is a key aspet therefore we support the inclusion of SO6. Inse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	ce is made to PPW paragraph 3.41. ===================================
= = = Repress 1666 Respon	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be and the site search sequence. In this regard reference sentation(s) Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: The availability or capacity of infrastructure is a key aspet therefore we support the inclusion of SO6. nse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	ce is made to PPW paragraph 3.41.
= = = Repress 1666 Respon = = = Repress	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be and the site search sequence. In this regard reference rentation(s) Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: The availability or capacity of infrastructure is a key aspet therefore we support the inclusion of SO6. use / Recommendation Support welcomed.	<pre>ce is made to PPW paragraph 3.41. = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =</pre>
= = = = Repress 1666 Respon	Noted. The identification and selection of sites will be and the site search sequence. In this regard reference sentation(s) Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: The availability or capacity of infrastructure is a key aspet therefore we support the inclusion of SO6. nse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	ce is made to PPW paragraph 3.41.

Support welcomed.

Paragraph 8.6 - SO6

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1653	Simon Chaffe [855]	Support
	Summary:	
		are upheld by directing development to sustainable locat ossible encouraging the reuse of previously developed la
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
===		
Parag	Jraph 8.6 - SO7	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
266	RWE Innogy UK Ltd (Miss Eleri Davies) [471]	Object

266 RWE Innogy UK Ltd (Miss Eleri Davies) [471]

Summary:

In the context of making a significant contribution towards tackling the cause and adapting to the effect of climate change, Strategic Objective 7 (SO7) correctly refers to promoting the efficient use and safeguarding of resources but fails to reference renewable energy generation which is a fundamental element of the Welsh Government's 'Energy Hierarchy for Planning' (paragraphs 5.714 - 5.715 and Figure 9, Planning Policy Wales, Edition 10, December 2018), namely Reduce Energy Demand, Use Energy Efficiently, Renewable Energy Generation, Minimise carbon impact of other energy generation, Minimise extraction of carbon intensive energy materials.

Change To Plan Sought:

Revise wording of SO7 to include reference to " renewable energy generation" as an integral part of the strategic objective to make a significant contribution towards tackling the cause and adapting to the effects of climate change.

Response / **Recommendation**

Agreed. Include reference to renewable energy within strategic objective SO7.

Paragraph 8.6 - SO10

Representation (s)

Mr John Morris [3777] 1777

Agent: LRM Planning (Mr. Michael Rees) [3002]

Summary:

We are supportive of the vision for Carmarthenshire, however we are strongly of the view that it should specifically add "where the needs of residents are met" to the objectives. Importantly, meeting the needs of residents will be key to achieving the wider Wales Well Being Goals in particular helping to create a more equal wales, a more prosperous Wales, a more resilient Wales and a Wales of cohesive communities, as well as in meeting the requirements of the new PPW10 and the provision of the right development in the right place. Indeed, meeting needs in an appropriate and sustainable manner can contribute towards:

Nature Comment

- Growing our economy in a sustainable manner;
- Making best use of resources;
- Facilitating accessible and healthy environments;
- Creating and sustaining communities; and
- Limiting environmental impact.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. The Revised LDP is being prepared in full accordance with the provisions of the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act and PPW. The respondent's comments in relation to need is noted and the Plan will be consistent with national planning policy and the principles of sustainability in this regard, as well as recognising the characteristics of the County and its communities.

Paragraph 8.6 - SO10

Represe	entation(s)	Nature
1068	Cai Parry [822]	Object
	Summary:	
		t "there is sufficient housing land available to meet the
	Change To Plan Sought:	
	Make specific reference to delivering an "appropriate nu	Imber of new homes".
Respon	se / Recommendation	
Respon		o an appropriate number as well as mix of new home
Respon		o an appropriate number as well as mix of new home
Respon = = =		o an appropriate number as well as mix of new home = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
		o an appropriate number as well as mix of new home
= = = Parag	Agreed in part. Amend SO10 to include reference to	o an appropriate number as well as mix of new home = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
= = = Parag	Agreed in part. Amend SO10 to include reference to and a second	
= = = Parag Represe	Agreed in part. Amend SO10 to include reference to a second seco	Nature
= = = Parag Represe	Agreed in part. Amend SO10 to include reference to araph 8.6 - SO14 entation(s) Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830]	Nature Support
Parag Represe 1667	Agreed in part. Amend SO10 to include reference to a graph 8.6 - SO14 entation(s) Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: The availability or capacity of infrastructure is a key asp	Nature Support

Section 9. Strategic Growth & Spatial Options

Section 9. Strategic Growth & Spatial Options

Section 9. Strategic Growth & Spatial Options

Representation(s)

Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13] 1635

Nature

Comment

Summary:

Growth Levels: Homes & Jobs

The authority has explored six population based growth scenarios and two employment-led scenarios. The authority has concluded the WG-2014 based projections would result in low levels of housing growth impacting negatively on demographic change (population decline) and ability to support economic growth. The 2014-based Principal and 10 year migration variant projections would result in a dwelling requirement of 3.367 and 6.542 respectively. The Council's preferred growth option is 'PG Long Term' which is predicated on significant internal and international migration flow assumptions averaging 1,423 persons pa for the sixteen year period 2001/02-2016/17. This period takes into account the high migration levels prior to 2008, and lower net migration following the recession. This is particularly relevant in this context as deaths exceed births; net migration being the dominant driver of population change. The PG Long Term Scenario results in a dwelling requirement of 9,887 dwellings (659 p/a) over the plan period. This is based on a 3.4% vacancy rate (VR) (adjusted from 6.3% Census VR) which takes into account recent data on second and empty homes. This is a deviation of 6.520 dwellings above the WG-2014 based principal projection and 3,345 dwellings above the 10-year migration variant. The proposed housing requirement of 9,887 is a substantial reduction of 5,310 dwellings from a requirement of 15,197 homes in the current adopted plan.

The evidence also explains that the jobs led scenario(s) tested would result in a requirement for 17,000 - 20,000 homes over the plan period. The Council has stated that this would result in an undeliverable and unsustainable growth strategy. Recent housing completions based on 10 year average JHLAs figures are approximately 500 d/pa. In summary, the Council has chosen a demographic led scenario that will contribute to the delivery of the Council's economic aspirations. The population increase targeted by the PG Long Term Scenario would result in supporting the creation of 5,295 jobs (353 p/a) over the plan period. The authority must fully justify/evidence that the growth levels are directed to the most sustainable places, related to the scale and location of housing need, not impacting negatively on the Welsh language and is realistic and deliverable. You should also demonstrate the growth strategy is compatible with the aspirations of neighbouring authorities and provides the most sustainable locations for growth for the wider area. See comments on spatial strategy.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted, the scale and distribution of growth will be subject to further evidencing as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

Matters in relation to the Welsh language will be considered as part of the LDP's evidence base and within the Sustainability Appraisal. See representation 1647.

Paragraph 9.1

Representation(s)

Rhanbarth Sir Gâr Cymdeithas yr laith (Rhanbarth Sir Gâr) [2370] Object 2429

Summary:

The respondent raises potential issues relating to the use of economic and regeneration data used to inform the level of housing growth needed in the County. The representation refers to the anticipated sources and location of future jobs growth and note their concerns that some pertinent matters such as Brexit, Arfor, rural employment and the future of the Wellness Village, have not been fully considered.

Response / Recommendation

Comments are noted. Further evidence will be produced to inform the economic needs of the County and its impacts upon the County's housing needs.

Nature

Paragraph 9.8

Representation(s)

Cai Parry [822] 1070

Summary:

Council's approach for calculating housing requirement is consistent with Planning Policy Wales.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Paragraph 9.15

Representation(s)

Lynda James [3039] 693

Summary:

Agree with the conclusions but possible consequence of Brexit are not included, understandably as nobody as yet knows what is going to happen. However the differences in the way house prices are changing may be an indication that young people will move away from the South East of the UK where housing in any form is mostly unaffordable by individuals on an average income.

Apart from that general comment, Cornwallis "vision" does imply opportunities for employment in a rural community which is near to the local cottage hospital at Llandovery.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comment noted - site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process. In relation to Brexit, any potential implications will be monitored and suitably acknowledged in due course. It should be noted that Key issue 32 of the Draft Pre Deposit Preferred Strategy does acknowledge emerging national and regional considerations - including brexit.

Paragraph 9.23

Representation(s)

Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216] 1766

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

A total of seven population-led and employment-led growth options are considered with the Draft Preferred Strategy ultimately adopting the 'Population Growth Long Term' scenario which sets a total requirement of 9,887 units across the 15 year RLDP period, equating to 658 per year. The use of a trend based projection rather than a single base dated population projection is considered the most appropriate and sensible mechanism given the differences between the last four sets of projections. ------

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. The Council considers that the population and household projection is based on a sound and reasonable assessment, by identyfing a number of factors which influence it. The preferred projection affords an allowance in the potential uplift on the existing deliverability of sites and offers an opportunity to provide a positive mechanism for future growth should the economy grow. The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Nature

Comment

Nature

Nature

Support

Paragraph 9.29

Representation(s)

1767 Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

The Population Growth Pre-Recession Scenario which is based on pre-2008 recession levels of in-migration shows a higher housing need than the Population Growth Long Term scenario but is dismissed as being 'undeliverable and unsustainable'. The Williams Family suggest that there is an opportunity to be more positive and even if the housing need is not based on this projection in its entirety, there is scope for an allowance to be made for a more positive economic context in the future.

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. The Council considers that the population and household projection is based on a sound and reasonable assessment, by identifying a number of factors which influence it. The preferred projection affords an allowance in the potential uplift on the existing deliverability of sites and offers an opportunity to provide a positive mechanism for future growth should the economy grow. The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Paragraph 9.40

Representation(s)

638 Simrock Holdings Ltd [3217]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

The Population Growth Pre-Recession Scenario which is based on pre-2008 recession levels of in-migration shows a higher housing need than the Population Growth Long Term scenario but is dismissed as being 'undeliverable and unsustainable'. SHL suggest that there is an opportunity to be more positive and even if the housing need is not based on this projection in its entirety, there is scope for an allowance to be made for a more positive economic context in the future

Response / Recommendation

Comment Noted. The Council considers that the population and household projection is based on a sound and reasonable assessment, by identifying a number of factors which influence it. The preferred projection affords an allowance in the potential uplift on the existing deliverability of sites and offers an opportunity to provide a positive mechanism for future growth should the economy grow. The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Page 19 of 99

Nature

Nature

Object

Representation(s)

The Williams Family . [3585] 1584

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

The Population Growth Pre-Recession Scenario which is based on pre-2008 recession levels of in-migration shows a higher housing need than the Population Growth Long Term scenario but is dismissed as being 'undeliverable and unsustainable'. It is suggested that there is an opportunity to be more positive and even if the housing need is not based on this projection in its entirety, there is scope for an allowance to be made for a more positive economic context in the future.

Response / **Recommendation**

The Council considers that the population and household projection is based on a sound and reasonable assessment, by identifying a number of factors which influence it. The preferred projection affords an allowance in the potential uplift on the existing deliverability of sites and offers an opportunity to provide a positive mechanism for future growth should the economy grow.

Paragraph 9.41

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2041	Persimmon Homes West Wales (Mrs Kate Harrison) [3410]	Support

Summary:

The respondent is supportive of the divergence from the Welsh Government 2014 based projections which would equate to a housing requirement of 6,542 over the plan period (2018-2033) as this is unlikely to support the Council's Visions and Objectives in relation to meeting the employment needs of the area and contributing at a regional level to the delivery of the Swansea Bay City Deal.

The respondent supports the preferred growth option of 'Population Growth Long Term' would provide a housing requirement of 9,887 dwellings over the plan period and as stated in the preferred strategy, 'allow the flexibility to drive sustainable housing growth'. This more ambitious housing requirement will facilitate the economic growth required in the county and will be more effective in achieving the Council's vision and objectives.

Response / **Recommendation**

support welcomed.

Representation(s)

Cai Parry [822] 1071

Summary:

BDW Homes is supportive of the Council's Preferred Strategic Growth Option, on the basis that it seeks an ambitious but achievable level of growth to support the aspirations of the Strategic Regeneration Plan for Carmarthenshire. Accordingly, BDW Homes considers that the Council's approach is founded upon robust evidence and considers other issues in addition to the latest household projections, in accordance with paragraph 4.2.6 of Planning Policy Wales (Edition 10).

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Nature

Support

Nature Comment

Representation(s)

2020 Swallow Investments Limited [3995]

Summary:

Section 9 of the LDP considers a number of alternative Strategic Growth and Spatial Options to support employment growth and the delivery of housing and sustainable development generally. So far as Strategic Growth Options are concerned, paragraphs 9.41 to 9.43 of the LDP confirm a preferred 'Population Growth Long Term Scenario', which is forecast to deliver 9,887 new dwellings (649 new dwellings per annum) and a minimum of 5,295 additional jobs over the LDP period 2018-2033.

My client supports the LDP's preferred Strategic Growth and Spatial Options - they will deliver new housing in line with requirements and new jobs to match the same; and represent an optimistic, though not unrealistic set of assumptions and aspirations, geared towards encouraging housing and economic growth in the County over the LDP period.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Paragraph 9.44

Representation(s)

1770 Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

To deliver the amount of new housing that is required over the Review LDP period six Spatial Options are identified for the distribution of growth.

The Draft Proposed Strategy proposes that Spatial Option 4 which seeks to disperse growth in a way which reflects the role of settlements in relation to their wider catchment is adopted. This would mean that most growth would be focussed in Carmarthen and the surrounding area, the Llanelli Coastal Belt, and the Ammanford and Cross Hands area.

The 2018 Joint Housing Land Availability Study (JHLAS) shows a 3.8 year housing land supply. This represents the fifth consecutive year where a five year supply of housing has not been demonstrated and the continued failure to demonstrate a five year supply since the adoption of the LDP (the Adopted LDP) in 2015. In real terms, this means that in the four years since the adoption of the ALDP 503, 608, 518, and 511 units have been delivered, substantially short of the 1,052 unit a year requirement that the ALDP sets to meet housing need.

There is evidently a delivery problem and The Williams Family suggest that any Spatial Option pursued needs to stand a realistic chance of delivering the required amount of housing. Whilst Spatial Option 4 appears to be a sensible and logical option, The Williams Family suggest that, if Option 6 (Market Led Option) is not to be pursued in its entirety (and the risks associated with such an approach are understood), the spatial strategy must give weight to market conditions and the delivery of houses previously over the Adopted LDP period.

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. The Council as part of the LDP process are reviewing all existing housing allocations sites to identify those that are not contributing to the LDP strategy, and a wide ranging assessment is being undertaken to make sure that the most appropriate sites are allocated in the revised Plan. This will be reflected within the apportionment of sites within the each cluster and tier.

Nature Comment

Nature

Support

Representation(s)

Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216] 1771

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

The number of units built on the allocated sites in St Clears has been considerably higher than in the other Adopted LDP Service Centres, demonstrating that St Clears is an attractive location for growth and is capable of delivering housing and accordingly it is suggested that the Spatial Options reflect this. It also shows that there is only a limited amount of allocated sites left within St Clears and, if a more market-led approach is to be taken, the need for a review of existing and the identification of new allocations is required.

Nature Comment

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. Each settlement within the settlement hierarchy will be assessed in light of their potential for accommodating and delivering future development. This will be considered as part of the emerging evidence base leading into the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Paragraph 9.49

Representation(s)

694 Lynda James [3039]

Summary:

The proposal is sensible but people will travel some distances to their work place so it is wrong to assume all accommodation should be built in the same location as planned employment opportunities. A percentage of people would prefer to live in a rural area rather than an urban area and be prepared to commute.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process.

Representation(s)

RSAI [3167] 544

Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166]

Summary:

We agree with paragraph 9.49 of the Preferred Strategy which states that housing development will need to be located in the same broad location as employment opportunities. We also agree that infrastructure improvements need to be aligned with new development if the existing infrastructure is not adequate to accommodate the development.

We consider it is important that the spatial option is determined taking account of the function and role of settlements

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Support

Nature

Support

Nature

Paragraph 9.51

Representation(s)

1668 Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830]

Summary:

We note that the Council propose to use the Population Growth Long Term scenario which sets a housing requirement of 9,887 units over the LDP Period.

Option 2 - Infrastructure and Transport Network Options

Available public sewerage and wastewater treatment works (WwTW) capacity is a key element to ensuring sustainable and viable development sites, therefore we welcome the provisions of this spatial option, and are pleased to note that it seeks to encourage growth in areas where there is existing infrastructure capacity or where there are planned improvements.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted. The availability of infrastructure is a key indicator of deliverability and in the promotion of sustainable development. Key facets of option 2 (notably location of infrastructure) would have fed into the favoured option. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard.

Paragraph 9.52

Representation(s)

1639 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

Preferred Strategy - The Council has concluded through the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) that a Hybrid Option -'Balanced Community and Sustainable Growth Strategy' is the most appropriate. This option has been subject to an SA (SA, section 4.5) and is the 'Preferred Strategy'. The Preferred Strategy incorporates the core elements from Options, 2, 4, 5 and 6 which are summarised as follows:

Growth is assigned to urban areas, while also recognising the role and function of rural settlements

Reflects investment opportunities and economic benefits afforded to the County through the City Deal.
 Recognised that sustainable growth needs to be supported by the availability and range of infrastructure.

□ Growth should also be deliverable and oriented to community need and market demand.

Further clarity is required on the spatial outcome of the Preferred Strategy. The SA highlights that the negative implications of Option 4 are that it could result in a disproportionate amount of development in unsustainable locations, generating significant additional car journeys. In the absence of a LHMA it is also unclear how the Preferred Strategy has been influenced by the level and location of housing need. The Hybrid Option and its spatial distribution requires further justification specifically; how it will deliver affordable housing, employment growth, reduced commuting, relates to the sustainable transport hierarchy (including active travel) infrastructure, minimises air pollution and potential negative impacts on the Welsh Language. These should be considered in light of 'future proofing' and how technology may shape how places function in the future, taking account of digital connections, telecoms, low emission vehicles and the associated benefits on movement patterns.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. See response to representation 1640.

Preferred Strategy Summary of Representations & Response / Recommendations

Nature

Comment

Comment

Nature

Representation(s)

Nature

2430 Rhanbarth Sir Gâr Cymdeithas yr laith (Rhanbarth Sir Gâr) [2370] Object

Summary:

The respondent supports Spatial Option 4 - Community Led Option. The respondent notes that they would recommend a strategy based upon concentric circles, namely a village community; a circle of villages; county level; region; Wales, and the strategy would identify the services and proposals which would be suitable for each level within the proposed strategy. The respondent also notes that the Preferred Strategy should reduce the outmigration of young people from the County and specifically state this as an aim of the Plan.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments are noted. The Preferred Strategy has been largely influenced by Spatial Option 4, albeit this has been adapted to take account of other influencing factors too. In regard to the proposed approach relating to concentric circles, it is considered that the preferred strategy in fact follows a similar approach in that a settlement hierarchy is set out in Policy SP16: Sustainable Distribution - Settlement Framework which is informed, amongst other factors, by the availability of services and facilities in each settlement or network of settlements.

With regards to reducing the out-migration of young people, this is acknowledged as a key issue under paragraph 6.6. It has also been a key consideration in the evaluation of the options and the selection of the preferred strategy, please see chapters 9 and 10 for an assessment of this. Whilst reducing the out-migration of young people has not been referenced specifically as an aim or objective of the Plan, it is considered that a number of the Plan's objectives would make a significant contributions towards achieving this aim, especially strategic objectives 2, 3, 4, 11 and 12. However, we would welcome ongoing discussions on this matter to determine whether an additional objective should be identified.

Paragraph 9.55

Representation(s)

1685 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]

Summary:

We note your preferred option (Balanced Community and Sustainable Growth Strategy) and support your acknowledgment "that in delivering sustainable growth that it needs to be supported by the availability of a range of appropriate infrastructure". We consider water resources and drainage arrangements such as provision of sewerage infrastructure to be paramount.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed. The Council fully agrees that water resources and drainage arrangements such as provision of sewerage infrastructure to be of paramount importance. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard.

Representation(s)

814 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]

Summary:

We note your preferred option (Balanced Community and Sustainable Growth Strategy) and support your acknowledgment "that in delivering sustainable growth that it needs to be supported by the availability of a range of appropriate infrastructure". We consider water resources and drainage arrangements such as provision of sewerage infrastructure to be paramount.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted / support welcomed. The Council fully agrees that water resources and drainage arrangements such as provision of sewerage infrastructure to be of paramount importance.

Nature

Comment

Nature

Re	presentatio	on	(\mathbf{s})	
ne	presentan	m	0)	

1778 Mr John Morris [3777]

Nature

Comment

Agent: LRM Planning (Mr. Michael Rees) [3002]

Summary:

We are supportive of a hybrid option of the various scenarios presented. However, we are of the view that it ought to be more weighted towards the Pre-recession level of growth.

In the first instance we support the approach of ruling out the low growth options (WG 2014 based principal and 10 yr projections, PG Short term and 10 year projections). Such approaches would only reinforce such negative trends identified within the background paper.

We are concerned that the preferred approach should not limit aspirations or growth particularly given the trends that are have been experienced (affordability problems, loss of younger cohorts and trends towards an ageing population). Such an outcome would seem to be contrary to the Welsh Government's Well Being goals, the Placemaking objectives and the aims of the planning system.

Indeed, for this reason we do not believe that the Pre-Recession Growth Projection should be ruled out in its entirety on the basis that it is not achievable. If undeliverable sites are allocated then this will be the case for any of the scenarios.

Whilst our preference is to retain a positive and aspirational intervention that allows flexibility for growth, jobs, mixed communities and prosperity (in line with the vision and objectives of the LDP Review), should the current favoured option be carried forward then we are strongly of the view that it must rely upon a fresh and deliverable supply of homes. Indeed, relying upon existing

allocations that have not been brought forward will not achieve the objectives rather it will reinforce the negative trends that have been experienced.

Response / Recommendation

Disagree - The population and household projection scenario identified in the Preferred Strategy considers a long term view for household growth within the county which is reflective of, and takes a balanced view on achieving the housing requirement for the period 2018-2033. Setting a scenario based on the pre-recession level of growth would be considered unsound, as it would be more reflective of the requirements within the adopted LDP, which is currently not being achieved.

The comment relating to existing sites is noted, and the Council as part of the LDP process, are reviewing all existing housing allocations sites to identify those that are not contributing to the LDP strategy, and a wide ranging assessment is being undertaken to make sure that the most appropriate sites are allocated in the revised Plan.

Nature

Comment

Representation(s)

640 Simrock Holdings Ltd [3217]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

SHL does not contest the selection of Option 4 as the most appropriate spatial option but stress that Llangennech performs very well in relation the other Strategic Options that have not been selected. It is included within the Llanelli Growth Area in the ALDP (Option 1 - Current LDP Option), is well located adjacent to the A4138 and within 1km from Junction 48 of the M4 (Option 2 - Infrastructure and Transport Network Option) and has a strategic position in-between Carmarthenshire and Swansea (Option 5 - Swansea Bay City Region Influence Option).

Given that Llangennech performs favorably in connection to a number of unselected Spatial Options, it is considered that this demonstrates it suitability and appropriateness for additional growth

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. Each settlement within the settlement hierarchy will be assessed in light of their potential for accommodating and delivering future development. This will be considered as part of the emerging evidence base leading into the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

1585 The Williams Family . [3585]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

There is evidently a delivery problem and any Spatial Option pursued needs to stand a realistic chance of delivering the required amount of housing. Whilst Spatial Option 4 appears to be a sensible and logical option, it is suggested that, if Option 6 (Market Led Option) is not to be pursued in its entirety, the spatial strategy must give weight to market conditions and the delivery of houses previously over the adopted LDP period.

Nature

Comment

The number of units built on the allocated sites in St Clears has been considerably higher than in the other ALDP Service Centres, demonstrating that St Clears is an attractive location for growth and is capable of delivering housing and accordingly it is suggested that the Spatial Options reflect this. It also shows that there is only a limited amount of allocated sites left within St Clears and, if a more market-led approach is to be taken, the need for a review of existing and the identification of new allocations is required.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments Noted - Each settlement within the settlement hierarchy will be assessed in light of their potential for accommodating and delivering future development. Consideration will be given to those sites which have failed to deliver in the adopted LDP. This will be considered as part of the emerging evidence base leading into the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Represe	ntation(s)	Nature
532	Mr Owen Williams [3158]	Object

Summary:

- Using the City-Region Deal as a basis for economic and population growth is risky and unsupported by evidence - Ignorance of the likely consequences of Brexit on population growth/movement and the housing market and how this may affect competition from other areas

- Failure to prove the plan will deliver (key test of soundness)
- Alternative and more realistic growth forecast required
- Forecasts have been revised downwards by at least one previous Planning Inspector

Change To Plan Sought:

- Revise the population growth forecast used as a basis for the Preferred Option downwards to ensure a more realistic and deliverable plan

Response / **Recommendation**

The respondents make the assertion that an alternative more deliverable forecast is required. However this is based solely upon a perception that growth will shrink and investment delivery will not take place. Indeed it should be recognised that forecasting a lower growth as suggested would run contrary to the strategic and regeneration objectives both of the Council and the Region. In this respect the LDP must have regard to other Plans and strategies with a failure to do so not only rendering the Plan unsound, but also potentially resulting in these plans and strategies being in conflict with the Development Plan.

The Preferred Strategy is in this regard not only reflective of these plans and strategies and government backed investments but is as a result based on evidence around projected growth. The omission of the growth projected from investments such as the City Deal would in themselves not be evidence based as they would omit known potential for growth.

The potential implications from changes in circumstance will be monitored as the Plan progresses through its preparatory process. It should also be noted that the Deposit LDP will include a monitoring framework which will measure the success or otherwise of the LDP in delivering its policies and proposals.

The LDP will be subject to further evidence as part of the preparation of the Deposit Plan.

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1073	Cai Parry [822]	Object

Summary:

Contradiction between Preferred Option and Planning Policy Wales (Edition 10) in that it seeks to direct development to smaller settlements, which are less sustainable and would increase reliance upon the private car.

The Preferred Option should be amended to confirm that new development will be apportioned in accordance with the role of each settlement (i.e. higher proportions within the 'Principal Centres' and lower proportions within the 'Sustainable Villages' and 'Rural Villages').

Change To Plan Sought:

The Preferred Option should be amended to confirm that new development will be apportioned in accordance with the role of each settlement (i.e. higher proportions within the 'Principal Centres' and lower proportions within the 'Sustainable Villages' and 'Rural Villages').

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. The Council considers that the indicative apportionment of residential growth by tier is based on a sound and reasonable assessment, by identifying a number of factors which influence it. However, the indicative apportionment affords an allowance in to be made; the level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Nature

Representation(s)

10 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support

Summary:

In considering your strategic growth and spatial options it is reassuring to see that you had consideration to a number of key Economic Development policy documents including the Council's Strategic Regeneration Plan 2015 - 2030 - Transformations and the Swansea Bay City Deal. These documents form the basis for our activity in Economic Development and the fact they are referenced throughout the consultation document provides a confidence that the plan that is emerging through the LDP process will be strategically aligned to that of the work of Economic Development. The preferred option of "Balanced Community and Sustainable Growth Strategy" is seen as a positive option in that this hybrid option retains an approach which will seek to be responsive in how it assigns growth, to urban and rural areas. The option also looks to:

* Recognise and reflect investment and economic benefits to the County and its communities through the City Deal, and other economic opportunities,

It will seek to provide opportunities for rural areas ensuring the diversity of the County and communities is recoanised:

t It will acknowledge that in delivering sustainable growth that it needs to be supported by the availability of a range of appropriate infrastructure;

* It will recognise that growth should be deliverable and orientated to a community's needs and market demand. These points are welcomed as they are supportive of potential investment and growth in the county. I believe this approach is essential to ensure that any private sector interest in the county has a planning framework that is supportive subject to the development being proportionate and relevant to the respective settlement.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Representation(s)

Lynda James [3039] 696

Summary:

Seems the most balanced approach for sustaining and building the economy whilst retaining the sustainability of rural areas and the role they play in tourism.

Response / Recommendation

Noted, site specific matters are considered as part of the candidate site process.

Nature

Support

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
543	RSAI [3167]	Support
	Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166]	
	Summary:	
	locations identified will be deliverable. The Swa	at there is a need to take into account the market and whether the nsea Bay City Region is also important and it is not appropriate to hire are in close proximity to Swansea and the employment
Respor	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
===	=======================================	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2021	Swallow Investments Limited [3995]	Support
2021	owanow investments Emited [6500]	Capport
2021	Summary:	
2021	<i>Summary:</i> Regarding Spatial Options, paragraph 9.55 of th Sustainable Growth Strategy', reflecting the role	The LDP confirms a preferred 'Balanced Community and and function of the County's settlements in directing growth to the ne need to deliver opportunities in the County's rural areas.

LDP period.

Response / Recommendation

Support welcomed.

Preferred Strategy Summary of Representations & Response / Recommendations

Section 10. A New Strategy

Section 10. A New Strategy

Representation(s)

1636 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

Growth Levels: Homes & Jobs

The authority has explored six population based growth scenarios and two employment-led scenarios. The authority has concluded the WG-2014 based projections would result in low levels of housing growth impacting negatively on demographic change (population decline) and ability to support economic growth. The 2014-based Principal and 10 year migration variant projections would result in a dwelling requirement of 3,367 and 6,542 respectively. The Council's preferred growth option is 'PG Long Term' which is predicated on significant internal and international migration flow assumptions averaging 1,423 persons pa for the sixteen year period 2001/02-2016/17. This period takes into account the high migration levels prior to 2008, and lower net migration following the recession. This is particularly relevant in this context as deaths exceed births; net migration being the dominant driver of population change. The PG Long Term Scenario results in a dwelling requirement of 9,887 dwellings (659 p/a) over the plan period. This is based on a 3.4% vacancy rate (VR) (adjusted from 6.3% Census VR) which takes into account recent data on second and empty homes. This is a deviation of 6,520 dwellings above the WG-2014 based principal projection and 3,345 dwellings above the 10-year migration variant. The proposed housing requirement of 9,887 is a substantial reduction of 5,310 dwellings from a requirement of 15,197 homes in the current adopted plan.

The evidence also explains that the jobs led scenario(s) tested would result in a requirement for 17,000 - 20,000 homes over the plan period. The Council has stated that this would result in an undeliverable and unsustainable growth strategy. Recent housing completions based on 10 year average JHLAs figures are approximately 500 d/pa. In summary, the Council has chosen a demographic led scenario that will contribute to the delivery of the Council's economic aspirations. The population increase targeted by the PG Long Term Scenario would result in supporting the creation of 5,295 jobs (353 p/a) over the plan period. The authority must fully justify/evidence that the growth levels are directed to the most sustainable places, related to the scale and location of housing need, not impacting negatively on the Welsh language and is realistic and deliverable. You should also demonstrate the growth strategy is compatible with the aspirations of neighbouring authorities and provides the most sustainable locations for growth for the wider area. See comments on spatial strategy.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The scale and distribution of growth will be subject to further evidencing as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP including its distribution.

Matters in relation to the Welsh language will be considered as part of the LDP's evidence base and within the Sustainability Appraisal. See representation 1647.

Nature

Section 10. A New Strategy

Representation(s)

1640 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

Preferred Strategy - The Council has concluded through the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) that a Hybrid Option -'Balanced Community and Sustainable Growth Strategy' is the most appropriate. This option has been subject to an SA (SA, section 4.5) and is the 'Preferred Strategy'. The Preferred Strategy incorporates the core elements from Options, 2, 4, 5 and 6 which are summarised as follows:

Growth is assigned to urban areas, while also recognising the role and function of rural settlements

Reflects investment opportunities and economic benefits afforded to the County through the City Deal.
 Recognised that sustainable growth needs to be supported by the availability and range of infrastructure.

□ Growth should also be deliverable and oriented to community need and market demand.

Further clarity is required on the spatial outcome of the Preferred Strategy. The SA highlights that the negative implications of Option 4 are that it could result in a disproportionate amount of development in unsustainable locations, generating significant additional car journeys. In the absence of a LHMA it is also unclear how the Preferred Strategy has been influenced by the level and location of housing need. The Hybrid Option and its spatial distribution requires further justification specifically; how it will deliver affordable housing, employment growth, reduced commuting, relates to the sustainable transport hierarchy (including active travel) infrastructure, minimises air pollution and potential negative impacts on the Welsh Language. These should be considered in light of 'future proofing' and how technology may shape how places function in the future, taking account of digital connections, telecoms, low emission vehicles and the associated benefits on movement patterns.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. The scale and distribution of growth will be subject to further evidencing as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP - including the proportions allocated to the respective tiers within the hierarchy. Further details in respect of the implications of option 4 will be further considered as the detail associated with the preparation of the Deposit LDP emerges.

The Council recognises the importance, and role, of an up to date Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) and is currently working with authorities across the region to prepare an up to date LHMA. The LHMA will inform the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

Nature

Section 10. A New Strategy

Representation(s)

1646 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

The delivery of the strategy is reliant upon the authority allocating sites which are broadly viable, deliverable and in accordance with the settlement strategy. The Draft Manual (Ed 3) Chapter 5: Preparing an LDP (Core Issues) sets out the key issues that must be addressed. The Council should ensure that the Deposit Plan has covered all relevant elements, with particular attention to the de-risking checklist.

To demonstrate delivery and implementation, the Deposit plan must be underpinned by viability work, an infrastructure plan and include a robust housing trajectory (included as an Annex within the plan) and a housing land supply table.

With the exception of the two strategic sites, the plan is completely silent on the allocations (housing or employment) required to deliver the strategy. There is no indication or analysis of 'key' candidate sites, nor of the existing allocations and their relevance or future status going forward. There is no assessment in broad terms of the current land bank, windfall and small sites that may come forward. Allocated sites should only be 'rolled forward' in exceptional circumstances where there is clear and robust evidence that they can be delivered. Site specific viability work, including detailed articulation of timing and phasing, costs, and infrastructure requirements including the preparation of Statements of Common Ground will be necessary to demonstrate the delivery of the plan.

The Preferred Strategy identifies two strategic sites (Policy SP5); Yr Egin Creative Cluster in Carmarthen and the Llanelli Well-being and Life Sciences project which are both components of the Swansea Bay City deal. The Deposit plan must demonstrate deliverability of both individual sites and in combination, together with Statement of Common Ground with developers. The Deposit Plan should set out site specific details for key allocations including schematic frameworks containing information on viability, general phasing timescales, key infrastructure requirements and evidence of commitment from developers.

A key issue highlighted in the Councils evidence base (Spatial Options Topic Paper, para 8.9) is that completions/sites did not come forward as anticipated in the more sustainable settlement tiers which suggests that completions have been occurring in the least sustainable areas. This has been a major shortcoming of the existing plan that should not be replicated in the revised LDP.

Finally, the Council is proposing a flexibility allowance of 6% (593 units) to be added to the housing requirement. Further evidence is required as to why 6% is appropriate, and how it relates to all housing components and their delivery and phasing over the plan. A 6% figure appears low in the context of the Welsh Average (10%) and the more rural nature / developer profile prevalent within Carmarthenshire.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The Council is aware of the need for the Plan to contain viable and deliverable sites and matters relation to their suitability and identification will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP. Attention is drawn to the Candidate Site process, and whilst the respondent's points are noted the submission of 926 candidate sites is a figure beyond that generally anticipated and in itself presents notable challenges in terms of analysis. However, an initial assessment of Candidate Sites has been undertaken and is available on the Council's website. This will be further developed as the preparation of the Plan progresses and as the sites are assessed.

The need for clarity in respect of the current landbank, windfall, small sites and future deliverable allocations is recognised and will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP. Further evidence in respect of the flexibility allowance will be provided as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

The reference to the requirements of the Local Development Manual Edition 3 is noted and will be appropriately considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit Plan. However, it should be noted that Edition 3 of the Manual at the time of writing is pending publication and as such could not be considered in the preparation of the Preferred Strategy.

Nature

		Section 10. A New Strategy
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2421	Dyfodol (J W Thomas) [563]	Object
	Summary:	
		the economic information and theories which underpin the level of ke particular reference to the current economic situation and potential
Respon	use / Recommendation	
	Comments noted. Further evidence will b impacts upon the County's housing need	
	entation(s)	Nature
2422	Dyfodol (J W Thomas) [563]	Object
	Summary:	
	considers that this level of housing is not ne the Preferred Strategy to evidence the level for the LDP to focus on job creation and infr	vel of housing growth identified in the Preferred Strategy and eded. The respondent notes that there is insufficient information in of proposed housing growth. The respondent also notes a preference astructure rather than housing growth. there is insufficient information to assess the impacts of housing
Respon	use / Recommendation	
•	Comments are noted. There is informatic supporting evidence. The LDP aims to en need for suitable infrastructure to support which supports both housing, infrastruct	on regarding the identification of housing growth levels in the nable and facilitate job creation in the County and emphasises the rt development. The Council considers that a rational approach ure and job creation can be achieved through this strategy and nutually exclusive but rather are all key considerations of the

preferred strategy.

The SA/SEA assessed the anticipated impacts of the Preferred Strategy upon the Welsh language and the Deposit Revised Local Development Plan will be informed by a Welsh Impact Assessment.

Nature

Support

Representation(s)

1748 City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]

Summary:

Swansea Council are broadly supportive of the vision, objectives and chosen growth and spatial strategy of the Preferred Strategy

Response / Recommendation

Support welcomed.

Re	nres	entatio	on(s	c)
ALC:	pi us	cicucci		,,

1673 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326]

Summary:

The strategy is similar in approach to the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park LDP with growth focused in the higher tiers.

Nature

Support

The attached table shows the compatibility of approach in both Plans.

Exceptional land release for affordable housing: include the option of releases higher up in the hierarchy to help meet need.

Affordable Housing Contributions: clarify if contributions will be required for sites below the threshold for provision on site.

Employment: It will be helpful to see the approach to be taken to employment on edge of settlement/in the countryside.

Viability: Viability when providing affordable housing may influence the 5 or more threshold.

Response / Recommendation

Support Welcomed. The Council will continue to update its evidence base leading into the Deposit LDP and address some of the highlighted comments. Further clarification will be given to viability and affordable housing once key pieces of evidence are completed which will inform the policy content of the Deposit LDP

Nature

Support

Representation(s)

1674 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326]

Summary:

Support the general conformity of approach.

The Spatial Štrategy commentary above sets out where there is consistency of approach on where employment undertakings can take place in the County along with notes of clarification.

The employment and economic development strategy of Carmarthenshire County Council's Preferred Strategy focusses on the Swansea Bay City Deal and looks to the local authorities east of Carmarthenshire. There is also the possibility of a Regional Strategic Economic study (which both Authorities are party to) being produced which will assist in the development of the Deposit Local Development Plan.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support Welcomed. The Council will continue to update its evidence base leading into the Deposit LDP and address some of the highlighted comments.

Paragraph 10.1

	sentation(s)	Nature
1632	Miss Rhiannon Mathias [3656]	Object
	Summary:	
	No more homes should be built except where those for the n planning department because a planning application I have i response received.	
	Change To Plan Sought:	
	No more homes should be built except where those for the n	eed of local people.
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Disagree. The Plan seeks to provide a balanced level of and its communities. A key element of such balance is t housing and recognising local need.	
Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
7	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
7		Support
7	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Summary: Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Dep principles which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Ca that the plan is built on sustainability, and the objectives com	posit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle
	<i>Summary:</i> Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Dep principles which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Ca	posit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle
Respon	<i>Summary:</i> Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Dep principles which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Ca that the plan is built on sustainability, and the objectives con-	posit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle
Respon	Summary: Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Dep principles which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Ca that the plan is built on sustainability, and the objectives com nse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	posit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle
Respon = = = Paraç	Summary: Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Dep principles which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Ca that the plan is built on sustainability, and the objectives com- mse / Recommendation Support welcomed. graph 10.3	posit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle
Respon = = = Parag Repres	Summary: Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Dep principles which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Ca that the plan is built on sustainability, and the objectives com- mse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	posit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle tained within the Carmarthenshire Well-being Plan
Respon = = = Paraç	Summary: Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Depprinciples which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Cather the plan is built on sustainability, and the objectives commendation support welcomed. graph 10.3 sentation(s) Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	bosit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle tained within the Carmarthenshire Well-being Plan
Respon = = = Parag Repres	Summary: Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Dep principles which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Ca that the plan is built on sustainability, and the objectives com- mse / Recommendation Support welcomed. Graph 10.3 Sentation(s)	bosit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle tained within the Carmarthenshire Well-being Plan <i>Nature</i> Support lifferences across the county. Recognition that a one
Respon = = = Parag Repres 8	Summary: Overall the Division welcomes the approach of the Pre - Dep principles which it has set out as its preferred strategy for Ca that the plan is built on sustainability, and the objectives commendation Support welcomed. Graph 10.3 Sentation(s) Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Summary: It is positive to see that that the plan recognises the spatial of size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all, and that policies will need to size policy solution doesn't fit all so	bosit Local Development Plan and its broad strategic armarthenshire up to 2033. We support the principle tained within the Carmarthenshire Well-being Plan <i>Nature</i> Support lifferences across the county. Recognition that a one

Representation(s)

11 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]

Summary:

The new strategy recognises the diverse nature of the county and the settlement hierarchy and six clusters reflects the function, role and diversity that exists within and between the differing areas of the county. The recognition of the strategic growth areas of Llanelli, Ammanford/ Cross Hands, and Carmarthen is supported and their continued growth is key for prosperity for the county. It is good to see a balance with the other areas of the county most notably the rural market towns highlighted for local growth and diversification with growth reflecting their community needs and aspirations. The "allocation of sites and the use of policies will provide a framework for the provision of employment and job creation opportunities", coupled with statements such as "seek to provide a positive approach to help these areas meet their full potential" is fully supported. This together with mention of "a responsive policy approach" in the context of addressing county variations is most welcomed and offers a platform for a planning framework which can meet the needs of future growth aspirations that this Division sees for the county.

Response / Recommendation

Support welcomed.

Paragraph 10.5

Representation(s)

1459 Cllrs Price & Vaughan-Owen [3546]

Summary:

Over the past decade, the Gorslas ward, along with many other areas within the Ammanford/Cross Hands growth area, has seen significant housing development, which have had an impact on villages within the Ward. We are keen to ensure that any sites that progress from this stage, meet the local need for housing and for business. It is vital that any developments will not have a negative impact on education establishments, community facilities, health centres and the local environment.

Response / Recommendation

Comments noted. The Plan will be based on a robust evidence base which provides clarification on the matters listed within the representation. The Site Assessment Methodology will allow for the consideration of housing sites to be based on a robust and consistent approach. The Sustainability Appraisal will have a key role in assessing the Plan's sustainability credentials.

Deliverable Growth

Representation(s)

2425 Dyfodol (J W Thomas) [563]

Summary:

The Respondent states a number of facts in respect of the Plan's provision for new homes.

Response / Recommendation

Comments noted. The Plan and its provision for homes is supported by robust evidence and is been informed by consultation - not least the Key Stakeholder Forum - as well as other plans and strategies.

Nature Comment

Nature

Comment

Nature

Support

Paragraph 10.12

Representation(s)

1469 Cllrs Price & Vaughan-Owen [3546]

Summary:

A robust evidence base is necessary to ensure developments reflect the genuine need for local housing, including the correct mix of affordable housing, Social housing, family homes and housing suitable for an ageing population.

Any housing sites should be size appropriate and not constitute over development within villages. Sites progressing from this stage, should consider the effect on current housing sites and be sensitive to negatively impacting local residents.

Response / Recommendation

Comments noted. The Plan will be based on a robust evidence base which provides clarification on the matters listed within the representation. The Site Assessment Methodology will allow for the consideration of housing sites to be based on a robust and consistent approach. The Sustainability Appraisal will have a key role in assessing the Plan's sustainability credentials.

Paragraph 10.18

Representation(s) Nature 1686 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Support Summary: Summary: We welcome the inclusion of Section 10.18 which notes that the LDP seeks to put a policy framework in place which tackles the causes and effects of climate change within the communities through the adoption of sustainable principles and development. Response / Recommendation Support welcomed.

Representation(s)

815 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]

Summary:

We welcome the inclusion of Section 10.18 which notes that the LDP seeks to put a policy framework in place which tackles the causes and effects of climate change within the communities through the adoption of sustainable principles and development.

Response / Recommendation

Support welcomed

Nature

Support

Nature

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
267	RWE Innogy UK Ltd (Miss Eleri Davies) [471]	Object
	Summary:	
	Under the heading entitled 'Sustainable Development, W (Section 10) there is no reference to renewable energy.	ell-being and Climate Change' in 'A New Strategy'
	Change To Plan Sought:	
	As well as "minimising energy demand and consum critical role that "renewable energy" also plays and Climate Change' in 'A New Strategy' (Section 10).	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Agree, add reference to renewable energy in the seco	nd bullet point of paragraph 10.19.
	entation(s)	Nature
Kepres		
1687	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Support
-	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary:	Support

Paragraph 10.19

Response / Recommendation

Support welcomed

 Representation(s)
 Nature

 816
 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]
 Support

 Summary:
 We support the principles of sustainability, noted in Section 10.19, that the LDP will promote.

 Response / Recommendation
 Support welcomed

Representation(s)

1654 Simon Chaffe [855]

Summary:

Para 10.19, bullet 2 - SUPPORT 'including the promotion of the efficient use of resources including directing development to previously developed land wherever possible;'

Nature

Support

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Representation(s)

Cllrs Price & Vaughan-Owen [3546] 1462

Summary:

It is vital that all future development ensure the distinctiveness and character of our villages and impacts positively on the Welsh language and culture.

To ensure communities remain vibrant, it is important, that any developments are supported by the necessary public transport infrastructure so that our villages do not develop as commuting settlements.

We welcome the "Balanced Community and Sustainable Growth Strategy". We would very much like to see the sustainable distribution of growth throughout the county, which includes the rural communities of Carmarthenshire

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted / support welcomed. The Balanced Community and Sustainable Growth Strategy seeks to provide a platform for the delivery of the Plan's Vision and Strategic Objectives, which include a recognition of the important role of rural areas. The Sustainability Appraisal will have a key role in assessing the Plan's sustainability credentials - not least the availability of public transport.

Representation(s)

RWE Innogy UK Ltd (Miss Eleri Davies) [471] 268

Summary:

The 'key components' of the 'New Strategy' fails to mention renewable energy, a critical component in the context of 'Sustainable Development, Well-being and Climate Change'.

Change To Plan Sought:

Include 'renewable energy' in the 'key components' of the 'New Strategy'.

Response / **Recommendation**

Agree. Include reference to Renewable Energy within paragraph 10.20 as follows: 'To make appropriate provision for renewable energy within the County'

Representation(s)

Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] 12

Summary:

The LDP growth plan based upon the delivery of 9,887 homes over the plan period seems rational and achievable, whilst the delivery of 5,295 jobs over the plan period is consistent with the aspirations of the Council's Strategic Regeneration Plan 2015 - 2030 - Transformations, which has a target of 5,000 jobs by the end of 2030, and again seems realistic and deliverable over the plan period. Overall the economic development elements of the key components of the new strategy are welcomed.

Response / Recommendation

Support welcomed.

________ Nature

Nature

Object

Support

Nature

Representation (s)		Nature
1655	Simon Chaffe [855]	Support
	Summary:	
	Para 10.20, bullet 13 - SUPPORT 'Recognise the contribution of 'previously developed lar County's largely rural context;'	nd' and utilises it as appropriate whilst recognising the
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
===	=======================================	
Figur	e 4: Key Diagram	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1753	City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]	Comment

Summary:

Preferred Strategy Key Diagram: - We suggest that the key diagram could helpfully be amended to clearly show the hierarchy of the road network and M4 junctions in order to aid understanding of the relationship between the road network and key settlements & site allocations. The legend could also be clarified to show the names of each of the clusters, and to identify neighbouring local authorities.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted and proposed changes agreed in part. The Key diagram of the Preferred Strategy seeks to provide an overview of the County at a strategic level. The policies and provisions of the Deposit LDP will provide detail with regards to the hierarchy of the road network and M4 junctions in order to aid understanding of the relationship between the road network and key settlements & site allocations. It is agreed that the legend of the Key diagram should be clarified to show the names of each of the clusters, and to identify neighbouring local authorities - and this will be done as part of the preparation of the deposit LDP.

Section 11. Strategic Policies

Paragraph 11.6

Representation(s)

817 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]

Summary:

We welcome the acknowledgment within Section 11.6 to work closely with partners, infrastructure providers, developers etc. in delivering the plan.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted / support welcomed. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard.

Strategic Policy - SP1

Representation(s)		Nature
1644	Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]	Comment

Summary:

Policy SP1 supports the delivery of approximately 5,300 jobs, further evidence and explanation is required to explain how and where the level of job growth will be delivered, including both strategic and non strategic allocations. It is unclear what the level of employment provision is and for what sector and how this translates into a land requirement for employment uses. The current employment evidence base is inconsistent and unclear. The plan is currently silent on the type and location of key employment sites required to deliver the strategy. Further explanation is also required on how all opportunities arising from the Swansea Bay City Region have been taken into account as part of the economic strategy.

The Deposit Plan should:

provide greater articulation on the link between the plan's housing requirement and target for 5,300 jobs to ensure broad alignment in economic activity and labour force projections and reduce the need for commuting;
 identify an employment (ha) / job target and buffer;

□ identify spatial allocations to meet identified need including broad timing and phasing and defining the land use by Use Class;

□ if appropriate, include a new policy to protect and identify key employment sites to safeguard for future employment use;

□ include a policy to support alternative uses on existing employment sites not safeguarded;

□ explain how allocated sites will be delivered, especially key allocations; and policies to promote and sustain the rural economy.

Response / **Recommendation**

See response to representation 1645.

Nature

Representation(s)		Nature
1783	Mr John Morris [3777]	Object

Agent: LRM Planning (Mr. Michael Rees) [3002]

Summary:

It is clearly a major requirement of PPW10 that great importance is placed upon the provision of homes, indeed, sustainable places cannot be facilitated without adequate provision. In this regard, PPW 10 recognizes the importance of a home to people's lives and indicates that Authorities must:

* identify a supply of land to support the delivery of the housing requirement to meet the differing needs of communities across all tenures;

* enable provision of a range of well-designed, energy efficient, good quality market and affordable housing that will contribute to the creation of sustainable places; and

* focus on the delivery of the identified housing requirement and the related land supply.

Accordingly, it is important that an appropriate supply of homes is identified. As noted above, we are concerned that the revised requirement will not address key issues raised nor achieve the overarching aims of the Plan or its objectives. It is our view that it should be more aspirational and the hybrid option should lean towards higher job growth and economic development.

Notwithstanding our views on the level growth sought, it is clearly the case that based on the favoured option and given the issues identified within the LDP there are two key considerations that are inter related:

1. Flexibility allowance: The current level of flexibility (3.7%) was plainly insufficient, a greater level

could have resulted in supply problems being addressed at an earlier stage.

Indeed, other Authorities have tended to lie between 10% to 20%, accordingly we are of the view that at least 15% should be used. This would reflect the fact that a number of the large strategic sites suffer from significant environmental & physical constraints and may not be brought forward.

A flexibility allowance of 15% would result in a need to identify at a supply pool of at least 11,370 dwellings that would be available and deliverable.

2. Delivery: Given the shortfall in supply it will be problematic to simply roll the existing supply pool forward to suit a lower housing requirement. Indeed, whilst this might prima facie provide a 5 year supply, it will not address the core issues nor meet the requirements of PPW (in terms of placemaking) and implement the aspirations. It will simply mean that the existing trends are carried

forward. There are over 4000 dwellings in category 4 of the JHLAS, this is a considerable number that have failed to deliver within the LDP timeframe to 2021. It is strongly our view that a considerable number of these ought not be carried forward in a review. We await the findings of the review of these sites in terms of viability and delivery. We would accept that if a large number of these sites were replaced with new allocations then our concerns over flexibility may be alleviated.

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted - The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP. The comment relating to existing sites is also noted, and the need for clarity in respect of the current allocated sites is recognised and will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

549 RSAI [3167]

Nature

Object

Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166]

Summary:

Question whether using past build out rates is appropriate given depressed housebuilding over last 10 years.

Change To Plan Sought:

Question the basis of using past build rates to inform the housing requirement and consider that a more aspirational outlook should be utilised which would in turn support a higher level of employment growth.

Response / Recommendation

Disagree - The preferred projection affords an allowance in the potential uplift on the existing deliverability of sites and offers an opportunity to provide a positive mechanism for future growth should the economy grow. The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Strategic Policy - SP1

Repres	entation(s)	Nature		
2101	Union Tavern Estate [3913]	Object		
	Agent: Barton Willmore (Joe Ayoubkhani) [646]	gent: Barton Willmore (Joe Ayoubkhani) [646]		
	Summary:			
	In summary, SP 1: Strategic Growth is objected to and a hou LDP should be utilised, owing to: a) Planning policy dictates that the Welsh Government proje evidence' in respect of assessing housing requirements, and policy and economic considerations which need to be accou b) Stepping down from the current LDP level would represer affordability, which already comprises a significant pressure c) Growth at current levels is required to support the constru employers in Carmarthenshire); however, the current LDP d Significant housing growth (over and above current levels ta new jobs targeted to be created within the region, a significa accommodated and housed within Carmarthenshire due to t	ctions should form only one element of the 'key d that there are a number of specific contextual, nted for in the context of Carmarthenshire; at a serious risk of triggering enhanced issues of to the local population; ction sector (which is one of the greatest bes not account for the Swansea Bay City Deal. rgeted) will be required to underpin the circa 10,000 ant number of which will need to be		
Respon	ise / Recommendation			
	Disagree. The Council considers that the population and reasonable assessment, by identifying a number of factor review report for the adopted LDP, and the Annual Moni deliverability of the current growth as advocated by the	ors which influence it. Reference is made to the toring Reports in relation to their findings on th		
Repres	entation(s)			
13	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support		
	Summary:			

SP1 - Strategic Growth specifically refers that "The LDP will provide for the future growth of the economy and housing requirement..." and that "the strategy builds on the corporate emphasis on regeneration and the opportunities presented through the city deal....." is fully supported by the Division.

Nature

Support

... .

Response / **Recommendation**

. . .

2.5

Support welcomed.

1689 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]

Summary:

Representation(s)

We welcome the acknowledgment within Section 11.6 to work closely with partners, infrastructure providers, developers etc in delivering the plan.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Representation(s)

2022 Swallow Investments Limited [3995]

Summary:

Strategic Policy SP1 reflects the LDP's preferred Strategic Growth and Spatial Options, by confirming provision of 10,480 new homes to meet a requirement of 9,887 new dwellings over the LDP period; and provision of a minimum 5,295 new jobs to provide for economic growth over the LDP period. The Policy confirms that development will be distributed in a sustainable manner, consistent with the LDP's Spatial Strategy and Settlement Hierarchy.

My client supports Strategic Policy SP1 - it sets the context for delivering new housing in line with requirements and sets the conditions to match new jobs with the same; and represents a sustainable and optimistic, though not unrealistic strategy geared towards encouraging housing and economic growth in the County over the LDP period.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Strategic Policy - SP2

Representation(s)

1754 City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]

Summary:

Strategic Policy SP 2: Retail and Town Centres -We suggest that it would be useful for both Councils to work together to consider the impact of Carmarthenshire's retail strategy on Swansea, both in terms of impact on Swansea's highway network and retail hierarchy (particularly Swansea City Centre's role as a regional centre). We suggest that the retail strategy set out in the Swansea LDP should be considered together with the Swansea Central Area Regeneration Framework.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard. The Council recognises the value of the retail industry within the region and the county and will also seek to ensure that we understand the impact of proposals in neighbouring authorities will have on Carmarthenshire's Retail provisions.

Nature

Support

Nature

Representation(s)

1621 Kames Capital UK Active Value Property Unit Trust [3610]

Nature Comment

Agent: ROK Planning (Mr Alun Evans) [3609]

Summary:

The representations seek to demonstrate the background position regarding the specific retail centre and provide a response to (a) the proposed retail policy drafting and (b) the proposed Candidate Site allocation. A conclusion is then provided which identifies minor alterations to the proposed draft retail policy, in order that the Cambrian Way shopping centre retail offer can be optimised and secured and suggest a more flexible local plan designation for the retail centre, including intensification of the use of the floorspace at upper levels. The respondent suggests the following amendment to para 11.27:

"We recognise that the role of town centres and traditional retail patterns is changing, as such both town centre and primary and secondary retail boundaries as identified previously will be reviewed and where appropriate revised. This recognition of the changing retail pattern and the potential for flexibility in maintaining occupancy and footfall, as part of the creation of vibrant and living environments."

The respondent advocates the removal of the Primary and Secondary retail designations. Proposes the inclusion of the following at the end of the second paragraph of SP2:

Within the Principal Centres, a majority of retail units should comprise A1 Retail Use, with other commercial and Town Centre Uses making up the balance of units. It is not considered appropriate to identify Primary and Secondary retail frontage in order to encourage diversity and maintain the overall economic function of the Principal Centres.

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree. It is considered the policy and the supporting text makes appropriate provision for the strategic consideration of retail centres across Carmarthenshire. In this regard it should be recognised that individual centres have intrinsic characteristics and potential challenges. With this in mind the suggested changes would be prescriptive across all centres and would limit the opportunity for more detailed policies to respond specifically to the needs of a given centre.

Representation(s)

Nature

1768 Columbia Threadneedle Investments (To whom it may concern) Comment [3771]

Summary:

The respondent strongly support the principle of seeking to support the deliver of new retail leisure, office, cultural facilities within defined centres. Such uses considered appropriate in shopping areas where these serve to maintain a healthy and vibrant town centre. The merging Local Plan should seek to promote a relaxation of the current adopted policy in order t provide a range of more diverse uses within town centres within Carmarthenshire.

Accordingly, emerging detailed policies should not be overly prescriptive in terms of setting a specific percentage of number of contiguous non-A1 uses that are permissible. Rather it should look to place the onus on the applicant to demonstrate how a non-A1 use would secure the vitality and viability of the defined retail frontages and wider town centre.

Policy should specifically include consideration of the re-use of upper floors for appropriate non-A1 uses and the introduction of flexibility for temporary or meanwhile use of vacant properties within the town centre.

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted - Detailed consideration of the points noted by the respondent will be subject to further consideration as part of the policies and provisions of the Deposit LDP. These are not matters considered appropriate from a strategic policy context.

Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
14	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
	Summary:	
	SP2 - Retail and Town Centres - we support the principles la of provision in a traditional hierarchy of centres and the ole of that town centres need to be able to adapt to the changing n interventions and programmes that are currently in place wh alignment is welcomed.	of out of town centres. We also support the policy in ature of retailing. As a division we have many
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed	
===		
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1698	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326]	Support
	Summary:	
	Support SP 2 Retail and Town Centres Both Authorities' strategies focus on the need to maintain / c centres. Retail provision in both Authorities is identified throu hierarchy being based on Principal Centres, Service Centres	ugh the retail hierarchy with Carmarthenshire's
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support Welcomed	
===		
	Support Welcomed	
Strate	Support Welcomed	======================================
Strate	Support Welcomed	Nature Comment
Strate Repres	Support Welcomed Support Welcomed Support Welcomed Support Welcomed Support Velcomed Suppor	
Strate Repres	Support Welcomed	Comment
Strate Repres 1675	Support Welcomed egic Policy - SP3 rentation(s) Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Author	Comment
Strate Repres 1675	Support Welcomed egic Policy - SP3 rentation(s) Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Author growth proposed.	Comment
Strate Repres 1675 Respon	Support Welcomed egic Policy - SP3 sentation(s) Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing	Comment Drity has no comment on the anticipated scale of
Strate Repres 1675 Respon Respon	Support Welcomed egic Policy - SP3 rentation(s) Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Author growth proposed. mse / Recommendation	Comment Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anti
Strate Repres 1675 Respon	Support Welcomed egic Policy - SP3 sentation(s) Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing Growth - Dembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Housing	Comment Drity has no comment on the anticipated scale of
Strate Repres 1675 Respon Respon	Support Welcomed egic Policy - SP3 rentation(s) Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Author growth proposed. nse / Recommendation Comment Noted rentation(s)	Comment Drity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Drity has no comment on the a
Strate Repres 1675 Respon Respon	Support Welcomed egic Policy - SP3 rentation(s) Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Housing Growth - Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Author growth proposed. mse / Recommendation Comment Noted rentation(s) WYG PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT (Louise Darch) [598]	Comment Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated scale of Derity has no comment on the anticipated for residential

Noted. The site specific matters highlighted by the respondent are not subject to consideration within this Draft Preferred Strategy.

Summary of Representations & Response / Recommendations

Representation(s)

1586 The Williams Family . [3585]

Nature

Comment

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

Wholly inadequate flexibility given the undersupply in the adopted LDP and there is a need for a buffer of a very minimum of 10% to ensure delivery.

Thorough review of sites that are allocated in the adopted LDP to consider their suitability for their continued allocation in the revised LDP.

Whilst it is understood that CCC may have aspirations for sites in St Clears to be developed there must be genuine concerns about their viability and deliverability -

Accordingly, it is suggested that they are not continued as allocations within the RLDP on the basis that deliverability has not been demonstrated.

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. The Council as part of the LDP process are reviewing all existing housing allocations sites to identify those that are not contributing to the LDP strategy, and a wide ranging assessment is being undertaken to make sure that the most appropriate sites are allocated in the revised Plan. This will be reflected within the apportionment of sites within the each cluster and tier.

Representation(s)

642 Simrock Holdings Ltd [3217]

Nature Comment

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

Whilst the increased buffer that is proposed in the revised LDP is marginally greater than in the adopted LDP, it is suggested that it is still wholly inadequate given the undersupply in the adopted LDP and there is a need for a buffer of a very minimum of 10% to ensure delivery.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments Noted - The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Representation(s)		Nature
1785	Mr John Morris [3777]	Object

Agent: LRM Planning (Mr. Michael Rees) [3002]

Summary:

It is clearly a major requirement of PPW10 that great importance is placed upon the provision of homes, indeed, sustainable places cannot be facilitated without adequate provision. In this regard, PPW 10 recognizes the importance of a home to people's lives and indicates that Authorities must:

* identify a supply of land to support the delivery of the housing requirement to meet the differing needs of communities across all tenures;

* enable provision of a range of well-designed, energy efficient, good quality market and affordable housing that will contribute to the creation of sustainable places; and

* focus on the delivery of the identified housing requirement and the related land supply.

Accordingly, it is important that an appropriate supply of homes is identified. As noted above, we are concerned that the revised requirement will not address key issues raised nor achieve the overarching aims of the Plan or its objectives. It is our view that it should be more aspirational and the hybrid option should lean towards higher job growth and economic development.

Notwithstanding our views on the level growth sought, it is clearly the case that based on the favoured option and given the issues identified within the LDP there are two key considerations that are inter related:

1. Flexibility allowance: The current level of flexibility (3.7%) was plainly insufficient, a greater level could have resulted in supply problems being addressed at an earlier stage.

Indeed, other Authorities have tended to lie between 10% to 20%, accordingly we are of the view that at least 15% should be used. This would reflect the fact that a number of the large strategic sites suffer from significant

environmental & physical constraints and may not be brought forward.

A flexibility allowance of 15% would result in a need to identify at a supply pool of at least 11,370 dwellings that would be available and deliverable.

2. Delivery: Given the shortfall in supply it will be problematic to simply roll the existing supply pool forward to suit a lower housing requirement. Indeed, whilst this might prima facie provide a 5 year supply, it will not address the core issues nor meet the requirements of PPW (in terms of placemaking) and implement the aspirations. It will simply mean that the existing trends are carried

forward. There are over 4000 dwellings in category 4 of the JHLAS, this is a considerable number that have failed to deliver within the LDP timeframe to 2021. It is strongly our view that a considerable number of these ought not be carried forward in a review. We await the findings of the review of these sites in terms of viability and delivery. We would accept that if a large number of these sites were replaced with new allocations then our concerns over flexibility may be alleviated.

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted - The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP. The comment relating to existing sites is also noted, and the need for clarity in respect of the current allocated sites is recognised and will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

Representation (s)	
---------------------------	--

1773 Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Nature Object

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

Linked to this point, the Williams Family suggest that there is a need to undertake a thorough review of sites that are allocated in the Adopted LDP to consider their suitability for their continued allocation in the Revised LDP in light of the emphasis placed in Planning Policy Wales Edition 10 on sites being realistically deliverable and viable. This can only help Carmarthenshire County Council hit the delivery numbers that are required within the County.

In St Clears, for example, three sites ('Adjacent to Britannia Terrace', 'Adjacent to Brynheulog', and 'Adjacent to Gardde Fields') totalling 98 units are allocated in the Adopted LDP and were allocated in its predecessor, the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) which was adopted in 2006. In the case of 'Adjacent to Britannia Terrace' outline planning permission was granted in 2010 (ref. W/21675) though this has been extended on two occasions (refs. W/28769 and W/31167) whilst both the 'Adjacent to Gardde Fields' and the 'Adjacent to Brynheulog' have no relevant planning history since an outline application for residential development which was withdrawn in 2007 (12 years ago) (ref/ W/17287). This means that no units have been constructed on any of the sites.

Whilst it is understood that Carmarthenshire County Council may have aspirations for these sites to be developed there must be genuine concerns about their viability and deliverability - these factors should form part of the rigorous review of all long standing allocated sites. Accordingly, it is suggested that they are not continued as allocations within the Revised LDP on the basis that deliverability has not been demonstrated.

The RLDP's extended lifetime means that there is a requirement to identify new sites for housing. St Clears is both a highly sustainable location as reflected in its position in the settlement hierarchy (as set out in the Adopted LDP) but also the far higher level of housing delivery on allocated housing sites compared to other settlements in St Clears. Despite this, there has been little progress on three sites allocated in the Adopted LDP and questions need to be asked whether these continue to be deliverable and ultimately whether they should continue to be allocated.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments Noted - A detailed assessments will be undertaken on all housing allocation within the current LDP, and each settlement within the settlement hierarchy will be assessed in light of their potential for accommodating and delivering future development. This will be considered as part of the emerging evidence base leading into the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Nature

Object

Representation(s)

542 R

RSAI [3167]

Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166]

Summary:

Support not using the WG 2014 based population projections. Support in principle the fact that a more aspirational population projection has been utilised.

Question whether using past build out rates is appropriate given depressed housebuilding over last 10 years.

Object to the fact that a flexibility allowance of only 593 (5.9%) homes is set out by the Plan. Current adopted LDP had a 3.8% buffer and it is clear that this has not been sufficient to ensure that the housing requirement is met.

Change To Plan Sought:

We recommend that the land supply is increased so that there is at least a 10% buffer for non-delivery. This would assist the Council in meeting the housing requirement.

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree in part. The Council considers that the population and household projection is based on a sound and reasonable assessment, by identifying a number of factors which influence it. The preferred projection affords an allowance in the potential uplift on the existing deliverability of sites and offers an opportunity to provide a positive mechanism for future growth should the economy grow.

Comment Noted - The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2103	Union Tavern Estate [3913]	Object
	Agent: Barton Willmore (Joe Ayoubkhani) [646	1
	Summary:	
	adopted LDP should be utilised, owing to: a) Planning policy dictates that the Welsh G evidence' in respect of assessing housing re policy and economic considerations which n b) Stepping down from the current LDP leve affordability, which already comprises a sign c) Growth at current levels is required to sup employers in Carmarthenshire); however, th Significant housing growth (over and above new jobs targeted to be created within the re	objected to and a housing requirement in line with the existing overnment projections should form only one element of the 'key equirements, and that there are a number of specific contextual, eed to be accounted for in the context of Carmarthenshire; I would represent a serious risk of triggering enhanced issues of ificant pressure to the local population; port the construction sector (which is one of the greatest e current LDP does not account for the Swansea Bay City Deal. current levels targeted) will be required to underpin the circa 10,00 egion, a significant number of which will need to be
Respon	se / Recommendation	
-	assessment, by identifying a number of f	n and household projection is based on a sound and reasonal actors which influence it, including the role that the Swansea I

The Council considers that the population and household projection is based on a sound and reasonable assessment, by identifying a number of factors which influence it, including the role that the Swansea Bay City Deal will play. The preferred projection affords an allowance in the potential uplift on the existing deliverability of sites and offers an opportunity to provide a positive mechanism for future growth should the economy grow. The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

===			
Repres	entation(s)	Nature	
15	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support	
	Summary:		
	We support SP3 - Providing new homes		
Respon	nse / Recommendation		
	Support welcomed.		
===			
Repres	entation(s)	Nature	
2023	Swallow Investments Limited [3995]	Support	
	Summary:		
	Strategic Policy SP3 builds on Strategic Policy SP1 by confir dwellings over the LDP period, 10,480 new dwellings will be LDP's Settlement Framework. My client supports Strategic P housing in line with requirements, with a reasonable and rea satisfied; and therefore represents a sustainable and achieva over the LDP period.	provided between 2018-2033, in accordance with the olicy SP3 - it sets the context for delivering new listic 'buffer' to ensure those requirements are	
Respon	nse / Recommendation		
	Support welcomed.		
===			

Paragraph 11.35

Representation(s)

1772 Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Nature

Object

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

Based upon the growth option selected in Section 9 of the Draft Preferred Strategy, this policy states that in order to ensure the housing requirement of 9,887 units over the Review LDP period is achieved, provision will be need to be made for 10,480 units. This equates to a buffer of 593 units or 6% of the projected population growth.

Paragraph 4.2.10 of Planning Policy Wales provides guidance on the buffer that should be used when setting housing need, stating that:

"The supply of land to meet the housing requirement proposed in a development plan must be deliverable. To achieve this, development plans must include a supply of land which delivers the identified housing requirement figure and makes a locally appropriate additional flexibility allowance for sites not coming forward during the plan period."

The Adopted LDP is based on a housing need of 15,197 (just over 1,000 a year) with a total of 15,778 units allocated equating to a buffer of 581 units or 4%. Since the adoption of the Adopted LDP, the 2018 Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) recognises that the most units delivered in a single year was 608. A number of reasons (some structural and other more local based) are identified in the AMR to explain this under delivery and these are not disputed by The Williams Family but it is also evident that the 4% buffer used was inadequate for the Adopted LDP and that there have been sites that have been allocated repeatedly in local plans despite not having come forward and not coming forward in the Adopted LDP. Whilst the increased buffer that is proposed in the Revised LDP is marginally greater than in the Adopted LDP, The Williams Family suggest that it is still wholly inadequate given the undersupply in the Adopted LDP and there is a need for a buffer of a very minimum of 10% to ensure delivery.

In terms of the amount of growth, ultimately The Williams Family welcome the use of a projection that is based on longer term trends rather than a single base date but suggest there is scope to make an allowance for favourable economic circumstances and use a substantial buffer (minimum of 10%) between need and allocations made to support delivery.

Response / **Recommendation**

The level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

1076 Cai Parry [822]

Nature

Object

Summary:

Windfall allowances cannot be demonstrated as being deliverable and therefore should form part of the flexibility allowance only.

Change To Plan Sought:

References to windfalls should be deleted from paragraph 11.35.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The windfall and flexibility allowances will be calculated and identified within the Deposit Plan in a manner consistent with Welsh Government guidance.

Strategic Policy - SP4

Representation(s)

1648 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

The absence of an up to date Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) is a major shortcoming as it is a core piece of baseline evidence influencing the scale, type and location of housing. The LHMA is of critical importance to demonstrate that the proposed level and type of housing reflects local needs. Linkages to sustainability issues should also be reconciled; i.e. why it is, or is not appropriate to locate affordable housing in less sustainable communities. At present it is unclear how the distribution of growth relates to areas where it is viable or needed? Whilst the authority refers to an affordable housing target in para 11.42 which mentions the Affordable Housing Delivery Plan 2016-2020 target of 1,000 homes, Policy SP4 'Affordable Homes should set a target for affordable homes. The LDP Manual (edition 3) sets out detailed guidance on viability and includes a checklist for developing an affordable housing policy framework.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The Council recognises the importance, and role, of an up to date Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA). The Council is currently working with authorities across the region to prepare an up to date LHMA. The LHMA will inform the preparation of the Deposit LDP. Policy SP4 will as part of the Deposit LDP set a minimum target for affordable homes. The reference to the requirements of the Local Development Manual Edition 3 is noted and will be appropriately considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit Plan. However, it should be noted that Edition 3 of the Manual at the time of writing is pending publication and as such could not be considered in the preparation of the Preferred Strategy.

Representation(s)

1788 Mr John Morris [3777]

Nature Comment

Agent: LRM Planning (Mr. Michael Rees) [3002]

Summary:

We note that through the LDP there was a significant identified housing need (73% of the overall housing requirement). Given the shortfall within the LDP period and under delivery, absent any updated need survey, it seems clear that the existing level of need can only be increased.

Indeed, the most recent housing market assessment indicates that there will be a shortfall of 1,900 affordable homes a year for the next five years between 2015 and 2020. This includes 400 of these that are considered within the highest housing need and in total over the period equates 9,500.

As such it seems that the overarching housing need figure is likely to exacerbate issues of affordability. These issues were identified in 2009, were not addressed within the LDP and are unlikely to be addressed in the current approach, absent an overhaul of under-deliverable sites and

identification of new allocations that can improve delivery.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. The Council recognises the importance, and role, of an up to date Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA). The Council is currently working with authorities across the region to prepare an up to date LHMA. The LHMA will inform the preparation of the Deposit LDP. The comment relating to existing sites is also noted, and the need for clarity in respect of deliverable sites is recognised and will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2417	Dyfodol (J W Thomas) [563]	Object
	Summary:	
	In discussing the Authority's Cartrefi Croeso Scheme the re- the County may take advantage of the scheme and in turn r Carmarthenshire.	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comments are noted. Policies will be developed in the the provision of affordable housing to meet local needs safeguard the Welsh language.	
Repres	rentation(s)	
16	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
	Summary:	
	We support SP4 - Affordable Homes	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
D opros	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Nature
1683	<i>rentation(s)</i> Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina	Support
1005	Dunne) [2326]	Capport
	Summary:	
	Affordable Housing Support in principal Strategic Policy SP4 Both authorities are party to a joint commission with neighbo Housing Market Assessment - due for delivery in 2019.	ouring authorities for the preparation of a replacemen
	Both Authorities are also part of a joint commission on asse	essing viability in the region.
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support Welcomed	
Strate	egic Policy - SP5	
Repres	centation(s)	Nature
1755	City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]	Comment
	Summary:	
	Strategic policy SP5- Strategic Site allocations -We suggest Swansea Strategic Transport Model to understand the impa the highway network.	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continu as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Depo to date is duly welcomed in this regard.	osit LDP and the input provided by the respondent

	entation(s)	Nature
1690	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We have no comment to make on Policy SP5 as we are involutional being Village and Yr Egin in Carmarthen through the plannin Authority in progressing the development of these sites.	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comments noted.	
B18	<i>entation(s)</i> Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	<i>Nature</i> Comment
518	Natural Resources Wales (MISS Sharon Luke) [3233]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We have no comment to make on Policy SP5 as we are involution being Village and Yr Egin in Carmarthen through the plannin Authority in progressing the development of these sites.	
lespon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comments noted	
= = =	=======================================	
lepres	entation(s)	Nature
17	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
	Summary:	
	SP5 - Strategic Sites, The fact that there is no reference to the Development perspective, these being 1) Cross Hands East developed for employment use, which has secured planning	Strategic Employment Site - a site currently being
	delivered over the next few years; 2) Pibwrlwyd - a site in Ca use for a number of years and is seen as strategically impor economy of Carmarthenshire. We would be grateful if consi moving forward.	tant for the future growth of Carmarthen and the wid
Respon	use for a number of years and is seen as strategically impor economy of Carmarthenshire. We would be grateful if consi moving forward.	tant for the future growth of Carmarthen and the wid
Respon	use for a number of years and is seen as strategically import economy of Carmarthenshire. We would be grateful if consi	tant for the future growth of Carmarthen and the wid deration could be given to include these two sites gic in terms of their potential for inclusion withi strategic, namely essential to the delivery of the sion within the Deposit LDP. In this respect, it is
	use for a number of years and is seen as strategically impore economy of Carmarthenshire. We would be grateful if consi- moving forward. <i>Ise / Recommendation</i> Noted. The sites have been not been identified as strate the Revised LDP. However, it should be noted that whilst not considered Revised LDP, they will be considered for potential inclu- noted that Pibwrlwyd, along with an extension to Cross	tant for the future growth of Carmarthen and the wid deration could be given to include these two sites gic in terms of their potential for inclusion withi strategic, namely essential to the delivery of the sion within the Deposit LDP. In this respect, it is
= = = Repress	use for a number of years and is seen as strategically impore economy of Carmarthenshire. We would be grateful if consi- moving forward. <i>Ise / Recommendation</i> Noted. The sites have been not been identified as strate the Revised LDP. However, it should be noted that whilst not considered Revised LDP, they will be considered for potential inclu- noted that Pibwrlwyd, along with an extension to Cross sites representations.	tant for the future growth of Carmarthen and the wid deration could be given to include these two sites gic in terms of their potential for inclusion within strategic, namely essential to the delivery of the sion within the Deposit LDP. In this respect, it is Hands East, have been submitted as candidate
= = = Repress	<pre>use for a number of years and is seen as strategically impor economy of Carmarthenshire. We would be grateful if consi moving forward. noted. The sites have been not been identified as strate the Revised LDP. However, it should be noted that whilst not considered Revised LDP, they will be considered for potential inclu- noted that Pibwrlwyd, along with an extension to Cross sites representations. ===================================</pre>	tant for the future growth of Carmarthen and the wid deration could be given to include these two sites gic in terms of their potential for inclusion within strategic, namely essential to the delivery of the sion within the Deposit LDP. In this respect, it is Hands East, have been submitted as candidate ====================================
= = = Repress	<pre>use for a number of years and is seen as strategically impor economy of Carmarthenshire. We would be grateful if consi moving forward. noted. The sites have been not been identified as strate the Revised LDP. However, it should be noted that whilst not considered Revised LDP, they will be considered for potential inclu- noted that Pibwrlwyd, along with an extension to Cross sites representations. ===================================</pre>	tant for the future growth of Carmarthen and the wid deration could be given to include these two sites gic in terms of their potential for inclusion within strategic, namely essential to the delivery of the sion within the Deposit LDP. In this respect, it is Hands East, have been submitted as candidate <i>Nature</i> Support
= == == Cepreso 1078	<pre>use for a number of years and is seen as strategically impor economy of Carmarthenshire. We would be grateful if consi moving forward. noted. The sites have been not been identified as strate the Revised LDP. However, it should be noted that whilst not considered Revised LDP, they will be considered for potential inclu- noted that Pibwrlwyd, along with an extension to Cross sites representations. entation(s) Cai Parry [822] Summary: Support the allocation of two strategic employment sites. Net Support the allocation of two strategic employment sites.</pre>	tant for the future growth of Carmarthen and the wid deration could be given to include these two sites gic in terms of their potential for inclusion within strategic, namely essential to the delivery of the sion within the Deposit LDP. In this respect, it is Hands East, have been submitted as candidate <i>Nature</i> Support

Strategic Policy SP6

Representation(s)

1645 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

Policy SP1 supports the delivery of approximately 5,300 jobs, further evidence and explanation is required to explain how and where the level of job growth will be delivered, including both strategic and non-strategic allocations. It is unclear what the level of employment provision is and for what sector and how this translates into a land requirement for employment uses? The current employment evidence base is inconsistent and unclear. The plan is currently silent on the type and location of key employment sites required to deliver the strategy. Further explanation is also required on how all opportunities arising from the Swansea Bay City Region have been taken into account as part of the economic strategy.

The Deposit Plan should:

provide greater articulation on the link between the plan's housing requirement and target for 5,300 jobs to ensure broad alignment in economic activity and labour force projections and reduce the need for commuting; identify an employment (ha) / job target and buffer;

identify spatial allocations to meet identified need including broad timing and phasing and defining the land use by Use Class;

if appropriate, include a new policy to protect and identify key employment sites to safeguard for future employment use;

include a policy to support alternative uses on existing employment sites not safeguarded;

explain how allocated sites will be delivered, especially key allocations; and policies to promote and sustain the rural economy.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. Additional evidence will be prepared to support and inform the preparation and content of the Deposit LDP. Note the authority is currently working at a sub-regional level on evidence in respect of employment provision.

Nature

Comment

Representation(s)

641 Simrock Holdings Ltd [3217]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

This policy states that certain sites will be allocated and safeguarded for employment purposes. If CCC is to allocate or safeguard land for employment purposes, such a policy should contain mechanisms for the alternative use of such land subject to a series of criteria which would enable alternative uses to come forward where circumstances exist to justify alternative use subject to other policies within the development plan.

These criteria would enable applications for alternative uses of land or buildings to be treated on their merits having regard to market signals and the relative need for different land uses to support sustainable local communities. A failure to introduce such criteria could have the unintended consequences of the long-term vacancy allocated or safeguarded sites where there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used for such purposes to the detriment of the local economy or where alternative uses have merit.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted. The Revised LDP will allocate and safeguard sufficient land for employment purposes, based upon robust evidence, and in accordance with the requirements set out within Planning Policy Wales (PPW) and Technical Advice Note (TAN) 23. The inclusion of criteria for potential alternative uses on such allocated sites will be considered under evolving policy during preparation of the Deposit LDP.

Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
613	lfan Beynon-Thomas [3198]	Object
	Summary:	
	Strategic Policy - SP 6: Employment and the Eco number of jobs alongside the traditional B class u	nomy should acknowledge that tourism uses can support a large uses.
	Change To Plan Sought:	
	Strategic Policy - SP 6: Employment and the Eco	nomy should be amended to acknowledge that tourism uses can

support a large number of jobs alongside the traditional B class uses.

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree. The supporting text in respect of policy SP10 in respect of the Visitor Economy makes appropriate reference to the role it plays in creating jobs and its broader role within the economy of Carmarthenshire.

Repre	sentation(s)	Nature	
18	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support	

Summary:

We note that SP6 - Employment and Economy as yet does not quantify the level of land to be allocated for employment use in the emerging LDP. We would welcome further dialogue on this matter as the plan evolves. We welcome the fact that section 11.60 refers to the Transformations - a Strategic Regeneration Plan for Carmarthenshire 2015-2030, and that the allocation of employment land reflects the aspirations of the regeneration strategy. We also support the fact that the plan reflects the needs of the city deal and that new sites located outside of the highest tiers of the hierarchy can make a significant contribution to the settlements and communities they serve, especially in rural areas where opportunities for new businesses to establish or existing businesses to expand would be severely constrained in the absence of appropriate sites and premises. We feel this is essential to ensure opportunity and growth is distributed fairly across the county.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted, further evidence will be prepared in respect of Employment provision within the County. This evidence will be prepared in dialogue with the relevant partners ahead of the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

1691 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]

Nature Support

Summary:

We welcome the point made in Section 11.65 on non-operational land providing scope for landscaping, buffer zones etc to be included in the land provision for allocated employment sites. This could also refer to sustainable drainage systems and ecological enhancement and protection.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Section 11. Strategic Policies

Paragraph 11.65

Paragraph 11.65

Representation(s)

Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] 819

Summary:

We welcome the point made in Section 11.65 on non-operational land providing scope for landscaping, buffer zones etc. to be included in the land provision for allocated employment sites. This could also refer to sustainable drainage systems and ecological enhancement and protection.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted / support welcomed.

Strategic Policy SP7

Representation(s)

Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13] 1637

Summary:

The authority must fully justify/evidence that the growth levels are directed to the most sustainable places, related to the scale and location of housing need, not impacting negatively on the Welsh language and is realistic and deliverable.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. See representation 1647.

Representation(s)

Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13] 1642

Summary:

The Welsh Government is concerned that the proposed scale and distribution of housing growth, particularly within Tiers 3 and 4 raises implications of sustainability and potentially negatively impacts on the Welsh language. It is unclear why the more sustainable Service Centres have been allocated the same proportion of growth as Tiers 3 and 4? In addition, it is also unclear why Rural Villages (Tier 4) that have no settlement boundaries, services or facilities would have the same growth levels/capacity as Tier 2 settlements? This point is re-enforced by the Role and Function Topic Paper which highlights that Tier 3 settlements - Sustainable Villages have in broad terms limited services and facilitates. Tier 4 settlements are not even assessed. While the WG acknowledges the rural characteristics of Carmarthenshire, the scale and distribution of growth does not appear commensurate with the principles of sustainable development, nor the Councils own evidence within the SA or the Role and Function Paper. To summarise the Welsh Government has concerns about the scale of growth proposed to Tiers 3 and 4 of the settlement hierarchy conflicts with Planning Policy Wales.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. The scale and distribution of growth will be subject to further evidencing as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP - including the proportions allocated to the respective tiers within the hierarchy. ________

Nature Comment

Nature



Representation(s)

1647 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

Policy SP7 - Welsh language highlights the importance of the language to the area. A topic paper setting out how the Welsh language has influenced the scale of growth and strategy is essential given the significance of the Welsh language for this LDP. The consequence of the level/distribution of housing growth proposed on the Welsh language needs to be clearly articulated especially as past high levels of in migration and international migration are being used to justify the housing requirement. This is a key requirement of TAN 20 that has not been addressed. The SA of the Hybrid Option alludes to positive effects (SA, Figure 6) however the implications of the settlement hierarchy and proposed distribution of growth (SP16) is not conclusive in this respect (SA, Figure 8). There is no indication the authority has considered the potential strategic approaches to the Welsh language, as outlined in paragraph 2.5.2 of TAN 20. The authority should consider a suitable approach, taking account of how it fits with neighbouring authorities, and consider whether there are any anticipated impacts on the language which should be avoided or where they cannot be avoided, require mitigation.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments are noted. The Deposit LDP will be supported by a Topic Paper on the Welsh Language and informed by a Welsh Language impact Assessment. Further detailed policies will be provided in the Deposit Plan and these will address matters such as phasing and mitigation, if required.

Representation(s)

741 Mr Peter Hallam [3271]

Nature Comment

Summary:

The attention given to the essential position of the Welsh language in Carmarthenshire is feeble at best. When talking about a major development such as the proposed village (with many questions currently being raised regarding that development), no practical measures are identified to protect and promote the Welsh language in such a development.

The few words given to the position of the Welsh language and how it can be developed and promoted say nothing, in fact, on how that will be done.

I would like to commend the response given by the Welsh Language Society in its entirety as my response too. It encompasses all that I would like to state.

I can do no better than refer you to the detailed, balanced and incisive response by Cymdeithas yr laith (Welsh Language Society). Indeed I am in complete agreement with that response when discussing the whole scheme.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments are noted. The Preferred Strategy was subject to a SA/SEA which encompasses the Welsh language. The Deposit LDP will be informed by a Welsh Language Impact Assessment. We welcome ongoing dialogue with Welsh Language Organisations in respect of the impacts of the LDP upon the Welsh language and would welcome any data, evidence or research which they have prepared or are aware of.

Nature

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2423	Dyfodol (J W Thomas) [563]	Object

Summary:

The respondent considers that the Preferred Strategy does not support the Welsh language and is contrary to the County Council and Welsh Government's aspirations. In expressing this, the respondent makes reference to a number of aspects of the LDP and the land use planning system. However, it is considered that the main issue raised is that the level of housing growth proposed in the Preferred Strategy would attract in-migration and in turn negatively impact upon the Welsh language in the County, in noting this, the respondent make specific reference to empty homes in the County.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments are noted. The Preferred Strategy was subject to a SA/SEA which encompasses the Welsh language. The number of empty homes in the county were factored into the identified housing need, however, it should be noted that there are a number of factors which lie outside of the scope and control of the LDP and the land use planning system which impact upon the availability of vacant properties. The Plan will continue to be prepared in accordance with national policy and national guidance and aims to support the aspirations of both Carmarthenshire County Council and Welsh Government. We welcome ongoing dialogue with Welsh Language Organisations in respect of the impacts of the LDP upon the Welsh language and would welcome any data, evidence or research which they have prepared or are aware of.

Representation(s)

19 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]

Summary:

SP7 - Welsh Language and Culture, this policy is welcomed and its importance in creating a sense of place and effect on the economy cannot be underestimated.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Representation(s)

1703 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326]

Summary:

The Welsh language which continues to be an important component in the social, cultural and economic life of many communities will be protected and supported by managing development sensitively in areas where it has a significant role in the community.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support Welcomed

Nature Support

Nature

Support

Paragraph 11.66

Representation(s)

2431 Rhanbarth Sir Gâr Cymdeithas yr laith (Rhanbarth Sir Gâr) [2370] Object

Summary:

The respondent notes their disappointment noting that they wish to see the LDP protect communities with a high proportion of Welsh speakers being afforded protection from over-development. The respondent also notes that they consider that the Local Authority should devise its own mechanism for assessing the impact of development on the Welsh language and that it has not assessed the impact of the options outlined.

Response / Recommendation

Comments are noted. Policy SP7: Welsh Language and Culture and its supporting text notes that the Plan notes that development proposals which have a detrimental impact on the Welsh language will not be permitted unless it can be mitigated.

The impacts of each Spatial and Growth Option was assessed through the SA/SEA. The Deposit Plan will be informed by a Welsh Language Impact Assessment.

Nature

Comment

Strategic Policy SP8

Representation(s)

1750 City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]

Summary:

In accordance with the position set out in the Swansea LDP (Policy RP4 and reasoned justification) Swansea Council will continue to work in collaboration with Carmarthenshire County Council and partners NRW and DCWW to protect the water quality of the Burry Inlet and Loughor Estuary that forms part of the Carmarthen Bay and Estuaries European Marine Site (CBEEMS). This work may include producing and updating a joint agreement or 'Memorandum of Understanding' that will set out the roles and responsibilities of each organisation in the provision of foul water infrastructure to safeguard against any unacceptable detrimental impacts on the Estuary arising from additional foul flows from new development. DCWW has indicated that Llannant WWTWs, that discharges into the CBEEMS and has a catchment covering both authorities, is reaching capacity. In advance of DCWW undertaking the necessary improvement to Llannant Waste Water Treatment Works through their AMP it may be necessary to require development in its catchment to provide compensatory surface water removal from the foul water system. The Swansea LDP acknowledges that ultimately in order to protect water quality of the Burry Inlet and Loughor Estuary a Nutrient Management Plan may be required This is a key trans-boundary issue which should be appropriately reflected in the LDP evidence base and associated SA and HRA documents.

Response / Recommendation

Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard. It is noted that in relation to the Memorandum of Understanding, there are other stakeholders in addition to the Council and the respondent and as such this matter will be fully explored in a collaborative manner as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP. The Council fully recognises that this is a key trans-boundary issue which should be appropriately reflected in the LDP evidence base and associated SA and HRA documents. Reference should be made to the Council's response to representation 1704.

Nature

Representation (s)
lepi esennenton (s	/

1756 City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]

Summary:

Strategic Policy SP8 - Infrastructure - We suggest that the Swansea Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) (see ED056b Revised IDP) which supports the Swansea LDP could provide useful evidence to inform consideration of the capacity of local infrastructure. The IDP contains detailed requirements for infrastructure provision on allocated sites along the Swansea side of the Carmarthenshire boundary.

Response / Recommendation

Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard.

Representation(s)

1757 City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]

Nature Comment

Nature

Comment

Summary:

It is noted that there are number of candidate sites within the Hendy area where the local transboundary and incombination effects of allocations should be taken into consideration during the site assessment process. We suggest that the impact of planned infrastructure provision and improvements within the Swansea boundary could usefully be taken into consideration in the preparation of the Carmarthenshire Deposit Plan, particularly with regard to the site selection process and the assessment of impact of sites on the highways network. In particular, reference could usefully be made to

- * Swansea Infrastructure Development Plan,
- * LDP Appendix 3 Site Requirements, L
- * DP Appendix 5 Transport Proposals Priority Measures

* Infrastructure requirements of LDP Strategic Site Policies SD A and SD H: which include on and off-site highways improvements, provision of a new primary school and contributions to improvements at Pontarddulais Railway station).

Response / Recommendation

Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard. The Site Assessment Methodology will allow for the consideration of candidate sites, including those submitted within the Hendy area.

Representation(s)

Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] 1704

Summary:

The MOU no longer relates to any HRA for the coastal area of Llanelli. NRW are satisfied that any development within the area will be captured by the overarching HRA for the LDP.

Nature

Comment

SuDS must be designed and built in accordance with the SuDS Standards and Schemes must be approved by the LA acting in its SAB role. Water quality mitigation should be captured with the SABS which supersedes the existing MOU. NRW advocate the inclusion of a policy/strategy/supporting text with the LDP supporting no new connections of surface water to the main foul sewerage system from new development sites.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard. It is noted that in relation to the Memorandum of Understanding, there are other stakeholders in addition to the Council and the respondent and as such this matter will be fully explored in a collaborative manner as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP. Reference should also be made to the Council's response to representation 1750. Adequate referencing to the SAB (and indeed the relationship between the planning and SAB application processes) will be required and due consideration will also be given to the implications of SAB consent in the site assessment methodology (noted that some allocations will be based on approvals pre SUDS implementation).

Representation(s)

820	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
020	Matural Nesources Wales (Miss Onaron' Euke) [5255]	oonninent

Summary:

We support the recognition given to the importance of infrastructure capabilities within the policy and supporting paragraphs. We also note your definition for infrastructure includes roads, transport facilities, water supplies, sewerage and associated waste water treatment facilities, energy supplies and distribution networks and telecommunications infrastructure.

We acknowledge that certain sites will need to be appropriately phased thorough the development process such as the proposed phased plan for the Llanelli Life Science and Well-being Village.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted / support welcomed.

Representation(s)

mr william Phillips [3566] 1593

Summary:

The Strategic Policy (SP8) is reasonable and correct, to a certain extent However, recent experience has demonstrated that developers are able to submit acceptable plans, to cater for deficient infrastructure, until Planning permission has been granted. Thereafter, the plans are scrapped, Houses are allowed, by the Planners, to be completed, eg. without sewerage, DCWW are then obliged under the Water Act of 1991, to provide sewerage services for those dwellings. INFRASTRUCTURE MUST BE IN PLACE. PRIOR TO THE GRANTING OF PLANNING CONSENT to avoid such consent being obtained through "smoke and mirrors."

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The Council will continue to work closely with infrastructure providers and developers to ensure sites are deliverable and that adequate services are available.

Nature

Nature

Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
1079	Cai Parry [822]	Object
	Summary:	
	Support reference to location development close to e relegated to the supporting text. Viability should be di	xisting infrastructure. Object to references to viability being rectly referred to within the policy text itself.
	Change To Plan Sought:	
	The final paragraph of Strategic Policy SP 8 should b	e amended as follows:
	"Where financially viable, planning obligations may be facilities needed to deliver and support the development	e sought to ensure that the infrastructure, services and ent are delivered".
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Disagree. Financial viability is implicit within this text.	policy and is adequately covered within the supporting
Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
1669	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830]	Support
	Summary:	
	As outlined above, the availability or capacity of infras	structure is key in determining a settlement's sustainability. requiring development proposals to ensure sufficient capacity angements are in place to provide the necessary
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
	sentation(s)	Nature
20	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [23	345] Support
	Summary:	
	We support the principles contained in SP8- Infrastru supported by adequate infrastructure. Reference to the demand up to 2033" is supported.	cture and welcome the fact that development will be ne plan being "sufficiently responsive and flexible to market
Dama		
Kespor	nse / Recommendation	
Kespor	nse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	
===	Support welcomed. = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	======================================
===		======================================
= = = Repres	Support welcomed. = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
= = = Repres	Support welcomed. Sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We support the recognition given to the importance of	Support f infrastructure capabilities within the policy and supporting cture includes roads, transport facilities, water supplies,
= = = Repres	Support welcomed. Sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We support the recognition given to the importance of paragraphs. We also note your definition for infrastru- sewerage and associated waste water treatment facili telecommunications infrastructure. We acknowledge that certain sites will need to be app as the proposed phased plan for the Llanelli Life Scie	Support of infrastructure capabilities within the policy and supporting cture includes roads, transport facilities, water supplies, ities, energy supplies and distribution networks and propriately phased thorough the development process such once and Well-being Village.
= = = <i>Repres</i> 1692	Support welcomed. Sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We support the recognition given to the importance of paragraphs. We also note your definition for infrastructures sewerage and associated waste water treatment facility telecommunications infrastructure. We acknowledge that certain sites will need to be app	Support of infrastructure capabilities within the policy and supporting cture includes roads, transport facilities, water supplies, ities, energy supplies and distribution networks and propriately phased thorough the development process such once and Well-being Village.

Representation(s)

551 RSAI [3167]

Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166]

Summary:

It is clear that Llangennech is a sustainable settlement which benefits from good infrastructure and therefore merits its position in the settlement hierarchy. Development should therefore be directed to sustainable sites within or adjacent to the settlement.

Nature

Support

Nature

Comment

Response / **Recommendation**

support welcomed

Paragraph 11.71

Representation(s)

1670 Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830]

Summary:

With specific regard to water and sewerage infrastructure, where insufficient capacity is available and where no reinforcement works are programmed within the respective Capital Investment Programme, the requisition provisions can be entered into for the water and sewerage infrastructure. The requisition provisions do not apply in the instance of wastewater treatment works (WwTW), and as such planning obligations may be necessary.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard.

Strategic Policy - SP9

Representation(s)

1649 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

The latest Gypsy Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment (GTAA) approved by Welsh Ministers does not form part of the evidence base to inform the accommodation needs of Gypsy and Traveller families. The Authority must ensure the GTAA referenced in the reasoned justification to Policy SP9 is the version currently signed-off by Welsh Ministers.

To identify the level of need and timescales for delivery, the GTAA must cover the entire replacement plan period (2018-2033), which it does not at present. By Deposit stage, a new GTAA must be prepared and agreed by Welsh Ministers with provision made for appropriate and deliverable site allocations to meet any need in the timescales identified. We note the Council are currently undertaking a separate call for gypsy and traveller sites (until April), including the methodology for site selection. This is a key issue for the authority and failure to identify the level of need and allocated sites in the Deposit Plan to meet the identified need is likely to result in the plan being unable to be found sound. In the Deposit plan, the results of the new GTAA must be clear in terms of the total and type of need and timescales for meeting this up to 2033.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The Council recognises the importance of the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) in respect of the LDP, and is in the process of developing a revised GTAA through to 2033. As noted by the respondent, a 'call for sites' in respect of Gypsy and Traveller provision is underway the outcome of which will inform the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

Nature Comment

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
21	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
	Summary:	
	SP9 - Gypsy and Traveller Provision, policy is noted.	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comments noted	
===	=======================================	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1684	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326]	Support
	Summary:	
	Support in principal Strategic Policy SP9.	
	Does the issue regarding accommodation of travelling show	people need explicit reference in the Policy itself?
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
-	Comment Noted. An updated Gypsy and Traveller asses which will clarify any issues regarding accommodation explicitly addressed within the Policy.	

Strategic Policy SP10

Representation(s)

646

WYG PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT (Louise Darch) [598]

Summary:

The objectives of Strategic Policy SP10 are broadly welcomed and supported. The respondent in promoting the status of Ffos las racecourse makes the following specific points in respect to Strategic Policy SP10:

1. Strategic Policy 10 should explicitly reference and promote Ffos Las as a key visitor attraction and strategic priority.

Ffos Las directly contributes to the delivery of the following Preferred Strategy strategic objectives: * SO2 - it promotes wellbeing opportunities through access to leisure and recreational facilities as well as the

countryside:

* SO4 - promotes access to leisure facilities and work opportunities;

* SO12 - provides opportunity for investment & amp; innovation in rural and urban areas, delivers employment and contributes at a regional level to the delivery of the Swansea Bay City Deal;

* SO13 - provides a sustainable & amp; high quality all year-round tourism destination.

Planning Policy Wales stipulates that there is a need for local planning authorities to establish a: framework for well-located, good quality sport, recreational and leisure facilities, and develop clear policies for the provision, protection and enhancement of sport, recreation and leisure facilities; (PPW, para 4.5.2). References the duty to improve the social and cultural well-being of Wales, in accordance with the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015. Suggests the following wording: The Visitor Economy Proposals for tourism and leisure related developments will be supported at Ffos Las and other important visitor attractions.

Ffos Las is an important local employer and a wealth-generating, multi-faceted visitor attraction to Carmarthenshire. It is a regionally important facility.

2. Ffos Las promoted as a preferred location for Visitor Economy Development

The strategic policy wording should make direct reference to the Ffos Las racecourse as a preferred location for new tourism and leisure related development, including accommodation in accordance with PPW.

Response / Recommendation

Whilst the role of Ffos Las is noted, it is not considered necessary or appropriate to specifically identify a single facility as part of the strategic policy. The potential role of the facility and its contribution in light of the content of the Plan and the provisions of national planning policy will be considered as part of the deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

615 Ifan Beynon-Thomas [3198]

Nature Object

Summary:

The policy should be amended to acknowledge that small scale tourist developments will be allowed outside settlement limits where suitable sites are identified and a well considered scheme is put forward. This is especially true in the context of agricultural diversification.

Change To Plan Sought:

The policy should be amended to acknowledge that small scale tourist developments will be allowed outside settlement limits where suitable sites are identified and a well considered scheme is put forward. This is especially true in the context of agricultural diversification.

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree. The points raised by the respondent will be more appropriately considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP. In this regard they are not considered appropriate for a strategic policy as they relate to matters considered through specific policies.

Nature

Repres	rentation(s)	Nature
22	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
	Summary:	
	SP10 - The Visitor Economy is fully supported as tourism pla Carmarthenshire and a planning framework that acknowledg appreciate this is very positive. The emphasis on high qualit local economy forward, as it's likely to attract jobs of a higher	ges that markets change and that the plan needs to y is also supported as this will assist in driving the
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
	entation(s)	
1697	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326]	Support
	Summary:	
	Support SP10 The Visitor Economy. Both Authorities recognise the importance of the visitor ecor attract visitors all year round. Both also recognise that some should be the exception, and the majority of tourism related	attractions require a countryside setting but that this
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support Welcomed	
Repres	entation(s)	
1694	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Support
	Summary:	
	We welcome the inclusion of landscape and nature conserv generations as noted in Section 11.89, and the reference to	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
===		
Parag	graph 11.89	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
823	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We welcome the inclusion of landscape and nature conserv generations as noted in Section 11.89, and the reference to	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	

Parag	Jraph 11.91	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
698	Lynda James [3039]	Support
	community.	t and tourism and sits in SC27 so is seen as a sustainable
Respon	use / Recommendation	
	Noted, site specific matters are considered as part o	of the candidate site process.
Parag	Jraph 11.94	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
822	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We welcome the inclusion of landscape and nature con- generations as noted in Section 11.89, and the reference	
	use / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
Strate	egic Policy - SP11	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1672	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We note that there is no reference within the PS to the e Flood and Water Management Act 2010 (Schedule 3).	established SuDS Approval Boards (SABs)as set out in the
	The requirement for new developments to obtain SAB consome housing developments, but the onus is on landow planning their site which will ensure there is no need to be	ners/developers to consider SuDS prior to master
	the Preferred Strategy.	y linked, we would suggest including reference to it within
Respon	<i>use / Recommendation</i> Comments noted. Whilst the promotion of SuDS is r	eferenced within criteria (g) of this SP11 and criteria borate upon references to the SuDS Approval Boards

(b) of SP15, it is accepted that there is a need to elaborate upon references to the SuDS Approval Boards (SABs). At the time the Preferred Strategy was published the process of setting up and formalising the Carmarthenshire SAB was ongoing, however it will be well up and running by the time the Deposit LDP is published. As such, adequate referencing to the SAB (and indeed the relationship between the planning and SAB application processes) will be required and due consideration will also be given to the implications of SAB consent in the site assessment methodology (noted that some allocations will be based on approvals pre SUDS implementation).

	entation(s)	Nature
1695	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We welcome the inclusion of this policy and the supportin	
Respon	se / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
		=======================================
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
824	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
	Summary:	
	We welcome the inclusion of this policy and the supportin	
Respon	se / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
= = =		
_	entation(s)	Nature
<i>Repres</i> 1671	e <i>ntation(s)</i> Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830]	<i>Nature</i> Support
_	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary:	Support
_	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830]	Support cy SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe
1671	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Poli manner will ensure that it will not communicate with the pr of protecting the environment and ensuring there is suffici flows from development sites.	Support cy SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe
1671	 Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Polismanner will ensure that it will not communicate with the prof protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient. 	Support cy SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe
1671 Respon	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Poli manner will ensure that it will not communicate with the pi of protecting the environment and ensuring there is suffici flows from development sites. See / Recommendation	Support cy SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe
1671 <i>Respon</i>	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Poli manner will ensure that it will not communicate with the pr of protecting the environment and ensuring there is suffici flows from development sites. Support welcomed.	Support cy SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe
1671 <i>Respon</i>	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Poliomanner will ensure that it will not communicate with the proof protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient flows from development sites. State / Recommendation Support welcomed.	Support cy SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe ent capacity in the public sewerage network for foul-on
1671 Respon = = = Repress	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Poli manner will ensure that it will not communicate with the proof protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient flows from development sites. see / Recommendation Support welcomed.	Support by SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe ent capacity in the public sewerage network for foul-on
1671 Respon = = = Repress	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Polinmanner will ensure that it will not communicate with the proof protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient flows from development sites. Size / Recommendation Support welcomed. Entation(s) Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support by SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ablic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe ent capacity in the public sewerage network for foul-on Nature Support
1671 Respon = = = Repress	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Poli manner will ensure that it will not communicate with the prof of protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient flows from development sites. see / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Dese Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of	Support Support Sy SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double effe ent capacity in the public sewerage network for foul-on Nature Support ign, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of the Natura the Built and Historic Environment. We fully support the
1671 Respon = = = Repress	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Polinmanner will ensure that it will not communicate with the proof protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient flows from development sites. see / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Desenvironment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place	Support cy SP11. Disposing of surface water in a sustainable ublic sewerage network, thereby having the double efferent capacity in the public sewerage network for foul-on
1671 Respon = = = Repress	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Polinmanner will ensure that it will not communicate with the proof protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient flows from development sites. see / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Desenvironment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness of quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer	Support Suppor
1671 Respon = = = Repress	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Polinmanner will ensure that it will not communicate with the proof protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient flows from development sites. see / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Desenvironment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness of the sector of the	Support Suppor
1671 Respon = = = Represe 23	Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (Mr Ryan Norman) [2830] Summary: We specifically welcome the inclusion of criteria g) in Polinmanner will ensure that it will not communicate with the proof protecting the environment and ensuring there is sufficient flows from development sites. see / Recommendation Support welcomed. entation(s) Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Desenvironment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness of quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer	Support Suppor

Representation(s)

Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina 1688 Dunne) [2326]

Summary:

Climate Change Support in principle. Support Policy SP11 Placemaking, sustainability and High quality Design, and SP15 Climate change. Note: See comment across The Authorities have a shared understanding of the need to plan for climate change and to mitigate its impacts

and to provide for high quality design to ensure that new development is adaptable to climate change. The policy approach could usefully address the potential location and scale of future flooding, particularly coastal

areas and communities affected by predicted sea-level change, and coastal change areas included in the South

Response / **Recommendation**

Support Welcomed and Comments Noted.

Wales Shoreline Management Plan 2.

Strategic Policy - SP12

Representation(s)

Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13] 1643

Summary:

To demonstrate delivery and sustainable distribution of growth across the settlement hierarchy the Deposit plan must; Identify spatial distribution and components of housing land supply as allocations, commitments and windfall sites (small and large) for each settlement tier in which they will be delivered (See LDP Manual, Ed 3). The policy framework must clearly articulate the type and scale of development that would be appropriate at each tier of the hierarchy. Policy SP12 Rural Development needs strengthening in this respect.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The matters identified by the respondent will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP.

The reference to the requirements of the Local Development Manual Edition 3 is noted and will be appropriately considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit Plan. However, it should be noted that Edition 3 of the Manual at the time of writing is pending publication and as such could not be considered in the preparation of the Preferred Strategy.

Nature

Support

Nature Comment

Representation(s)

1707 Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]

Summary:

Considerations for agricultural development should consider the content of the Chief Planning Officer letter of 12/06/18 on intensive agriculture. Consideration must be given to all wastes arising, cumulative impacts and water quality.

The new Agricultural Pollution Regulations will lay down new government policy regarding agriculture. The regulations will apply to all holdings from 01/01/20, with transitional periods for some elements to allow farmers time to adapt and ensure compliance. The regulations will replicate good practice measures focussed on good nutrient management. Further information on what will need to be done and by when will be provided in the near future.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted. This matter will be given full consideration as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP. The Council looks forward to receiving any guidance associated with the Agricultural Pollution Regulations and the content of Chief Planning Officer's letter of 12/06/18 on intensive agriculture is duly noted. The Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment will provide an important role in ensuring that the Plan as a whole does not impact negatively

Naturo

Object

Representation(s)

2420 Dyfodol (J W Thomas) [563]

Summary:

The respondent supports the section on rural development, however, has concerns that there is no reference to the welfare of the Welsh language in developments in the towns and post-industrial area in the south-east of Carmarthenshire.

Response / Recommendation

Policy SP7 Welsh Language and Culture is applicable to the whole County. Specific reference is made to paragraph 11.68 which explicitly notes that the policy is not restricted to specific parts of the County and applies to the County in its entirety.

Representation(s)

24 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]

Summary:

SP 12 - Rural Development recognises the good work being carried out by the County Council's Rural Task Force. Recognition of their work on the economy and employment is positive and we welcome the fact that the preferred strategy will consider this work in developing policies in relation to rural Carmarthenshire.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.



Nature

Strategic Policy - SP13

Representation(s)

1652 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

Opportunities to secure enhancement of biodiversity and the resilience of ecosystems have to be taken at the plan level in order to fulfil duties under Section 6 of the Environment Act. The plan is the opportunity to take a comprehensive approach towards protection and enhancement and to set out what can be achieved. This approach goes beyond what can be achieved as part of identifying allocations. Strategic Policy 13 - Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment should be re-framed as 'Maintaining and Enhancing the Natural Environment'. It would be helpful to understand how the Green Infrastructure Assessment (as required in PPW10) will inform the implementation of this policy and also the relationship of this policy to Strategic Policy 8: Infrastructure.

Response / Recommendation

Agree. The title of the policy to be amended as follows: 'Maintaining and Enhancing the Natural Environment'.

The Revised LDP will be supported and underpinned by a Green Infrastructure Assessment (GIA). This will include those policy areas referenced by the respondent and will be published ahead of the publication of the Deposit LDP. The GIA seeks to inform the policy provisions of the Plan as a whole and not only those policy areas referenced by the respondent.

Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
826	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Comment
	Summary:	
	Acknowledge HRA has been undertaken. Concerned with the wording in the policy.	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Reference is made to the Council's response to repre	sentation reference 1699.
=== _		
Pontoc	sentation(s)	Nature
Kepres		
1699	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Object
-		Object
-	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	policy:

Whilst recognising the importance of ecological connections, this is one element of the many that contributes to defining the landscape and sense of place. Other important elements include geology, landform, landcover, field boundaries, buildings, historic features, to name a few. Landscape is not a sub-set of ecological connections and the strategic policy needs to reflect a broader understanding of the natural environment and landscape if account is to be taken of landscape character and sense of place.

Response / Recommendation

Agreed. This Strategic Policy should seek to set a strategic framework for the promotion of Sustainable Management of Natural Resources. Add the following wording to the policy at the last line of the Policy...to a sense of Well-being "and the principles of the Sustainable Management Of Natural Resources". Reference is made to the Council's response to representation reference 826.

Nature

Comment

qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).	-	entation(s)	Nature		
BDW Homes considers that the wording of Policy SP 13 is too onerous, on the basis that paragraph 6 Planning Policy Wales (Edition 10) states that "Development plan strategies, policies and development consider the need tosecure enhancement of and improvements to ecosystem resilience by improvin condition, extent and connectivity of ecological networks". It is noted that Planning Policy Wales does. all developments to secure enhancements to biodiversity, only to consider it. This comprises recognitive Welsh Governments that enhancements to biodiversity, only to consider it. This comprises recognitive environment". The wording of the first paragraph of Strategic Policy SP 13 should therefore be amended as follows: "Proposals for development will be expected to protect and, where appropriate, enhance the County's environment". Response / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic Policy SP 13 are duly noted. A necessary amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit ensure that it accords with Planning Policy Wales. Representation(s) Nature 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of Environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Representation(s) Nature Support welcomed. Support	1080	Cai Parry [822]	Object		
Planning Policy Wales (Edition 10) states that "Development plan strategies, policies and development consider the need tosecure enhancement of and improvements to ecosystem resilience by improvir condition, extent and connectivity of ecological networks". It is noted that Planning Policy Wales does all developments to secure enhancements to biodiversity, only to consider it. This comprises recogniti Welsh Governments that enhancements to biodiversity interests may not always be feasible or approp <i>Change To Plan Sought:</i> The wording of the first paragraph of Strategic Policy SP 13 should therefore be amended as follows: "Proposals for development will be expected to protect and, where appropriate, enhance the County's environment". <i>Response / Recommendation</i> Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic Policy SP 13 are duly noted. A necessary amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit ensure that it accords with Planning Policy Wales. <i>Representation(s)</i> SP 11. Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of Environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. <i>Representation(s)</i> <i>Nature</i> <i>Representation(s)</i> <i>Nature</i> <i>Representation</i> <i>Support</i> welcomed. <i>Representation</i> <i>Support</i> and <i>Avetory</i> that their Plan areas natural and historic envi		Summary:			
The wording of the first paragraph of Strategic Policy SP 13 should therefore be amended as follows: "Proposals for development will be expected to protect and, where appropriate, enhance the County's environment". Response / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic Policy SP 13 are duly noted. A necessary amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit ensure that it accords with Planning Policy Wales. Representation(s) Nature 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the Built and Historic Environment. We fully principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place making and protection of the natural and b environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Response / Recommendation Support welcomed. Representation(s) Nature 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromin qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).		Planning Policy Wales (Edition 10) states that "Developmen consider the need tosecure enhancement of and improve condition, extent and connectivity of ecological networks". It all developments to secure enhancements to biodiversity, or	t plan strategies, policies and developments must ments to ecosystem resilience by improving diversity is noted that Planning Policy Wales does not require hly to consider it. This comprises recognition by the		
"Proposals for development will be expected to protect and, where appropriate, enhance the County's environment". Response / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic Policy SP 13 are duly noted. A necessary amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit ensure that it accords with Planning Policy Wales. Representation(s) Nature 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support Summary: SP 11. Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the Built and Historic Environment. We fully principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place making and protection of the natural and b environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Representation(s) Nature 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Support Durne) [2326] Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not comproming upalities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).		Change To Plan Sought:			
environment". Response / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic Policy SP 13 are duly noted. A necessary amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit ensure that it accords with Planning Policy Wales. Representation(s) Nature 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Summary: SP 11. Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the Built and Historic Environment. We fully, principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place making and protection of the natural and b environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Representation(s) Nature Representation(s) Nature Representation(s) Nature Representation(s) Nature		The wording of the first paragraph of Strategic Policy SP 13	should therefore be amended as follows:		
Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic Policy SP 13 are duly noted. A necessary amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit ensure that it accords with Planning Policy Wales. ####################################			where appropriate, enhance the County's natural		
necessary amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit ensure that it accords with Planning Policy Wales. Representation(s) Nature 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the Built and Historic Environment. We fully principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place making and protection of the natural and b environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Response / Recommendation Support Support welcomed. Support 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Support Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).	Respon	se / Recommendation			
2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support 2389 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support 2389 Carmarthenshire Weight Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] Support the county. Such policies will limp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Response / Recommendation Support welcomed. Support welcomed. 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes includi		necessary amendments to wording will be considered a ensure that it accords with Planning Policy Wales.	s part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, to		
Summary: SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the Built and Historic Environment. We fully principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place making and protection of the natural and b environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Response / Recommendation Support welcomed. T105 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromiz qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).	Represe	entation(s)	Nature		
SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design, SP13 - Protection and Enhancement of Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the Built and Historic Environment. We fully principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place making and protection of the natural and b environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Response / Recommendation Support welcomed. Empresentation(s) Nature 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).	2389	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support		
Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the Built and Historic Environment. We fully principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place making and protection of the natural and b environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness throughout the county. Such policies will imp quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to visitors, which ultimately will have a posit upon the economy of Carmarthenshire. Response / Recommendation Support welcomed. Representation(s) Nature 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Support Dunne) [2326] Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).		Summary:			
Support welcomed. Representation(s) Nature 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromise qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).		Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place r environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness thro quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to	e Built and Historic Environment. We fully support the making and protection of the natural and built oughout the county. Such policies will improve the		
Support welcomed. Representation(s) Nature 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromise qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).	Respon	se / Recommendation			
Representation(s) Nature 1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Support Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).					
1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Support Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).	===	=======================================			
1705 Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326] Support Summary: Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).	Represe	entation(s)	Nature		
Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas natural and historic environment and landscape will be from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).	-	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina			
from inappropriate development and, where possible, enhanced. It would be beneficial if the Plan explicitly made reference to needing to take account of not compromis qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).		Summary:			
qualities of important landscapes including the Pembrokeshire Coast and Brecon National Parks. (see 6.36 of Planning Policy Wales 10).					
		· · ·			
Response / Recommendation	Resnon				
Comments Noted					

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1696	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Support
	Summary:	
	We welcome this policy and acknowledge that a Habitats Re assess the impacts of the Plan on European protected sites	(including those in candidate stage of designation).
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
•	entation(s)	Nature
1708	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Support
	Summary:	
	Caeau Mynydd Mawr SPG - NRW agree that the SPG is still	required as part of the revised LDP.
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Comments noted/Support welcomed. The Council looks with the respondent along with other stakeholders as the Deposit LDP. The Council will publish a robust suite of e made to the Habitats Regulations Assessment.	e Plan making process proceeds towards the
Strate	egic Policy - SP14	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1792	Whitland Town Council (Vicky Mitchell) [91]	Comment
	Summary:	
	I would like to request that SPG is also included within the LI property site or Chapel could become mixed use after X num trigger economic activity. This should also apply to Town Ce land within the UDP/LDP that has remained undeveloped or possibility of potential active developers being included. Eg I undeveloped for half a century. Derelict or unused parts of th Other sites unused for more than a decade. NB. X not to mean half a century. 25 years or less is extreme use.	hber of years. This would encourage flexibility and ntre shops, disused rural buildings and particularly tied up in pension schemes for decades limiting the and at Spring gardens has been included and he former creamery site for a quarter of a century.
Respon	use / Recommendation	
	Noted. Issues such as those proposed by the responder considered during the drawing up of policies as part of t issues, however it is too early to say whether they will co	the Deposit LDP. SPG will be produced on specif over the issues proposed by the respondent.
Repres	<i>entation(s)</i>	
2390	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
	Summary:	
	SP 11- Place-making, Sustainability and High Quality Design Environment and SP14 - Protection and Enhancement of the principles laid out in these Strategic Policies and see place r environment as a major driver in creating distinctiveness thro quality of life for residents and increase the quality of offer to upon the economy of Carmarthenshire.	Built and Historic Environment. We fully support the naking and protection of the natural and built bughout the county. Such policies will improve the
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
===		
Preferre	ed Strategy	Page 73 of

Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
1706	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martir Dunne) [2326]	na Support
	Summary:	
	Both Plans seek to ensure that their Plan areas nature from inappropriate development and, where possible,	al and historic environment and landscape will be protected enhanced.
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support Welcomed	
Parag	graph 11.120	
Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
699	Lynda James [3039]	Support
	<i>Summary:</i> Cornwallis is a listed building with much history attach	ned.
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Noted, site specific matters are considered as par	t of the candidate site process.
===		
Strate	egic Policy - SP15	
Repres	sentation(s)	Nature
1651	Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]	Comment
	Summary:	
	fully utilise evidence in their renewable energy assess renewable energy targets and direct development to t	he most appropriate locations. It is extremely disappointing a specific policy on renewable energy, nor is it clear how
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	the renewable energy targets and locations refere it is noted that there is no strategic policy in respe- considered as part of Strategic Policy SP15 Clima will be set out as part of the Deposit LDP.	s will inform locally specific policies and will seek to set nced by the respondent within the representation. Whils ect of renewable energy, such matters are adequately te Change. Further detailed renewable energy policies
	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
271	RWE Innogy UK Ltd (Miss Eleri Davies) [471]	Comment
	Summary:	
	Support the reference in Strategic Policy 15 (SP15) 'C	Climate Change' criterion c. to the energy hierarchy, including ot; but this is the only direct reference to this topic in the
Respon	nse / Recommendation	

Repres	sentation(s)	Nature	
273	RWE Innogy UK Ltd (Miss Eleri Davies) [471]	Comment	
	Summary:		
	than is usual. Further rounds of consultation will clear energy policies. It is positive that the LDP Review Re	ad brush, it does contain fewer renewable energy refer rly include the Council's detailed approach to renewable port (February 2018) acknowledged the need for a ewable energy policies to be contained within the Revise	е
	Further consideration needs to be given to renewabl specific policies.	e energy in the Deposit LDP which will include detailed	and
Respon	nse / Recommendation		
	and more detailed policies will also be included v		Plan,
e en estas e	sentation(s)	======================================	==:
25	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2		
	Summary: SP15 - Climate Change, policy noted.		
D	·····		
Respon	nse / Recommendation		
	Comments Noted.		
_	sentation(s)	Nature	
1702	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Mart Dunne) [2326]	ina Support	
		e electricity from renewable sources. Pembrokeshire Co tegy policy seeking the delivery of appropriate renewab	
	Both Authorities implement joint guidance on assess It would be useful to confirm if Carmarthenshire inter Guidance in the replacement Local Development Pla	nds to bring forward the Cumulative Impact of Turbine	
	specific renewable energy policy at present.	of Strategic Policy 15: Climate Change (part c), but has	s no
Respon	nse / Recommendation		
	Comments Noted		
Repres	sentation(s)	Nature	
1700	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Support	
	Summary:		
	We support the inclusion of this policy and supportin commissioned a strategic flood consequence assess policies and allocations, ensuring the appropriate sit that become vulnerable to flood risk in the future become development which does not accord with the provision	sment for Carmarthenshire which will be used to inform ng of development from areas at flood risk now and tho ause of climate change. We support the stance that ons of Technical Advice Note (TAN) 15 will be resisted.	se
	nse / Recommendation		
Respon	ase / Recommendation		

Kepres	sentation(s)	Nature
827	Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	Support
	Summary:	
	We support the inclusion of this policy and supporting tex commissioned a strategic flood consequence assessmer policies and allocations, ensuring the appropriate siting o that become vulnerable to flood risk in the future because development which does not accord with the provisions o	It for Carmarthenshire which will be used to inform f development from areas at flood risk now and those of climate change. We support the stance that
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
===		
Parag	graph 11.134	
Repre s	sentation(s)	Nature
272	RWE Innogy UK Ltd (Miss Eleri Davies) [471]	Comment
	Summary:	
	The reference to Welsh Government policies/targets is w make a commitment to developing policies to optimise re part of the process of preparing the Revised Local Develo	newable energy and low carbon energy generation as
		1
Respon	nse / Recommendation	·
Respon	nse / Recommendation Comments noted.	====================================
= = = Parag	Comments noted. graph 11.135 sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	
= = = Parag Repres	Comments noted. graph 11.135 sentation(s)	Nature Support
Parag Repres 1701	Comments noted. Graph 11.135 Sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We also welcome the commitment to help secure and pro-	Nature Support
Parag Repres 1701 Respon	Comments noted. graph 11.135 sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We also welcome the commitment to help secure and pro- 11.135. mse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	<i>Nature</i> Support
Parag Repres 1701 Respon	Comments noted. Graph 11.135 Sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We also welcome the commitment to help secure and pro 11.135. Inse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	<i>Nature</i> Support Diffect carbon sinks (including peat) noted in section
= = = Parag Repres 1701 Respon = = = Repres	Comments noted. graph 11.135 sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We also welcome the commitment to help secure and pro- 11.135. mse / Recommendation Support welcomed.	<i>Nature</i> Support
Parag Repres 1701 Respon	Comments noted. graph 11.135 sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We also welcome the commitment to help secure and pro- 11.135. mse / Recommendation Support welcomed. Sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253]	<i>Nature</i> Support Detect carbon sinks (including peat) noted in section <i>Nature Nature</i>
Parag Repres 1701 Respon	Comments noted. graph 11.135 sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We also welcome the commitment to help secure and pro- 11.135. mse / Recommendation Support welcomed. sentation(s)	<i>Nature</i> Support Detect carbon sinks (including peat) noted in section <i>Nature</i> Support
Parag Repres 1701 Respon Repres 828	Comments noted. Graph 11.135 Sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary: We also welcome the commitment to help secure and pro 11.135. Matural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Sentation(s) Natural Resources Wales (Miss Sharon Luke) [3253] Summary:	<i>Nature</i> Support Detect carbon sinks (including peat) noted in section <i>Nature</i> Support

Strategic Policy - SP16

Representation(s)

1641 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

Settlement Framework and Distribution (Policy SP16: Sustainable Distribution - Settlement Framework) - We note the authority's new spatial framework set out in SP16 differs from the adopted plan and adopts a 'cluster' approach. There are 6 clusters of functionally linked areas and within each cluster there are four tiers of settlements (Tier 1: Principal Centres, Tier 2: Service Centres, Tier 3: Sustainable Villages, Tier 4: Rural Villages). All settlements are 'predominantly' functionally linked within the cluster, with lower tier settlements linked to upper tier settlements that have more services and facilities. The WG does not object to the principle of this approach, the key concern is the scale of growth directed to each tier relative to the role and function and sustainability of places.

The Role and Function Topic Paper, includes an analysis of the key services and facilities within Tiers 1-3. The reasoned justification of SP16 states that growth will be broadly distributed as follows:

- □ Principal Centres 50-55% (will have a settlement boundary)
- Service Centres 15-20% (will have a settlement boundary)
- □ Sustainable Villages 15-20% (will have a settlement boundary)
- □ Rural Villages 15-20% and (no settlement boundary)

□ Non Defined Rural Settlements (1%) (no settlement boundary)

The Welsh Government is concerned that the proposed scale and distribution of housing growth, particularly within Tiers 3 and 4 raises implications of sustainability and potentially negatively impacts on the Welsh language. It is unclear why the more sustainable Service Centres have been allocated the same proportion of growth as Tiers 3 and 4? In addition, it is also unclear why Rural Villages (Tier 4) that have no settlement boundaries, services or facilities would have the same growth levels/capacity as Tier 2 settlements? This point is re-enforced by the Role and Function Topic Paper which highlights that Tier 3 settlements - Sustainable Villages have in broad terms limited services and facilitates. Tier 4 settlements are not even assessed. While the WG acknowledges the rural characteristics of Carmarthenshire, the scale and distribution of growth does not appear commensurate with the principles of sustainable development, nor the Councils own evidence within the SA or the Role and Function Paper. To summarise the Welsh Government has concerns about the scale of growth proposed to Tiers 3 and 4 of the settlement hierarchy conflicts with Planning Policy Wales. To demonstrate delivery and sustainable distribution of growth across the settlement hierarchy the Deposit plan

To demonstrate delivery and sustainable distribution of growth across the settlement hierarchy the Deposit plan must; Identify spatial distribution and components of housing land supply as allocations, commitments and windfall sites (small and large) for each settlement tier in which they will be delivered (See LDP Manual, Ed 3). The policy framework must clearly articulate the type and scale of development that would be appropriate at each tier of the hierarchy. Policy SP12 Rural Development needs strengthening in this respect.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The scale and distribution of growth will be subject to further evidencing as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP - including the proportions allocated to the respective tiers within the hierarchy. Matters in relation to the Welsh language will be considered as part of the LDP's evidence base and within the Sustainability Appraisal. See representation 1647.

Representation(s)

1638 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Nature Comment

Summary:

The authority must fully justify/evidence that the growth levels are directed to the most sustainable places, related to the scale and location of housing need, not impacting negatively on the Welsh language and is realistic and deliverable.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. See representation 1647.

Nature

Comment

Section 11. Strategic Policies

Representation(s)

1587 The Williams Family . [3585]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

Despite tripling the number of Service Centres, the amount of growth which is to be directed to them is, at most, to be doubled. From a purely mathematical perspective it is suggested that this percentage is too low.

The second is to stress the suitability of St Clears to accommodate further growth as a Service Centre. As discussed previously, the settlement is one of just six Service Centres which were included within the tier in the ALDP, has delivered the largest number and highest percentage of units out of all of the ALDP Service Centres demonstrating its ability to accommodate growth, has a broad range of services, and is strategically located at the junction of the A40 and the A477.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments Noted - Each settlement within the settlement hierarchy will be assessed in light of their potential for accommodating and delivering future development. Consideration will be given to those sites which have failed to deliver in the adopted LDP. This will be considered as part of the emerging evidence base leading into the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

647

WYG PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT (Louise Darch) [598]

Summary:

The respondent makes the following comments on the Preferred Strategy:

Strategic Policy SP16 indicates that the provision of growth and development will be directed to sustainable locations. Ffos Las is a sustainable location for continued investment. It lies contiguous with the settlement of Carway. There should be a presumption in favour of sustainable development at Ffos Las. It should lie within the defined settlement, where there is a presumption in favour sustainable development, provided the development accords with other local plan policies. It is logical therefore that the settlement framework reflects this.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. The potential allocation of Ffos Las will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, and in accordance with the site assessment methodology.

Representation(s)

645 Simrock Holdings Ltd [3217]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

The removal of Llangennech from the Llanelli Growth Area is consistent with the approach taken through the DPS of removing surrounding settlements from the Principal Centres and giving them their own place in the settlement hierarchy. If CCC is to progress with this approach and Llangennech is to be a Service Centre, SHL would stress Llangennech's highly sustainable location for growth given its relationship with Llanelli, proximity to the local transport network, and strategic position between Carmarthenshire and Swansea.

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. Each settlement within the settlement hierarchy will be assessed in light of their potential for accommodating and delivering future development. This will be considered as part of the emerging evidence base leading into the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Nature

Nature Comment

Comment

Nature Comment

Representation(s)

1714 Mr Steven Roberts [3020]

Summary:

We object to draft Policy SP16 'Sustainable Distribution - Settlement Framework'. We maintain there is no cogent or compelling planning reason to consider Burry Port and Pembrey as one settlement. The apparent lack of detailed investigation into the relation between the two settlements undermines the coherence of draft Policy SP2. If this matter is not addressed, then there is a risk that subsequent iterations of the Plan will propose housing allocations at Pembrey, in locations which will necessitate the use of private vehicles and fail to contribute to sustainable development.

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree. The spatial proximity of Burry Port and Pembrey within this costal location indicates a logical grouping of these settlements moving forward within the Llanelli cluster. It is important to note that in allocating sites for development in the Revised LDP, the forthcoming site assessment methodology will stress that the avoidance of inappropriate coalescence.

Representation (s)		Nature
1082 Cai Parry [822]		Object

Summary:

The wording of the Settlement Framework should be amended to reflect Bryn's status as part of the settlement boundary of Llanelli, which is defined as a 'Principal Centre' within the top tier of the Settlement Framework.

Change To Plan Sought:

The Tier 1 settlement within Cluster 2 should be defined as 'Llanelli / Bryn'.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comment noted. Bryn similarly to other areas of Llanelli, such as Furnace, Llwynhendy etc, forms part of Cluster 2 Llanelli, and development will be apportioned to such locations accordingly. Being implicit that Bryn forms part of Llanelli, it is therefore not considered necessary to include Bryn in the SP 16: Sustainable Distribution - Settlement Framework flowchart.

Representation(s)

1740 Mr S Lloyd [3741]

Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]

Summary:

Heol Ddu's categorisation as a Tier 4 settlement, (with no development limits) would suggest that it is in an isolated and unsustainable location, which is simply not the case. This classification is more perplexing when compared with other settlements that have been categorised as Tier 3 settlements which have a comparable or lower sustainable status than Heol Ddu when considering accessibility to community facilities and local services.

Heol Ddu can readily be considered as being a functioning part of the Ammanford/Cross Hands Tier 1 Principal Centre (Cluster 3), given its close physical proximity to Ammanford and adequate linking highway network.

Response / Recommendation

Disagree- The Council considers that the allocation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of its position, and the services and facilities it provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development limits, a criteria based policy is considered appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within these villages.

This will be further elaborated in the Role and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of the Deposit.

Nature

Object

Nature

Object

Repres	sentation(s)	

1742 Mr M Thomas [3749]

Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]

Summary:

Having considered the various Tiers of the Settlement Framework, Pontantwn has been incorrectly categorised and should not be classed as a Tier 4 settlement. The settlement is located on a key transport corridor and forms one of a number of villages that serve the wider rural community between Carmarthen and Pontyates - a significantly sized area

Nature Object

Nature

Object

Its classification as a Tier 4 settlement will result in it being unable to make a diverse contribution to the housing need of the local community, by virtue of the fact that the Preferred Strategy will not include development limits for settlements within this Tier

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree- The Council considers that the allocation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of its position, and the services and facilities it provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development limits, a criteria based policy is considered appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within these villages.

This will be further elaborated in the Role and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of the Deposit.

Representation(s)

1746 Mr M Thomas [3749]

Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]

Summary:

It is considered that Cross Inn has been incorrectly categorised and should not be classed as a Tier 4 settlement. The settlement is located on a key transport corridor and forms one of a number of villages that serve the wider rural community between St. Clears and Laugharne.

Cross Inn is served by public transport, its classification as a Tier 4 settlement will result in it being unable to make a diverse contribution to the housing need of the local community, by virtue of the fact that the PS will not include development limits for settlements within this Tier.

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree- The Council considers that the allocation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of its position, and the services and facilities it provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development limits, a criteria based policy is considered appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within these villages.

This will be further elaborated in the Role and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of the Deposit.

Representation(s)		Nature	
1774	Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]	Object	

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

In the Adopted LDP a four tier settlement hierarchy is in place consisting of three 'Growth Areas', six 'Service Centres', 11 'Local Service Centres', as well as a large number of 'Sustainable Communities'. St Clears, which includes Pwll Trap, is designated as a 'Service Centre', a tier which is expected to deliver 10% of housing allocations, and 9% of the total housing requirement.

The approach that is proposed in Strategic Policy SP16 in the RLDP differs substantially, consisting of three 'Principal Centres', 18 'Service Centres', and a larger amount of both 'Sustainable Villages' and 'Rural Villages'. St Clears continues to be a 'Service Centre' a tier which is to accommodate between 10% and 20% of housing need.

Despite tripling the number of Service Centres, the amount of growth which is to be directed to them is, at most, to be doubled. From a purely mathematical perspective it is suggested that this percentage is too low.

In terms of the distribution of growth, The Williams Family suggest that fundamentally the Revised LDP needs to tackle issues relating to delivery and therefore a Spatial Option needs to be taken which recognises the rates of delivery of sites within settlements.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comment Noted - Each settlement within the settlement hierarchy will be assessed in light of their potential for accommodating and delivering future development. Consideration will be given to those sites which have failed to deliver in the adopted LDP. This will be considered as part of the emerging evidence base leading into the publication of the Deposit LDP

Nature

Object

Representation(s)

1775 Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Agent: Savills (Mr Nick Heard) [3216]

Summary:

Suitability of St Clears to accommodate further growth as a Service Centre. As discussed previously, the settlement is one of just six Service Centres which were included within the tier in the Adopted LDP, has delivered the largest number and highest percentage of units out of all of the Adopted LDP Service Centres demonstrating its ability to accommodate growth, has a broad range of services, and is strategically located at the junction of the A40 and the A477.

In terms of the distribution of growth, The Williams Family suggest that fundamentally the Revised LDP needs to tackle issues relating to delivery and therefore a Spatial Option needs to be taken which recognises the rates of delivery of sites within settlements.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments Noted - Each settlement within the settlement hierarchy will be assessed in light of their potential for accommodating and delivering future development. Consideration will be given to those sites which have failed to deliver in the adopted LDP. This will be considered as part of the emerging evidence base leading into the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Repres	sentation(s)	Nature		
270	JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	Object		
	Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2	988]		
	Summary:			
	We object on behalf of our Clients to the ca	tegorisation of Felindre (Llangadog) as a Tier 4 settlement.		
Respon	nse / Recommendation			
	its position, and the services and facilitie limits, a criteria based policy is consider these villages.	e allocation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of es it provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development ed appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within e and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication o		
B anras	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	======================================		
-	JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	Object		
216				
	Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2 Summary:	900]		
	We object to the Settlement Framework in t	erms of the settlement of Llansadwrn.		
Deener	nse / Recommendation			
	councils Site Selection methodology. Th	I in accordance with the provisions of PPW Ed. 10 and the e reference to the candidate sites by the respondent is not a preferred Strategy. Rather this will be considered as part of the		
Repres	sentation(s)	Nature		
218	JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	Object		
	Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2	988]		
	Summary:			
	We object on behalf of our Clients to the classification of Milo as a Tier 4 settlement.			
Respon	nse / Recommendation			
	councils Site Selection methodology. Th	I in accordance with the provisions of PPW Ed. 10 and the e reference to the candidate sites by the respondent is not a preferred Strategy. Rather this will be considered as part of the		
	centation(s)	Nature		
269	JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	Object		
	Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2	988]		
	Summary:			
	We object on behalf of our Clients to the cla	assification of Felingwm Isaf as a Tier 4 settlement.		
Respon	nse / Recommendation			
	councils Site Selection methodology. Th	I in accordance with the provisions of PPW Ed. 10 and the e reference to the candidate sites by the respondent is not a preferred Strategy. Rather this will be considered as part of the		

	entation(s)	Nature
217	JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	Object
	Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	1
	Summary: We object on behalf of our Client to the catego	risation of Whitemill as a Tier 4 settlement
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	councils Site Selection methodology. The re	accordance with the provisions of PPW Ed. 10 and the efference to the candidate sites by the respondent is not a efferred Strategy. Rather this will be considered as part of the
Repres	entation(s)	
550	JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	Object
	Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	1
	Summary:	
	reasons:	fication of Pontantwn as a Tier 4 settlement for the following
	community between Carmarthen and Pontyate - it is served by a regular form of public transpo	ort,
	need of the local community, as it will not have	ve been categorised as Tier 3 settlements (such as Capel Dewi,
Respon	its position, and the services and facilities it	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development
-	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities it limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit.	
	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities it limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit.	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within nd Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of
= = = Repress	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities it limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit.	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of ====================================
	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities in limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit. <i>centation(s)</i> JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of <u>Nature</u> Object
= = = Represe	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities it limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit.	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of <u>Nature</u> Object
= = = Represe	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities in limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit. <i>Tentation(s)</i> JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Summary: We object on behalf of our Clients to the classif - it is at a sustainable location, located on the S settlements with their wide range of community	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication med Function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication function of Manordello as a Tier 4 settlement: function of Manordello as a Tier 4 se
= = = Represe	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities in limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit.	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of mature Object dification of Manordeilo as a Tier 4 settlement: Strategic Highway Network, providing it with easy access to nearby facilities and local services. ort, sult in it being unable to make a diverse contribution to the housing
= = = Represo 286	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities in limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit.	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of mature Object distance Strategic Highway Network, providing it with easy access to nearby facilities and local services. ort, sult in it being unable to make a diverse contribution to the housing development limits. ve been categorised as Tier 3 settlements (such as Capel Dewi, t have a comparable or lower sustainable status than Pontantwn
= = = Represo 286	Disagree- The Council considers that the all its position, and the services and facilities in limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role ar the Deposit.	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of t provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication of method Function Paper as the Plan leads towards towards the publication of fication of Manordeilo as a Tier 4 settlement: Strategic Highway Network, providing it with easy access to nearby facilities and local services. Function of Manordeilo as a Tier 4 settlement: for the publication of Manordeilo as a Tier 3 settlements (such as Capel Dewi, t have a comparable or lower sustainable status than Pontantwn

Repres	entation(s)	Nature	
1560	JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]	Object	
	Summary:		
	Object to the proposed settlement framework an settlement	d request that it be amended to categorise Milo as a Tier 3	
Respon	ose / Recommendation		
	its position, and the services and facilities it limits, a criteria based policy is considered a these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role and the Deposit.	cation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development propriate to allow sensible small scale development within I Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature	
1557	JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]	Object	
	Summary:		
	Object to the proposed settlement framework an 3 settlement.	d request that it be amended to categorise Mynyddcerrig as a Tier	
Respon	ose / Recommendation		
	its position, and the services and facilities it plimits, a criteria based policy is considered ap these villages.	cation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development opropriate to allow sensible small scale development within I Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature	
1558	JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]	Object	
	Summary:		
	Object to the proposed settlement framework an 3 settlement.	d request that it be amended to categorise Capel Seion as a Tier	
Respon	use / Recommendation		
	its position, and the services and facilities it j limits, a criteria based policy is considered aj these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role and the Deposit.	cation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development ppropriate to allow sensible small scale development within I Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of	

	entation(s)	
1562	JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]	Object
	Summary:	
	Object to the proposed settlement framework a a Tier 3 settlement.	and request that it be amended to categorise Waunystrad Meurig a
Respon	ose / Recommendation	
	its position, and the services and facilities i limits, a criteria based policy is considered these villages. This will be further elaborated in the Role a the Deposit.	location of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of it provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within nd Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication o
= = = Repres	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	======================================
1554	JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]	Object
	Summary:	
	-	and request that it be amended to categorise Heol Ddu as a Tier 3
Respon	se / Recommendation	
		it provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within and Euroption Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of
	the Deposit.	
	•	
Repres	=======================================	=======================================
Repres	entation(s)	======================================
Repres	entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988 Summary:	======================================
	entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988 Summary: We have been instructed by our Clients to obje be classified as a Tier 3 settlement for the follo - it is intrinsically linked to the larger nearby tow Llandeilo (Tier 2); - it is well served by public transport, and well p - Llandeilo faces a range of physical constrain	Nature Object Object Di P P P P P P P O D P O D D D D D D D D D D
Repres	entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988 Summary: We have been instructed by our Clients to obje be classified as a Tier 3 settlement for the follor - it is intrinsically linked to the larger nearby too Llandeilo (Tier 2); - it is well served by public transport, and well p	Nature Object Object Di P P P P P P P Object D P P Object D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D P O D D D D D D D D D D
Repres	entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988 Summary: We have been instructed by our Clients to obje be classified as a Tier 3 settlement for the follor - it is intrinsically linked to the larger nearby too Llandeilo (Tier 2); - it is well served by public transport, and well p - Llandeilo faces a range of physical constrain terms of facilitating the growth of the	Nature Object Object Di P Object D P Object D D D D D D D D D D
Repres	entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988 Summary: We have been instructed by our Clients to obje be classified as a Tier 3 settlement for the follor - it is intrinsically linked to the larger nearby too Llandeilo (Tier 2); - it is well served by public transport, and well p - Llandeilo faces a range of physical constraint terms of facilitating the growth of the Town as Rhosmaen and Ffairfach. Penybanc is sustainable from an accessibility and transportation perspective. Previous development plans have provided lim opportunities for growth within Penybanc, as a the use of community facilities and local	Nature Object 2] ect to the classification of Penybanc as a Tier 4 settlement, it should owing reasons: wn of positioned to the County's Strategic Highway Network; its with respect to its future growth, Penybanc plays a similar role in
Repress	entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988 Summary: We have been instructed by our Clients to object be classified as a Tier 3 settlement for the follor - it is intrinsically linked to the larger nearby too Llandeilo (Tier 2); - it is well served by public transport, and well p - Llandeilo faces a range of physical constraint terms of facilitating the growth of the Town as Rhosmaen and Ffairfach. Penybanc is sustainable from an accessibility and transportation perspective. Previous development plans have provided lime opportunities for growth within Penybanc, as a the use of community facilities and local services declining, and in some cases closing.	Nature Object 0 2 ect to the classification of Penybanc as a Tier 4 settlement, it should owing reasons: wn of positioned to the County's Strategic Highway Network; its with respect to its future growth, Penybanc plays a similar role in hited (if any) result, the area has had its growth somewhat stifled, resulting in

Representation (s)		Nature
1564	JCR Planning Ltd (Richard Banks) [787]	Object

Summary:

Object to the proposed settlement framework and request that it be amended to categorise Four Roads as a Tier 3 settlement. We trust that this objection will be given full consideration by the Authority.

Response / **Recommendation**

Disagree- The Council considers that the allocation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of its position, and the services and facilities it provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development limits, a criteria based policy is considered appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within these villages.

This will be further elaborated in the Role and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of the Deposit.

Representation(s)

259 JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]

Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]

Summary:

We object on behalf of our Clients to the categorisation of Pentregwenlais Road as being part of the settlement of Pentregwenlais and not Llandybie. This area should form part of Llandybie for the following reasons:

- it forms part of the ward of Llandybie;
- it is a continuation of, and is attached to Llandybie;
- it lies on one of the key access routes serving the County;
- it is within walking distance of all of the key local services and community facilities.

Concern is raised that if the area remains within Pentregwenlais, it will form part of a Tier 4 and not Tier 1 settlement and will not benefit from defined development limits.

Response / Recommendation

Disagree- The Council considers that the allocation of the settlement within the hierarchy is reflective of its position, and the services and facilities it provides. Whilst tier 4 settlements will not have development limits, a criteria based policy is considered appropriate to allow sensible small scale development within these villages.

This will be further elaborated in the Role and Function Paper as the Plan leads towards the publication of the Deposit.

Representation(s)

Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345] 26

Summary:

We support the principles that underline the SP16 - Sustainable Distribution - Settlement Framework and in particular like that "the principal centres will be the main focus of growth, with its precise spread across the County being responsive and not constrained by a rigid proportional distribution."

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Nature

Support

Nature Object

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2042	Persimmon Homes West Wales (Mrs Kate Harrison) [3410]	Support
	Summary:	
	SP16 'Sustainable Distribution - Settlement Framework' di sustainable locations. This takes a 4 tiered approach in ter principal centres, the service centres, sustainable villages Carmarthen, Llanelli and Ammanford/Cross Hands, which will be directed. Fforest/Hendy is defined as a Service Cer directed. We support the provision of Housing Allocations	rms of the settlement framework. These include the and rural villages. The principal centres remain as includes Gorslas, where the majority of development htre in Cluster 2 where 15-20% of development will be in Principal Centres and Service Centres
Respon	rse / Recommendation	
	support welcomed.	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
545	RSAI [3167]	Support
	Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166]	
	Summary:	
	Support the categorisation of Llangennech as a Tier 2 Ser appropriate due to the wide variety of facilities and service	
	Consider that our client's site is sustainably located with re therefore ideally placed to come forward as a housing allo	cation.
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
2024	Swallow Investments Limited [3995]	Support
	Summary:	
	Strategic Policy SP16 sets out the County's Settlement Fra and with the County divided into six Settlement Clusters. N designation of Ammanford (Crossbands as a Tier 1 Princir	<i>A</i> y client supports Strategic Policy SP16, in particular the bal Centre at the top of the Settlement Hierarchy in the
	County's Settlement Cluster 3. In this respect, Ammanford new housing and employment development should be dire be positioned at the top of the County's Settlement Hierard	ected; and it is entirely appropriate for the settlement to
Respon	County's Settlement Cluster 3. In this respect, Ammanford new housing and employment development should be dire be positioned at the top of the County's Settlement Hierard	ected; and it is entirely appropriate for the settlement to
Respon	County's Settlement Cluster 3. In this respect, Ammanford new housing and employment development should be directly and the statement of the set of the se	ected; and it is entirely appropriate for the settlement to

Paragraph 11.137

Representation(s)

546 RSAI [3167]

Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166]

Summary:

We support paragraph 11.137 which states that the Plan will seek to distribute growth and development across the County having regard to the spatial strategy and spatial framework and national policy. We support the fact that the Plan will have regard to the role and function of settlements.

We consider that the spatial framework identified provides a sound basis for delivering sustainable development in areas which also present employment opportunities (such as Llangennech). This would ensure that housing and employment uses co-exist meaning that jobs can be easily reached by sustainable forms of transport such as walking and cycling.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Paragraph 11.138

Representation(s)

1758 City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]

Summary:

Section 5: Consideration of other strategies -The reference in the detailed section of the report to the cross boundary role and function of some settlements is welcomed. However, we suggest that the evidence base could usefully be strengthened by consideration of how the spatial strategies and settlement hierarchies of neighbouring plan areas will affect the role and function of settlements.

Para 6.57 of the Topic paper relating to the role of Hendy/Forest (Cluster 2) makes a welcome reference to the Swansea LDP Strategic Site allocation at SD A: Land at Pontarddulais and the need to consider the cross border implications on housing numbers as part of the revised LDP. The Topic Paper could be usefully strengthened by way of reference to the cross boundary role of settlements, particularly within the wider region. For example, consideration of commuting and shopping trip patterns.

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted / welcomed. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard. Reference is made to the Role and Function Topic Paper which will evolve as the plan making process proceeds towards deposit.

Paragraph 11.140

Representation(s)

2025 Swallow Investments Limited [3995]

Summary:

The respondent supports paragraphs 11.140 and 11.141 of the LDP, in that they confirm that the Principal Centres will be the main focus of growth over the LDP period, accommodating between 50-55% of the County's new housing growth

Response / **Recommendation**

Support Welcomed

Nature

Support

Nature

Comment

Nature

Support

Paragraph 11.141

Representation(s)

1634 Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13]

Summary:

The WG does not have significant concerns with the ethos and objectives of what the Preferred Strategy is seeking to achieve, nor the approach to Clusters within the settlement hierarchy. However, the Welsh Government is concerned that the proposed distribution of housing growth, particularly within settlement Tiers 3 and 4, raises sustainability issues based on the role and function of places. Acknowledging the rural characteristics of Carmarthenshire, the scale and distribution of growth at Tier 3 & 4 settlements does not align with the principles of sustainable development, PPW or the Council's own evidence (Sustainability Appraisal and the Role and Function of Settlements Paper) and has the potential to have an adverse impact on the Welsh language.

Response / Recommendation

Noted. The scale and distribution of growth will be subject to further evidencing as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP - including the proportions allocated to the respective tiers within the hierarchy.

Representation(s)

1083 Cai Parry [822]

Summary:

The indicative apportionment directs too much growth to the less sustainable settlements. PPW states that development should reduce reliance upon the private car.

Change To Plan Sought:

The indicative apportionment should be amended such that it directs a greater proportion of development to the top tiers of the Settlement Framework. A suggested apportionment is set out below:

* Principal Centre - 60%;

- * Service Centre 20%;
- * Sustainable Villages 15%;
- * Rural Villages 5%;
- * Non-Defined Rural Settlements <1%.

Response / Recommendation

Comments Noted. The Council considers that the indicative apportionment of residential growth by tier is based on a sound and reasonable assessment, by identifying a number of factors which influence it. However, the indicative apportionment affords an allowance in to be made; the level of flexibility allowance will continue to be informed by the emerging evidence base leading up to the publication of the Deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

547 RSAI [3167]

Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166]

Summary:

A robust evidence base is required before the apportionment of growth in each tier is confirmed.

Change To Plan Sought:

The apportionment of dwellings in the hierarchy should not be prejudged before carrying out a thorough assessment of the sustainability, suitability and deliverability of the candidate sites

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments Noted. The Council as part of the LDP process are reviewing all existing housing allocations sites to identify those that are not contributing to the LDP strategy, and a wide ranging assessment is being undertaken to make sure that the most appropriate sites are allocated in the revised Plan. This will be reflected within the apportionment of sites within each cluster and tier.

Nature

Comment



Nature

Object

Repres	entation(s)	Nature	
2104	Union Tavern Estate [3913]	Support	
	Agent: Barton Willmore (Joe Ayoubkhani)	[646]	
	Summary:		
	as being: * Principal Centre 50 - 55%; * Service Centre 15 - 20%; * Sustainable Villages 15 - 20%; * Rural Villages 15 - 20%; and * Non-Defined Rural Settlements < 1%. Gorlas is identified within the Settlement Ammanford/Crosshands. Whilst no expl should be noted that Gorslas has a range Welsh-medium school to be located with The settlement moreover has a close fur further range of facilities and employme Hierarchy Topic Paper to the LDP (Revi Ammanford/Cross Hands settlement gro	nctional relationship with Cross Hands, which includes a nt opportunities. The Strategic Spatial Options and Settlement sed June 2013) identified that Gorslas formed part of the puping which scored the highest points score (of 49 points) when	
Respon	considering access to facilities and serv use / Recommendation	ices.	
- r - •	nse / Recommendation		
-	Support Welcomed ====================================		
-	=======================================	Nature Object	
Repres	<pre>setup = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =</pre>	Object	
Repres	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988]	Object	
Repres	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans Summary:	Object	
Repres	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy	Object) [2988]	
Represe 1057	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy Rural Villages is published. Change To Plan Sought:	Object) [2988]	
Represe 1057	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy Rural Villages is published. Change To Plan Sought: To be confirmed. mse / Recommendation	Object) [2988] 16 until further detail on the criteria to be used in relation to growth in	
Repress 1057 Respon	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy Rural Villages is published. Change To Plan Sought: To be confirmed. mse / Recommendation Comment noted. The Council will see	Object) [2988] 16 until further detail on the criteria to be used in relation to growth in	
Repress 1057 Respon	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy Rural Villages is published. Change To Plan Sought: To be confirmed. nse / Recommendation Comment noted. The Council will see LDP.	Object) [2988] 16 until further detail on the criteria to be used in relation to growth in k to address the content and criteria of the Policy within the Depos	
Repress 1057 Respon = = = =	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy Rural Villages is published. Change To Plan Sought: To be confirmed. use / Recommendation Comment noted. The Council will see LDP. entation(s)	Object) [2988] 16 until further detail on the criteria to be used in relation to growth in k to address the content and criteria of the Policy within the Depos ====================================	
Repress 1057 Respon = = = = Repress	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy Rural Villages is published. Change To Plan Sought: To be confirmed. To be confirmed. Market State Stat	Object) [2988] 16 until further detail on the criteria to be used in relation to growth in k to address the content and criteria of the Policy within the Depos ====================================	
Repress 1057 Respon = = = = Repress	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy Rural Villages is published. Change To Plan Sought: To be confirmed. to be confirmed. Comment noted. The Council will see LDP. entation(s) RSAI [3167] Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166] Summary:	Object) [2988] 16 until further detail on the criteria to be used in relation to growth in k to address the content and criteria of the Policy within the Depos ====================================	
Represe 1057 Respon Represe 548	graph 11.145 entation(s) JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) [2988] Agent: JCR Planning Ltd (Mr Jason Evans) Summary: We submit a holding objection to Policy Rural Villages is published. Change To Plan Sought: To be confirmed. ase / Recommendation Comment noted. The Council will see LDP. entation(s) RSAI [3167] Agent: Lichfields (Mr Arwel Evans) [3166] Summary: We agree that the Service Settlements a	Object) [2988] 16 until further detail on the criteria to be used in relation to growth in k to address the content and criteria of the Policy within the Depos ====================================	

Representation(s)

Swallow Investments Limited [3995] 2026

Summary:

The respondent supports paragraph 11.145 of the LDP, in that it confirms Housing Allocations (defined in paragraph 11.35 of the LDP as sites capable of accommodating 5 dwellings and above) will be directed to the County's Principal Centres.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support Welcomed

Strategic Policy - SP17

Representation(s)

1751 City & County of Swansea (Mr Tom Evans) [3761]

Summary:

We welcome the opportunity to work in partnership during the Deposit preparation and particularly in relation to the need to consider the impact of Carmarthenshire's growth and spatial strategy upon the cross-boundary and wider regional highways network, which we recommend should be undertaken in consultation with Swansea traffic engineers utilising the Swansea Strategic Transport Model1. (See EB024a Strategic Transport Assessment 2015 (PDF, 7MB) and associated appendices)

Response / **Recommendation**

Comments noted. The Council looks forward to continuing its constructive dialogue with the respondent as the Plan making process proceeds towards the Deposit LDP and the input provided by the respondent to date is duly welcomed in this regard. . The Council fully recognises that this is a key trans-boundary issue and as such it will be given due consideration.

Representation(s)

mr william Phillips [3566] 1596

Summary:

It is difficult to disagree with the Strategic Policy (SP17). It is the implementation of the Policy that is lamentable. In the Bryn & amp: Bynea areas of Llanelli the transport infrastructure is very deficient, with over 200 homes, from the previous LDP, yet to be completed. Traffic congestion is bad and getting worse, on the M4, through Llangennech to Bryn, with traffic to and from Loughor bridge - Llanelli providing additional congestion to Station Road. Bynea and to Bryn and Llangennech.

Forward Planning should not be duped by the fanciful reports from the Agents of prospective Developers.

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed for SP17. In relation to the comments with regards to highway capacity, the deposit LDP will need to be supported by robust evidence - including on the area's infrastructural capacity.

Representation(s)

27 Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]

Summary:

SP17 - Transport & Accessibility - We support the principles contained with this policy, and welcome the recognition that the county is different in terms of transport requirements in particular that the rural areas are likely to be dependent on the car and this needs to be recognised during the plan period. We also support the promotion of the county as a centre for cycling for Wales and its importance as a future economic driver.

Response / Recommendation

Support welcomed.

Nature

Support

Nature Comment

Nature

Comment

_____________________________________ Nature

Support

Repres	entation(s)	Nature		
1084	Cai Parry [822] Support			
	Summary:			
	economic well-being of Wales, by making use of states that "an important consideration will be mi car and increasing walking, cycling and use of pu 17 complies with Planning Policy Wales and ther	to ensure that the planning system contributes to the long-term existing infrastructure and facilities. Moreover, paragraph 3.38 nimising the need to travel, reducing the reliance on the private ublic transport". Accordingly, we consider that Strategic Policy SP refore the wording is strongly supported by BDW Homes.		
Respon	use / Recommendation			
	Support Welcomed.			
===		=======================================		
===	=======================================			
	egic Policy - SP18			
Strate		Nature		
Strate	egic Policy - SP18	Nature Comment		
Strate Repres	egic Policy - SP18 entation(s)			
Strate Repres	entation(s) Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13] Summary: The Deposit Plan should reference the landbank and state how the LDP will satisfy these. We do County's landbank figures, for both hard rock and requirements set out in MTAN 1, and consequen development". The RTS (endorsed 2014) states	Comment requirements set out in the Regional Technical Statement (RTS) not agree with the statement in paragraph 11.155 that "the d sand and gravel, are notably in excess of the minimum tly there is no requirement to allocated new sites for mineral there is an under provision of 2.94mt of sand and gravel reserves and Pembrokeshire (including the National Park). These		
Strate Represe 1650	entation(s) Welsh Government (Mr Mark Newey) [13] Summary: The Deposit Plan should reference the landbank and state how the LDP will satisfy these. We do County's landbank figures, for both hard rock and requirements set out in MTAN 1, and consequen development". The RTS (endorsed 2014) states within the region of Carmarthenshire, Ceredigion	Comment requirements set out in the Regional Technical Statement (RTS) not agree with the statement in paragraph 11.155 that "the d sand and gravel, are notably in excess of the minimum tly there is no requirement to allocated new sites for mineral there is an under provision of 2.94mt of sand and gravel reserves and Pembrokeshire (including the National Park). These is the shortfall and identify specific sites.		

Statement (RTS). The comments by the respondent in respect of para 11.155 are duly noted and will be amended as part of the Deposit LDP to reflect the requirements of the RTS. It should be noted that the Council has undertaken a 'Call for Sand and Gravel Sites' in response to this matter.

Representation(s)

1656 Simon Chaffe [855]

Nature Comment

Summary:

Strategic Policy SP18: Mineral Resources

a) We propose that a reference be added to duration of the requirement for minimum landbanks for crushed rock and sand and gravel to be retained throughout the whole of the plan period, that is, 10 and 7 years respectively. To be explicit, at the end of the Plan Period there needs to be a minimum landbank of crushed rock of 10 years and for sand and gravel of 7 years.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The Deposit LDP will set out the landbank requirements as set out in PPW and MTAN 1. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic policy SP 18 criterion a) are duly noted. Any necessary amendments to policy wording will be carried out as part of the Deposit LDP to reflect the requirements of national planning policy.

in propress	entation(s)	Nature
1659	Simon Chaffe [855]	Comment
	Summary:	
	d) Buffer Zones should not be applied prescriptively	by arbitrary distances but judged on a 'case by case' basis.
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
		. ,
Repres	<i>entation(s)</i>	Nature
1658	Simon Chaffe [855]	Comment
	Summary:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		naking process, Safeguarding Areas would be defined. source but also the wider areas that may be affected.
Respon	These Areas need to apply not only to the mineral re ase / Recommendation	source but also the wider areas that may be affected.
Respon	These Areas need to apply not only to the mineral re use / Recommendation In response to the respondent's query regarding the preparation of the Deposit LDP, and will utilis Safeguarding Map for South West Wales (which i	source but also the wider areas that may be affected. safeguarding areas, such areas will be defined as part of e the British Geological Survey's (BGS) Aggregates dentify more specific areas than those contained within ing areas will be defined on the LDP Proposals Map and cy.
	These Areas need to apply not only to the mineral re <i>ise / Recommendation</i> In response to the respondent's query regarding the preparation of the Deposit LDP, and will utilis Safeguarding Map for South West Wales (which i the BGS Mineral Resource Maps). The safeguard the Written Statement will contain the written pol	source but also the wider areas that may be affected. safeguarding areas, such areas will be defined as part of e the British Geological Survey's (BGS) Aggregates dentify more specific areas than those contained within ing areas will be defined on the LDP Proposals Map and cy.
	These Areas need to apply not only to the mineral re <i>ise / Recommendation</i> In response to the respondent's query regarding the preparation of the Deposit LDP, and will utilis Safeguarding Map for South West Wales (which i the BGS Mineral Resource Maps). The safeguard the Written Statement will contain the written pol	source but also the wider areas that may be affected. safeguarding areas, such areas will be defined as part of e the British Geological Survey's (BGS) Aggregates dentify more specific areas than those contained within ing areas will be defined on the LDP Proposals Map and cy.
= = = Repres	These Areas need to apply not only to the mineral re <i>ase / Recommendation</i> In response to the respondent's query regarding the preparation of the Deposit LDP, and will utilis Safeguarding Map for South West Wales (which i the BGS Mineral Resource Maps). The safeguard the Written Statement will contain the written pol <i>entation(s)</i>	source but also the wider areas that may be affected. safeguarding areas, such areas will be defined as part of e the British Geological Survey's (BGS) Aggregates dentify more specific areas than those contained within ing areas will be defined on the LDP Proposals Map and cy.
= = = Repres	These Areas need to apply not only to the mineral re <i>ise / Recommendation</i> In response to the respondent's query regarding the preparation of the Deposit LDP, and will utilis Safeguarding Map for South West Wales (which i the BGS Mineral Resource Maps). The safeguard the Written Statement will contain the written pol <i>entation(s)</i> The Coal Authority (Melanie Lindsley) [4000] <i>Summary:</i> As you will be aware the Carmarthenshire area has s LPA with downloadable data in respect of Development	source but also the wider areas that may be affected. safeguarding areas, such areas will be defined as part of the British Geological Survey's (BGS) Aggregates dentify more specific areas than those contained within ing areas will be defined on the LDP Proposals Map and icy. <i>Nature</i> <i>Nature</i> Comment ignificant coal mining legacy. The Coal Authority provides the ent Risk and Surface Coal Resource plans. We would expect an to be assessed against this information. This is to ensure spect of the quantum of development which can be
= = = <i>Repres</i> 2314	These Areas need to apply not only to the mineral re <i>ise / Recommendation</i> In response to the respondent's query regarding the preparation of the Deposit LDP, and will utilis Safeguarding Map for South West Wales (which i the BGS Mineral Resource Maps). The safeguard the Written Statement will contain the written pol <i>entation(s)</i> The Coal Authority (Melanie Lindsley) [4000] Summary: As you will be aware the Carmarthenshire area has s LPA with downloadable data in respect of Development any sites being considered for allocation within the p that any issue or potential constraints, identified in re accommodated on a site is identified at as early a state	source but also the wider areas that may be affected. safeguarding areas, such areas will be defined as part of the British Geological Survey's (BGS) Aggregates dentify more specific areas than those contained within ing areas will be defined on the LDP Proposals Map and icy. <i>Nature</i> <i>Nature</i> Comment ignificant coal mining legacy. The Coal Authority provides the ent Risk and Surface Coal Resource plans. We would expect an to be assessed against this information. This is to ensure spect of the quantum of development which can be
= = = <i>Repres</i> 2314	These Areas need to apply not only to the mineral re <i>ise / Recommendation</i> In response to the respondent's query regarding the preparation of the Deposit LDP, and will utilis Safeguarding Map for South West Wales (which i the BGS Mineral Resource Maps). The safeguard the Written Statement will contain the written pol <i>entation(s)</i> The Coal Authority (Melanie Lindsley) [4000] Summary: As you will be aware the Carmarthenshire area has s LPA with downloadable data in respect of Development any sites being considered for allocation within the p that any issue or potential constraints, identified in re-	source but also the wider areas that may be affected. safeguarding areas, such areas will be defined as part of the British Geological Survey's (BGS) Aggregates dentify more specific areas than those contained within ing areas will be defined on the LDP Proposals Map and icy. <i>Nature</i> <i>Nature</i> Comment ignificant coal mining legacy. The Coal Authority provides the ent Risk and Surface Coal Resource plans. We would expect an to be assessed against this information. This is to ensure spect of the quantum of development which can be

	sentation(s)	Nature
1781	Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778]	Object
	Summary:	
	In order to provide consistency with PPW and MTAN1 and for a) by changing "hard rock" to "crushed rock". Further it would adding the following wording to point a) A minimum ten-year la landbank for sand and gravel should therefore be maintained	be prudent to clarify the landbank requirements by andbank of crushed rock and minimum seven-year
	Change To Plan Sought:	
	The amended text would read:- "Ensuring supply by maintaini reserves (hard crushed rock and sand and gravel) throughout crushed rock and minimum seven-year landbank for sand and entire plan period".	the Plan period. A minimum ten-year landbank of
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Noted. The Deposit LDP will set out the landbank requirer by the respondent in respect of Strategic policy SP 18 crit amendments to policy wording will be carried out as part national planning policy.	erion a) are duly noted. Any necessary
Repres	sentation(s)	 Nature
1780	Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778]	Object
	Summary:	
	Reference is made to mineral resources; however, the policy annual monitoring report refers to non-aggregate mineral reso reflected in the policy.	
	Change To Plan Sought: Amend accordingly.	
Respon		
Respon	Amend accordingly.	
	Amend accordingly. <i>Inse / Recommendation</i> Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Str necessary amendments to wording will be considered as ensure that it accords with PPW and the MTANs.	part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, to
= = = Repres	Amend accordingly. Inse / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of State necessary amendments to wording will be considered as ensure that it accords with PPW and the MTANs. Exertation(s)	part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, to $=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!=\!$
	Amend accordingly. Inse / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Stinecessary amendments to wording will be considered as ensure that it accords with PPW and the MTANs. Exertation(s) Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778]	part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, to
= = = Repres	Amend accordingly. Inse / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of State necessary amendments to wording will be considered as ensure that it accords with PPW and the MTANs. Exertation(s)	part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, to ====================================
= = = Repres	Amend accordingly. Amend accordingly. Anse / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of States and the considered as a sensure that it accords with PPW and the MTANs. Sentation(s) Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778] Summary: It should be made clear that sterilisation of a mineral resource not just by development directly upon a resource. The policy is identified within the LDP, it is assumed by the use of mineral sectors.	part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, to ====================================
= = = Repres	Amend accordingly. Inse / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of State necessary amendments to wording will be considered as ensure that it accords with PPW and the MTANs. Exertation(s) Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778] Summary: It should be made clear that sterilisation of a mineral resource not just by development directly upon a resource. The policy is identified within the LDP, it is assumed by the use of mineral sterilisation the Council.	part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, to <i>Nature</i> Object e may be as a result of the proximity of development s not clear as to how the safeguarding areas will be safeguarding maps. We would be happy to discuss erals of economic importance where they could be essarily sterilized by other forms of development
= = = <i>Repres</i> 1782	Amend accordingly. Inse / Recommendation Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of State necessary amendments to wording will be considered as ensure that it accords with PPW and the MTANs. Sentation(s) Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778] Summary: It should be made clear that sterilisation of a mineral resource not just by development directly upon a resource. The policy is identified within the LDP, it is assumed by the use of mineral st the resources to be safeguarded with the Council. Change To Plan Sought: Amend the text to read "Safeguarding areas underlain by mine worked in future to ensure that such resources are not unnecee either directly or within close proximity to the safeguarded resources in the safeguarded resources in the safeguarded resources are not unnecee either directly or within close proximity to the safeguarded resources in the safeguarded resources in the safeguarded resources in the safeguarded resources are not unnecee either directly or within close proximity to the safeguarded resources in the safeguarded resources in the safeguarded resources in the safeguarded resources are not unnecees either directly or within close proximity to the safeguarded resources in the safeguard	part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP, to <i>Nature</i> Object e may be as a result of the proximity of development s not clear as to how the safeguarding areas will be safeguarding maps. We would be happy to discuss erals of economic importance where they could be essarily sterilized by other forms of development

Repres	rentation(s)	Nature
1784	Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778]	Object
	Summary:	
	It would be helpful to clarify how the buffer zones will be appli permitted and proposed mineral workings where new develop including residential areas, hospitals and schools, should be	oment which would be sensitive to adverse impact,
	Change To Plan Sought:	
	Amend the text to read "The use of Buffer Zones to reduce th sensitive development. These Buffer Zones will provide areas mineral workings where new development which would be se hospitals and schools, should be resisted."	s of protection around permitted and proposed
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of m are duly noted. Detailed policy matters concerning the us suggested wording) will be addressed during preparation with the requirements set out within PPW and the MTANS	se of buffer zones (including the respondent's n of the Deposit LDP, to ensure that it accords
Repres	centation(s)	Nature
28	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
	Summary:	
	SP18 - Mineral Resources - policy noted.	
Respor	nse / Recommendation	
1	Support welcomed.	
===		
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1710	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326]	Support
	Summary:	
	Support the compatibility of approach between the Plans. The terrestrial sand and gravel landbank and apportionment regional basis.	of provision to meet future needs is considered on a
	The regional landbank for sand and gravel is rather limited con gravel production in the region are of limited capacity.	ompared with that available for hard rock. Sand and
	New terrestrial production sites within the region but outside t	he Park are needed.
	Carmarthenshire's landbank figures for hard rock and sand a set out in MTAN1, therefore there is no requirement to allocated and the set out in MTAN1.	te new mineral sites.
Respon	rse / Recommendation	
-	Support welcomed.	
===	=======================================	=======================================

Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1657	Simon Chaffe [855]	Support
	Summary:	
	(b) SUPPORT 'Encouraging the efficient and appropriate use of high quality and recycling of suitable minerals as an alternative to primary	
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	
	entation(s)	<i>Nature</i>
1020	The Coal Authority (Mr Christopher Telford) [2376]	Support
	Summary:	
	The Coal Authority supports the inclusion of this policy.	
Respon	use / Recommendation	
	Support welcome.	
===		
Parag	jraph 11.153	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1660	Simon Chaffe [855]	Comment
	Summary:	
	Amendment to the paragraph to readthe County protects mineral resources and provides mineral	reserves'
	There should be opportunities for extensions to existing opera reserves where landbanks are in excess of the minimum peri- grant PP that would have the effect of extending them signific mineral resources from sterilisation, secure their prudent use existing amenity and the environment. We believe that these Preferred Strategy.	ods. There can be a reluctance on the part of MPA t antly. However, granting such PP may safeguard and facilitate the management and protection of
Respon	use / Recommendation	
	Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Pa policies and supporting text will be addressed during pro are in accordance with national planning policy.	oduction of the Deposit LDP, to ensure that they
	entation(s)	
1786	Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778]	Object
	Summary:	
	We would suggest use of the word "reserves" rather than "respermission which can readily be worked.	sources" as reserves are resources with planning
	<i>Change To Plan Sought:</i> Amend the text to read "The LDP should ensure that the Coursociety's needs"	nty provides mineral resources reserves to meet
Respon	nse / Recommendation	
	Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of St necessary amendments to wording will be considered as ensure that it accords with PPW and the MTANs.	

Paragraph 11.155

Representation(s)

1787 Mineral Products Association Wales (Mr Nick Horsley) [3778]

Summary:

As mentioned above, reference to "hard rock" should be amended to "crushed rock" for consistency. As also referred to above the RTS is a document of influence to the plan. The RTS is currently under formal review by Welsh Government with the 2nd review anticipated for completion by Q1, 2020. This review will run in parallel to the revised Preferred Strategy for the LDP and is therefore a material consideration. It is also notable that Carmarthenshire has the third highest housing projection in adopted LDPs. Whilst housing numbers may well be amended under the revised LDP, there is a recognition that historic sales of aggregates may not be the appropriate methodology to predict future aggregate requirements, in light of growth aspirations. Low production tonnages coupled with healthy reserves create long landbanks. The SWRAWP AMR states that "care must be exercised in relying on the landbank figures for Carmarthenshire as these are based on very small annual sales from relatively small sites." Sales alone may not represent a true reflection of aggregate usage within an area. Further, the current consultation is coupled with a call for candidate Sand and Gravel Sites. The final sentence should therefore be amended.

Nature

Object

Change To Plan Sought:

The text should be amended to read "The South Wales RTS 2014 sets out the contribution that each constituent local authority should make towards meeting the regional demand for aggregates (both hard crushed rock and sand and gravel). He LDP's second Annual Monitoring Report (AMR 2016/17) establishes that the County's landbank figures, for both hard crushed rock and sand and gravel, is notably in excess of the minimum requirements set out in MTAN1: Aggregates, and consequently there is no requirement the need to allocate new sites for minerals development will be considered in line with the requirements of the developing RTS and the current call for sites."

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic Policy SP 18 are duly noted. Any necessary amendments to wording will be considered as part of the preparation of the Deposit LDP. Such amendments will ensure that it accords with PPW, the MTANs and acknowledges the authority contributions towards meeting the regional demand for aggregates set out within the RTS.

===	========	
Repres	entation(s)	Nature
1661	Simon Chaffe [855]	Object

Summary:

RTS 2014 Appx B pp27 (Carmarthenshire) states,

'To address the resulting sand & gravel shortfall, new allocations totalling at least 2.94 million tonnes will need to be identified within the LDPs of one or more of the four authorities over which the apportionment is shared.'

This RTS is currently being reviewed by Welsh Government with completion expected early next year.

The reference in the final sentence to there being no requirement to allocate new sites for mineral development is at odds with the above and the Sand & Gravel Call for Sites. This whole paragraph should therefore be redrafted.

Response / **Recommendation**

Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of paragraph 11.155 are duly noted. The Deposit LDP will set out the landbank requirements in accordance with the Regional Technical Statement (RTS), and any necessary amendments to wording will be made as part of the Deposit LDP.

Representation(s)

1662 Simon Chaffe [855]

Summary:

a) We propose that this part be re-drafted as follows: 'The allocation of additional adequate appropriate land to provide, in association with existing waste management facilities*, for an integrated network of waste management facilities.'

* These to be defined in an appendix as in Appendix 6 of the LDP (adopted 2014) and, for the avoidance of doubt, to include New Lodge, Cwmgwili.

Nature

Comment

Response / Recommendation

Noted. The comments by the respondent in respect of Strategic Policy SP 19 criterion a) are duly noted. The wording of this policy and its supporting text will be addressed during production of the Deposit LDP, to ensure that they are in accordance with national planning policy.

=== Represe		= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
29	Carmarthenshire County Council (Mr Stuart Walters) [2345]	Support
	Summary:	
	Waste Management - policies noted.	
Respon	se / Recommendation	
	Comments noted.	
Represe	entation(s)	Nature
1711	Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority (Ms Martina Dunne) [2326]	Support
	Summary:	
	Both authorities' policies on waste management are broadly a the need for collaboration between local planning authorities network for waste management.	
Respon	se / Recommendation	
	Support Welcomed	
===		
Represe	entation(s)	Nature
1663	Simon Chaffe [855]	Support
	Summary:	
	 b) SUPPORT 'Support proposals for waste management which involve the hierarchy;' 	management of waste in accordance with the waste
Respon	se / Recommendation	
	Support welcomed.	

Representation(s)

1664 Simon Chaffe [855]

Nature Support

Summary:

(c) SUPPORT 'Acknowledging that certain types of waste facility may need to be located outside the development limits of settlements;'

Response / **Recommendation**

Support welcomed.

Atodiad 3b

Cofnodion y Cyngor Sir (15 Mai 2019)

COFNODION Y CYNGOR SIR 15 Mai 2019

CYNLLUN DATBLYGU LLEOL DIWYGIEDIG SIR GAERFYRDDIN 2018 – 2033 FERSIWN DDRAFFT O'R STRATEGAETH CYNADNEUO A FFEFRIR

Rhoddwyd gwybod i'r Cyngor bod y Bwrdd Gweithredol wedi ystyried adroddiad ar Fersiwn Ddrafft o'r Strategaeth Cyn-adneuo a Ffefrir ar gyfer Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Diwygiedig Sir Gaerfyrddin 2018-2033 yn ei gyfarfod ar 7 Mai 2019, a luniwyd mewn ymateb i benderfyniad y Cyngor ar 10 Ionawr 2019 i ddechrau gwaith paratoi ar y cynllun diwygiedig. Roedd hyn garreg filltir bwysig yng ngwaith y Cyngor i gyflawni ei gyfrifoldebau statudol i baratoi cynllun cyfredol ar gyfer y Sir (ac eithrio'r ardal sy'n rhan o Awdurdod Parc Cenedlaethol Bannau Brycheiniog). Nodwyd bod yr adroddiad yn cynnwys 344 o sylwadau a ddaeth i law mewn ymateb i'r ymarfer ymgynghori â'r cyhoedd a gynhaliwyd rhwng 12 Rhagfyr 2018 a 8 Chwefror 2019.

Mynegwyd barn ynghylch yr angen i sicrhau bod pryderon o ran seilwaith trafnidiaeth a newid yn yr hinsawdd yn cael sylw a'u bod yn sail i ddatblygiadau newydd. Dywedwyd hefyd mai'r gobaith yw y byddai'r CDLl newydd yn caniatáu datblygiadau bach o dai mewn ardaloedd gwledig a'r gwaith o addasu hen adeiladau'n hybiau economaidd.

PENDERFYNWYD mabwysiadu'r argymhellion canlynol gan y Bwrdd Gweithredol:

10.1 bod y sylwadau a ddaeth i law o ran y Fersiwn Ddrafft o'r Strategaeth Cyn-adneuo a Ffefrir yn cael eu nodi a bod yr argymhellion yn cael eu cadarnhau;

10.2 bod y sylwadau ddaeth i law o ran yr Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/Adroddiad Cychwynnol yr Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol, Adroddiad Sgrinio'r Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd ac Adroddiad Adolygu'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol yn cael eu nodi a'r argymhellion yn cael eu cadarnhau

10.3 rhoi awdurdod dirprwyedig i swyddogion gyflawni'r canlynol:-

- newid y Strategaeth a Ffefrir yng ngoleuni'r argymhellion sy'n deillio o'r prosesau uchod a'r dystiolaeth sy'n rhan o baratoi'r Fersiwn Adneuol o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol;
- gwneud addasiadau teipograffyddol neu ffeithiol ansylweddol yn ôl yr angen, i wella eglurder a chywirdeb y Cytundeb Cyflawni.'

Atodiad 4

Ymatebion i Adroddiad Sgrinio'r Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd

Appendix 4: Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening Report - Representations Received

Organisation/Comment	Response/Action
Natural Resources Wales – Sharon Luke	
General Comments	
Reference is made to 2010 Regulations throughout the report this requires updating to The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.	Noted and amended throughout document.
Table 1 Habitats Regulation Assessment: Key Stages	
• Under Purpose for Appropriate Assessment we would include the precautionary principal and that the plan will not adversely affect the	Agreed. Wording amended to read:
integrity of the sites.	To ensure that the plan will not adversely affect the integrity of sites. Consideration of impacts on integrity of the site, either individually or in combination with other plans and projects, having regard to the site's structure, function and conservation objectives, whilst applying the precautionary principle. Where adverse impacts are identified <u>or remain</u> <u>unknown</u> , assess mitigation options to identify impacts on the integrity of the site. This stage should involve consultation. If mitigation options do not result in avoidance of adverse effects permission can only be granted if the remaining 2 stages are followed.
1.3.1. We acknowledge that the HRA for the site-specific allocations will be carried out as part of the drawing up of the Deposit LDP.	Comments noted.
1.4.1. We advise the reference to Regulation 85B (3) is incorrect. Regulation 77 covers consultation with the relevant nature conservation body.	Amended.
2.2.1. This should refer to regulation 63 (1).	Amended.
2.3. This should refer to The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.	Amended throughout document.
3.1.1. Potential offsite impacts are listed here but not mentioned earlier in the report.	Wording added to Table 1. Under Screening – Purpose, to describe how the screening stage must consider the potential for offsite impacts. Table now reads:

3.1.3 The West Wales Marine Candidate Special Area of Conservation	Process for identifying impacts of a plan or project on a European site, either individually or in combination, and consideration of whether likely effects will be significant. This will include consideration of the potential for direct, indirect and cross-boundary effects. Amended. Figure 1 has also been amended to include the West Wales
(cSAC) should be included.	Marine cSAC. Appendix 1 has also been updated to include information and the conservation objectives of the West Wales Marine cSAC.
3.2.3. We would amend this to read 'features of the N2K sites'. We would also advise the inclusion of 'The Plan must not undermine the conservation objectives of the sites'.	Amended. Paragraph now reads: 3.2.3 The scanning stage identifies <u>features of the N2K sites</u> that may be affected by the plan as far beyond as necessary for sites and identifying causal connections and links between the plan proposals and the qualifying features of the sites. <u>The Plan must not undermine the conservation</u> <u>objectives of the sites.</u>
3.2.8 Disturbance should be included.	This paragraph simply gives examples of the types of impacts that could be caused by development and is therefore not exhaustive. Table 2. Covers disturbance in more detail.
Table 2 Scanning and site selection lists for sites that could potentially be affected by the plan	
• The Afon Tywi is not included under SAC's under Section 2.	Amended to include Afon Tywi.
Carmarthen Bay Dunes is entitled incorrectly under Section 3.	Amended.
• West Wales Marine Candidate Site needs to be added to Section 4.	Amended to include West Wales Marine cSAC.
 We would suggest the Afon Tywi and Carmel should be included in Section 6. 	Disagree. Neither the Management Plan nor Standard Data form for Afon Tywi or Cernydd Carmel reference recreation to be considered as a pressure or threat on the features of the SAC and therefore it is not included for consideration under this section.
 We seek clarification as to how all sites have been screened out of Section 7 that could be affected by provision of new or extended 	Agreed. This will be amended and considered further in the deposit HRA

HRA Screening Report - Responses

transport or other infrastructure. These could be barriers to migratory fish, bats and otters.	report.
 We would add Elenydd-Mallaen to Section 7 sites that could be affected by increased deposition of air pollutants. This is as the diet and nesting habits of Merlin could be impacted by air pollution. 	Disagree. The management plan of Elenydd-Mallaen does not reference any sensitivity of Merlin or their prey to air quality issues in the conservation objectives or management requirements and therefore they are not included for consideration under this section.
 Section 14 included Cwm Doethie which is not the sites full name, we would remove it from here as it does not include any mobile species. Elenydd Special Protection Area (SPA) should be added. 	Noted and amended. Cwm Doethie has been removed and Elenydd- Mallaen SPA has been added.
• We would expect the same sites (again taking Cwm Doethie out) to be noted under Section 15 as in 14.	Noted and amended accordingly as above.
 Section 16 – We do not agree with the conclusion that no sites require further consideration. If there is potential to disturb species as noted in Section 14 of the table then potential exists to cause mortality. We would expect the same sites to be included in both sections. 	 Whilst we agree that if there is potential to disturb species noted in Section 14 then there is a risk of mortality, for the purposes of this HRA, it is considered that the effects of this category will be captured effectively via Section 14 of the table. Therefore, in order to avoid duplication, sites are screened out of this section. The following worded has been added to Section 16 as clarification: Potential for mortality as a result of disturbance, however to avoid duplication this is addressed under Section 14.
 Mobile features need to be considered outside the designated site boundaries. 	Mobile features outside of designated site boundaries are considered in Section 5 – Plans that could affect mobile species.
3.2.9. Effects associated with development should include effects of contaminated land run off.	The effects of contaminated land run off will be considered under Section 2 – Plans that could affect the aquatic environment.
	The following wording has been added to Section 2 to provide clarification:
	Sites upstream or downstream of the plan area in the case of river or estuary sites. Effects considered include localised effects on surface/groundwater resources and quality, resulting from changes in run-
	off, sedimentation, erosion etc.

HRA Screening Report - Responses

Table 4, 5 and 6		
West Wales Marine Candidate SAC needs to be included.	Agreed. West Wales Candidate SAC has now been included.	
Table 6 Preliminary screening of European Sites identified as vulnerable to effects on the coast.		
 Consideration should be given to whether the title to this table should be mobile species as it includes Caeau Mynydd Mawr SAC. 	Agreed and amended.	
 All fish species have been screened out due to water quality although this is not clear; disturbance and barriers have not been included. 	Noted, however any impacts as a result of disturbance is considered separately under Section 14.	
 We question if Elenydd-Mallaen should be included for bird assemblage? 	Agreed, amended to include Elenydd - Mallaen	
 Clarification is required as to why Lesser Horseshoe Bats have been screened out when we have records and known roosts in Carmarthenshire. 	Agreed, Lesser Horseshoe Bat will be screened in on a precautionary basis.	
3.2.19 This paragraph may be better placed before the screening table (6) to understand why fish species have been screened out.	Agreed, screening table now placed at the end of this section.	
3.2.20 Requires updating with the new conservation objectives for the SAC.	We acknowledge receipt of the updated objectives as part of NRW's representation to the HRA Screening report and have updated the conservation objectives in Appendix 1 and have been amended in the text	
	Paragraph now reads: The conservation objectives for Caeau Mynydd Mawr SAC were updated by NRW in 2016, to reflect more current information and understanding of the site and its features. These updated conservation objectives state that to be viable in the long term, the Marsh Fritillary metapopulation requires 'at least 100ha of available habitat, with adequate connectivity linked to the core SAC units'. The core SAC units have a requirement to provide a minimum of 17.5ha of Available habitat towards this target, and to provide at least 6ha of good habitat within Caeau Mynydd Mawr SAC.	

3.2.25 We agree detailed screening will be required as the species are known to be on the county border with Pembrokeshire in areas such as Cenarth.	Noted. This will be addressed in more detail at the detailed screening stage.
3.2.29 The distance from Carmarthenshire's border is given as 16km in this point whereas it states 6.9km in section 3.1.4. The addition of Lesser Horseshoe bats is required as there are records for Carmarthenshire, a roost (possibly maternity) was also found in the Llansteffan area during the last few years.	This has now been corrected in section 3.1.4 as the site is actually 23km outside of Carmarthenshire. Lesser Horseshoe Bats have now been screened in on a precautionary basis.
3.2.32 There is text missing from the end of this paragraph.	The 'Therefore,' to which this refers has now been deleted.
3.2.31- 3.2.36 European otters. Consideration should be given to breeding sites within this section.	 Reference is made to breeding sites in this paragraph: 3.2.31 European otters are designated features of a number of European sites considered for screening within this document, including River Tywi, River Teifi, Cleddau Rivers, Carmarthenshire Bay and Estuaries, Pembrokeshire Bat Sites and Bosherton Lakes, Pembrokeshire Marine, River Wye and River Usk SACs. Management plans for all of the aforementioned sites highlight that otters 'may be affected by developments that affect resting and <u>breeding sites</u> outside of SAC boundaries'. The text has been amended to provide further clarity: 3.2.36 In light of this, detailed screening will need to be undertaken to identify any site allocations which may impact on the use of suitable areas of land used for both breeding and resting outside the SAC
3.2.37 We do not agree that neither species utilise any of the waterways. Carmarthen Bay and Estuaries and the West Wales Marine Candidate SAC lie within the plan area.	boundary by otters. This section has been renamed: Bottlenose Dolphin, Grey Seal and <u>Harbour Porpoise</u> so as to include the primary features of the Bristol Channel Approaches cSAC and the resulting paragraphs have been redrafted in light of NRW's comment.
3.2.38 Consideration for the Elenydd -Mallaen SPA is required under SPA	Consideration is now given to Elenydd-Mallaen Bird Assemblages under

Bird Assemblages and its mobile features notably Red kite, Merlin and Peregrine. The SPA is noted in Table 7.	this section.
Table 7 Preliminary screening of European Sites identified as vulnerable to re	ecreational effects.
• The River Tywi SAC is missing from this table. We suggest there are potential pressures from increased boating/kayaking etc.	Agreed. River Tywi is screened in based on the potential for increased disturbance to Otters
• We consider that Cernydd Camel SAC should also be included as potential for increased pressure from increased visitor numbers in the reserves.	Agreed. Although recreation is not listed as a threat on the Natura 2000 standard data form or the site's management plan, due to the proximity of Cernydd Carmel SAC to existing settlement limits and to the Crosshands growth area, it is screened in on a precautionary basis.
3.2.56 Refers to the Environment Agency, this should read NRW.	Amended.
3.2.59 Acronym for NRW is used in this section although NRW used before hand in the document.	Amended.
Table 9 Preliminary screening of European Sites identified as vulnerable to ef	fects on water quality.
• Carmarthen Bay Dunes SAC to be added due to slack habitat and petalwort features.	Amended to include Carmarthen Bay Dunes SAC. Table 2 has also been amended to reflect this.
3.2.68 Consideration needs to be given to mobile species such as bats and otters for sites outside Carmarthenshire's boundary.	Agreed. Pembrokeshire Bat Sites and Bosherston Lakes SAC screened in.
Table 11 Preliminary screening of European Sites identified as vulnerable to e	effects of disturbance, noise and light pollution effects.
Cwm Doethie- Mynydd Mallaen SAC has no mobile species features listed so may be able to be screened out.	Amended. Cwm Doethie – Mynydd Mallaen SAC now screened out of this section.
Elenydd Mallaen SPA to be added and screened in.	Amended to include Elenydd Mallaen. Table 2 has also been amended to reflect this.
North Pembrokeshire Woodlands may require screening back in due to Barbastelle records on the County border and possible lighting and disturbance issues.	Agreed. Screened in on a precautionary basis.
Table 12 Summary of the preliminary screening based on overall growth proj	ection of Preferred Strategy.

 Aquatic environment – Hydrological links also need to be considered. 	Agreed. Generic level screening text now amended to read:
	Effects only likely where development is in close proximity to a water course that flows into/out of a site. <u>Hydrological links must also be</u> <u>considered</u> .
Mobile species – Requires addition of Lesser Horseshoe bats.	Amended.
 Mobile species – Requires addition of terrestrial SPA (Elenydd- Mallaen) 	Amended
 Development: Air pollution – We do not agree with the generic screening level; intensive agriculture and other industrial sources have a potential to impact. 	Agreed. Wording of generic screening level amended to address this. Text now reads:
	Development which leads to increased traffic on roads within 200m of identified sensitive sites. <u>Consideration will also be given to any potential impacts from intensive agriculture and other industrial sources.</u>
Table 14 Summary of preliminary screening of draft Strategic Policies.	
 SP8 Infrastructure – Clarification is required as to why this has been screened out, we consider it could have potential impacts to sites and features. 	Agreed. Policy will be screened back in and will be considered further in light of specific policies and site allocations in order to determine likely significant effects. Screened in under Category I.
• SP12 Rural development – Should we consider agricultural development under this? If so, it cannot be screened out.	The Strategic Policy on Rural Development does not consider agricultural development. These matters are considered under existing national planning policy and legislation, and further detailed policies will be developed in the Deposit Plan.
• SP17 Transport and Accessibility - Clarification is required as to why this has been screened out, we consider it could have potential impacts to sites and features.	Agreed. Policy will be screened back in and will be considered further in light of specific policies and site allocations in order to determine likely significant effects. Screened in under Category I.
 SP18 Mineral resources -This should not be screened out due to Cernydd Carmel SAC. 	Disagree. This policy is a safeguarding policy for mineral resources and does not facilitate the removal of mineral deposits. This policy essentially

	provides a second layer of safeguarding of the site from development and is therefore screened out as having likely significant impacts.
• 3.4.2 Further Strategic Policies from Table 14, such as mineral resources, should added.	This section will be updated accordingly.
 Appendix 1: Conservation objectives of sites identified as within 15km buffer zone of Carmarthenshire. The updated conservation objectives for the sites can are in the appendices to this letter. 	Conservation objectives have been amended to reflect most up to date information provided by NRW in their representation.
Appendix 2 Nitrogen Deposition Data for SAC's/SPA's within Carmarthenshire and 15km buffer • The Afon Tywi should be included.	Noted. Appendix will be amended to include Afon Tywi.
 Appendix 3 Plans and Programmes with potential in-combination effects. West Wales Tourism Strategy 2008 – West Wales Marine candidate SAC to be included. Welsh Government Strategy for Tourism 2013-2020 - West Wales Marine candidate SAC and the SPA's to be included due to disturbance. A walking and Cycling Action Plan for Wales (2009-2013) – Disturbance and erosion should be included as potential issues. The Swansea Bay City Region Economic Regeneration Strategy 2013-2030 - Disturbance and erosion should be included as potential issues. Carmarthenshire Designation Management Plan 2015 – 2020 – We would advise that there is potential for increased soil erosion from increased tourism and recreation activities. Flood Risk Management Plan for Western Wales River Basin District – The River Tywi and River Teifi are not included. Swansea Local Development Plan (2010-2025) – Burry Inlet RAMSAR site. 	Noted. This Appendix will be updated for the Deposit Plan HRA to include the documents suggested.
 Appendix 5 Preliminary screening of draft Strategic Policies. SP18 - Mineral Resources – We consider Cernydd Carmel should be screened back in. 	Disagree. As explained in response to comments made on SP18.
Pembrokeshire Coast National Park - Martina Dunne	
General Comments	

The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 Para 2.3 page 7. The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 consolidate the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 with subsequent amendments.	Noted and amended throughout document.
People Over Wind	
In April 2018 the Court of Justice of the European Union handed down their judgment in the case of People Over Wind. The court ruled that it is not appropriate, at the screening stage, to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce harmful effects on a European site. It is suggested that the HRA Screening Report should make explicit mention of the judgment and describe how the HRA is incorporating the ruling. The Habitats Regulations Assessment Handbook (DTA Publications Limited) listed on page 7 has been updated to reflect the judgment.	Noted. Reference to this judgement will be included in the deposit plan HRA.
Sites and species of European importance	
It is suggested that the Preferred Strategy should include specific policy wording in regard to sites and species of European importance, as implied in the "specific policy restriction" identified as being required for several of the screened in elements. This policy wording might be included within policy SP13, or as an additional policy on sites and species of European importance. Screened-in elements of the Preferred Strategy may then be amended to cross-refer to this policy wording, e.g. 'subject to there being no unacceptable adverse effects on Carmarthenshire's environment (see SP13), including sites and species of European importance (see SP13 (and / or new policy reference))". This would complement the approach taken in Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan 2 and enhance the compatibility of the plans.	Noted. Consideration will be given to the wording of a specific policy for inclusion in the Deposit plan.
Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan Page 36 and Appendix 3 – there is no mention of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan (adopted or LDP2).	Noted, this section will be updated to include reference to the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park LDP.

Туроѕ	
"Bosherton" should be replaced by "Bosherston" wherever necessary.	
"Affects" should be replaced by "effects" where appropriate.	Noted and amended.

Atodiad 5

Ymatebion i'r Adroddiad Cwmpasu ac Adroddiad Cychwynnol Yr Arfarniad Cynaliadwyedd/ Asesiad Amgylcheddol Strategol

Appendix 5: SA/SEA Scoping Report – Representations Received

Organisation/Comment	Response/Action
Calon Cymru Network – Patricia Dodd Racher	
General Comments	
A great deal to approve of in this scoping study, especially the determination to accord with the Well-Being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015.	Noted
In addition, explicit reference to the Environment (Wales) Act 2016 would be helpful, so that the LDP can be assessed against the minimum emissions reductions specified in the Act.	Accepted. Explicit reference to be added.
Natural Resources Wales – Sharon Luke	
General Comments	
Having reviewed your Draft Scoping Report dated July 2018 we are satisfied with your scope for the SA report. As indicated in the scoping report, you have noted that the SA is an iterative and on-going process. We agree and would add that the SA/SEA should be a live document. This is particularly important when you consider that environmental baseline data is evolving, and other plans and programmes are emerging as a result of new legislation. The SA/SEA should therefore be kept under review throughout the LDP preparation.	Noted – SA/SEA will be reviewed and updated in line with developing baseline data and emerging policy, plans and programmes.
The scope and methodologies proposed for the SA seem reasonable. The scope has identified the likely environmental characteristics effected by the LDP and recognises the existing environmental problems within the LDP area.	Noted
The SA objectives noted in Chapter 6 (SA/SEA framework) and Table 3 of Chapter 5 should provide a robust assessment of environmental impacts from the LDP strategic options.	Noted
We advise that the SA indicators and targets (table 4) seem usable although would recommend the following points are considered.	
SA Objective 2 Biodiversity SA/SEA Scoping Report - Responses	Accepted. Objective reworded to included reference to connectivity and

Objective to promote resilience of ecosystems to encompass avoiding the damage or fragmentation of designated sites, habitats and protected species and to encourage connectivity.	resilience: 2-1 To promote resilience of ecosystems by avoiding the damage or fragmentation of designated sites, habitats and protected species and to encourage connectivity.
 SA Objective 3 Air Quality Consider the use of improve alongside reduce in objectives 3-1 and 3-2. Include cumulative impacts. 	Accepted. Objectives reworded to read: 3-1 To maintain and improve the levels of the UK National Air Quality pollutants 3-2 To improve levels of ground level ozone
 SA Objective 5 Water We advise that Objective 5-5 should also include reducing the impact of flood risk. The decision-making influences could include – Will the LDP reduce/increase the risk of bathing waters reaching Blue Flag status? 	Accepted. Objective reworded to read: 5-5 To make space for water, and minimise and reduce flood risk
 SA Objective 7 Soil The LDP should not increase contamination we would advise this is removed. 	Accepted. Objective reworded to read: 7-1 To promote the regeneration of contaminated land.
 SA Objective 9 Landscape Cumulative impacts should be included. Geological heritage should be included. Areas within Carmarthenshire are in view of the Gower Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. 	Accepted. Wording of Objective issues and opportunities changed to include reference to cumulative effects, geological heritage and potential trans-boundary impacts with Gower Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.
Appendix A: Review of relevant plans, policies and programmes	
We would advise that the following are also included.	Accepted. Will add to Appendix A.

Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive 91/271/EEC.	
• The Groundwater Directive 2006/118/EC.	
• The Bathing Waters Directive 2006/7/EC.	
• The Water Resources (Control of Pollution) (Silage, Slurry and	
Agriculture Fuel Oil) (Wales) ['SSAFO'] Regulations 2010.	
• Memorandum of understanding for protection of Carmarthen Bay and	
Estuaries European Marine Site.	
Under PPW Technical Advice Note (TAN) 15 we advise you include	
Chief Planning Officers (CPOs) letter 23/8/16 CL-03-16 Climate change	
allowances for planning purposes.	
• Under PPW Technical Advice Note 5 we advise you include CPOs letter	
1 March 2018 European Protected Species Licensing – notice of revised	
procedure.	
Appendix B: Baseline Information	1
The baseline information to be collected appears to be thorough. Please	Noted. Further baseline information as a result of NRW's duties will be
note that NRW have duties under the Environment Act (Wales) 2016 and	included as and when available.
the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 which will result in	
the preparation of further evidence. This evidence should be used in the	
SA/SEA, if timeframes allow.	
Chapter 2	The River Cleddau was included in the table of European Sites under
	paragraph 2.4.
The River Cleddau Special Area of Conservation should be included under	
European sites.	
Chapter 3	Accepted. Baseline information will be updated to consider cumulative
	impacts.
Air Quality consideration should be given to cumulative impacts/effects.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Chapter 5	
In section 5.5 consideration to the proliferation of intensive poultry and pig	
should be included alongside dairy.	Accepted. Baseline information will be updated to consider poultry and pig
	farming.

SA/SEA Scoping Report - Responses

Section 5.6 refers to a map identifying the bathing waters which is not included.	Accepted. Map to be included.
Flood risk – Welsh Government are currently reviewing TAN 15 which should be completed with your timescales. For Section 5.13 Welsh	Noted. Baseline will be updated with any new publication of TAN 15.
Government have also stated that climate change is to be considered which is not currently mapped	
Other matters to consider	
Drainage infrastructure	Accepted. Section on drainage infrastructure will be included as part of the baseline information.
Memorandum of Understanding requirements for protection of the Carmarthen Bay and Estuaries European Marine Site.	
Prevention of the proliferation of private foul drainage systems by ensuring	
appropriate infrastructure in areas identified for growth for the protection	
of water (and soil) quality.	
Opportunities sustainable drainage systems can bring to ecosystems.	
Renewable Energy	Accepted. Section on renewable energy will be included as part of the baseline information.
The Brechfa Forest Strategic Search Area (SSA) G and Pontardawe SSA E.	
Incorporating a Sustainable management of natural resources (SMNR) approach. SMNR is defined in the Environment Act as "using natural resources in a way and at a rate that maintains and enhances the resilience of ecosystems and the benefits they provide. In doing so, meeting the needs of present generations of people without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs, and contributing to the achievement of the well-being goals in the Well-being of Future Generations Act.	Noted. Will consider ways to incorporate this into the Deposit SA.

Organisation/Comment	Response/Action
Natural Resources Wales – Sharon Luke	
General Comments	
We consider that Carmarthenshire's landscape objective SA 9 links to a greater number of the strategic objectives that defined in Figure 3: Testing of Revised LDP Strategic Objectives against the Sustainability Objectives framework.	Noted. The landscape objective has been reassessed against the strategic objectives and has been linked to all relevant objectives.
Figure 4: Testing of Strategic Growth Options against the sustainability Objectives framework (Page 21). We consider there could be a direct link between growth options and SA9 Landscape. We anticipate that there could be effects e.g. an increased need for greenfield land and pressure on landscapes in a similar way to effects on SA2. There may be potential to mitigate these effects.	Agreed. The figure and supporting text has been amended to reflect impacts of Growth Options on SA2 – Biodiversity.
Section 4.2.1. We note the final bullet point acknowledges the potential to impact negatively on landscapes and cultural heritage.	The paragraph states that all growth has the potential to impact on landscape depending on the selection of sites and implementation of development (e.g. in terms of place making and design, materials used etc.). At the strategic level of detail provided by the preferred strategy is it difficult to say whether there will be negative impacts or not. However, at the deposit stage, there will be more detail on the allocated sites and their landscape context on which to make an assessment.
Figure 7 Testing of Revised LDP Strategic Policies against the Sustainability Objectives framework. We consider some additional strategic policies could have a negative effect on landscape e.g. SP3 and SP6, in a similar way as for biodiversity.	Agreed and amended to more closely reflect the potential impacts on SA2 – Biodiversity.
Figure 8 (page 102) Summary of Sustainability Appraisal of Preferred Strategy. We are not comfortable with the position that the preferred strategy has no negative effect on landscape.	Figure 8 is a summary of all previous sustainability appraisals carried out in the document. It does not conclude that there are no negative effects on

SA/SEA Initial Report - Responses

Table 8 (page 106) Draft Sustainability Monitoring Framework refers only to Special Landscape Areas in relation to landscape, whereas Appendix 2 Data sources (page 117) refers to the number of developments refused in design grounds and the number approved on previously developed land. We ask for clarification as to the monitoring method used for landscape.	Landscape, and highlights some potential issues for conflict including SP8, SP12, SP18 and SP19, as well as areas of uncertainty or areas where further information or detail may be required. This figure has now been amended to reflect changes made in other sections of the document as a result of NRW's comments. Noted, the additional monitoring data sources have been added to Table 8 to provide a more robust method of monitoring landscape.
Pembrokeshire Coast National Park - Martina Dunne	
Comments on Appraisal of the LDP Strategic Options and Alternatives:	
Spatial Options, appraisal against SA Objective 5. Mitigation for this is effectively now a legislative requirement. SuDS are required for new development under the Flood and Water Management Act (2010). As well as providing mitigation for flash flooding SuDS collect, filter and slowly release water back into the environment.	Agreed, with SuDS now in place, this mitigation is now a legislative requirement and this will be considered as such in the deposit plan.
Comments on Appraisal of LDP Strategic Policies:	
SP6 Employment and the Economy, assessments against SA4. Caveat with "but an increase in industry related traffic as per the commentary on air quality under SA3"	Agreed. Will add in reference to industry related traffic.
General Comments	
On the whole a very balanced assessment, PCNPA support all of the changes to policy suggested by the SA.	Noted.
Missing update/re-issued review of plans and programmes and baseline information. The PPP currently available on the website is missing the	Noted. Will amend deposit plan to include missing LDPs for Pembrokeshire Coast NP and Pembrokeshire CC.

adopted Local Development Plans for Pembrokeshire Coast National Park and Pembrokeshire County Council.		
Suzy Erskine		
General Comments		
2.2.4 The 15 SA Objectives that make up the framework include: 2 SA2 Biodiversity SA3 Air Quality SA4 Climactic Factors SA5 Water SA7 Soil 2 SA9 Landscape 2 SA12 Health and Well-being SA13 Education and Skills SA14 Economy SA15 Social Fabric Regarding the above: Biodiversity is not just about green tourism. If we are to be truly sustainable we could start growing a wider diversity of crops in Wales. Currently only a tiny percentage of farm-land is used for market gardens or fruit trees. We could be growing our own food here and increasing the biodiversity as a result as well as improving the resilience of our local economy and improving people's health. Please let's plant more trees for wildlife, holding water in the soil and enjoying cleaner air. Sheep have been allowed to keep the hillsides bare for so long most people believe that's how they should look. Not so! With trees on the hillsides, our villages won't be flooding, because the trees take up the water and hold on to the soil.	Noted. These are all valid comments which will be considered in the SA of the deposit plan.	